



# Genome editing in fruit, ornamental, and industrial crops

Fabiola Ramirez-Torres · Rishikesh Ghogare · Evan Stowe · Pedro Cerdá-Bennasser ·  
Maria Lobato-Gómez · Bruce A Williamson-Benavides · Patricia Sarai Giron-Calva ·  
Seanna Hewitt · Paul Christou · Amit Dhingra

Received: 17 November 2020 / Accepted: 25 February 2021 / Published online: 6 April 2021  
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021

**Abstract** The advent of genome editing has opened new avenues for targeted trait enhancement in fruit, ornamental, industrial, and all specialty crops. In particular, CRISPR-based editing systems, derived from bacterial immune systems, have quickly become routinely used tools for research groups across the world seeking to edit plant genomes with a greater level of precision, higher efficiency, reduced off-target effects, and overall ease-of-use compared to ZFNs and TALENs. CRISPR systems have been applied successfully to a number of horticultural and industrial crops to enhance fruit ripening, increase stress tolerance, modify plant architecture, control the timing of flower development, and enhance the accumulation of desired metabolites, among other commercially-important traits. As editing technologies continue to

advance, so too does the ability to generate improved crop varieties with non-transgenic modifications; in some crops, direct transgene-free edits have already been achieved, while in others, T-DNAs have successfully been segregated out through crossing. In addition to the potential to produce non-transgenic edited crops, and thereby circumvent regulatory impediments to the release of new, improved crop varieties, targeted gene editing can speed up trait improvement in crops with long juvenile phases, reducing inputs resulting in faster market introduction to the market. While many challenges remain regarding optimization of genome editing in ornamental, fruit, and industrial crops, the ongoing discovery of novel nucleases with niche specialties for engineering applications may form the basis for additional and potentially crop-specific editing strategies.

---

F. Ramirez-Torres · R. Ghogare ·  
E. Stowe · B. A Williamson-Benavides ·  
S. Hewitt · A. Dhingra (✉)  
Department of Horticulture, Washington State University,  
Pullman, WA, USA  
e-mail: adhingra@wsu.edu

P. Cerdá-Bennasser · M. Lobato-Gómez ·  
P. S. Giron-Calva · P. Christou  
Departamento de Producción Vegetal y Ciencia Forestal,  
University of Lleida-Agrotecnio CERCA Center, Lleida,  
Spain

P. Christou  
ICREA, Catalan Institute for Research and Advanced  
Studies, Barcelona, Spain

**Keywords** Genome editing · CRISPR/Cas ·  
Targeted mutations · Crop improvement · Non-model  
crops · Precision breeding · Non-transgenic genome  
editing

## Significance Statement

Improvement of fruit, ornamental, industrial, and other specialty crops traditionally requires long breeding cycles and significant resources. The innovations

in genome editing now make it possible to rapidly and efficiently improve these crops that support society at a much larger scale. This review highlights several examples of editing demonstrated in such crops, and discusses various opportunities and challenges that remain to be addressed.

**Eva Stoger**, *Department for Applied Genetics and Cell Biology, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Austria.*

## Introduction

Transgenic approaches have dramatically improved our understanding of the underlying molecular and environmental control of desirable traits in crops. For model species like *Arabidopsis* and tobacco, the transformation process and associated protocols are well-documented. In non-model horticultural crops, however, the establishment of equally reliable transformation methods remains a challenge. One primary concern is transgenic approaches could result in random genomic insertions disrupting important genes and producing undesirable phenotypes. Despite its inherent challenges and its diverse applications, efficiency, and accuracy, genome editing has become an essential and powerful tool to improve different crops (Jaganathan et al. 2018).

Gene-editing approaches have been extensively documented in plants. Transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs) and zinc-finger nucleases (ZFNs) were among the first editing technologies to be developed. In the last decade, clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) and associated proteins (CRISPR/Cas) have surpassed their predecessors to become the most reliable and cost-effective approach for gene-editing. First discovered for its role in the adaptive immune response of the bacteria *Streptococcus pyogenes*, the CRISPR/Cas9 system was subsequently adopted as a gene-editing technology, with its first application in plants described in 2014. The basic CRISPR/Cas system comprises a designed guide RNA that targets the Cas endonuclease to the desired location in the DNA, creating a double-strand break. The repair of the DNA, through insertions or deletions (indels), allows a change in the expression of a specific gene (Doudna and Charpentier 2014). In plants, genome editing efficiency is calculated based on the number of

regenerated plants with mutations in the target gene divided by the total number of regenerated plants. The calculation of gene editing efficiency in a cultivar of a given species is essential as it provides information regarding the efficiency of the nuclease used and can serve as a guide for future experiments (Mao et al. 2019).

In commercial crops such as tomato, rice, maize, soy, carrots, barley, potato, and wheat, CRISPR editing has led to improved resistance against biotic and abiotic stress, as well as enhanced fruit and grain quality production, among other beneficial outcomes (Nekrasov et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2017b, 2019a; Ghogare et al. 2019; Ortigosa et al. 2019). The diversity of crops that have now been successfully modified, along with the variety of traits targeted, supports the broad application and relative ease-of-use of CRISPR for crop modification. This review will summarize CRISPR's use to modify three classes of horticultural crops of commercial importance; furthermore, it will discuss different traits targeted to improve a broad spectrum of fruit, ornamental, and industrial crops.

## Genome editing in fruits

Fruits are a vital component of a healthy diet through their high vitamin content, antioxidants, and dietary fiber. In addition to nutritional value, fruit crops have high economic importance globally; in 2018, fruit production reached 800 million tonnes (FAOSTAT 2018). With the global population projected to reach 9 billion by 2050, the ability to produce enough food (a large portion of which will be fruit crops) to meet the projected future demands is a significant social challenge. Most fruit crops are perennial, requiring specific production methods, handling, and transportation (Radha and Mathew 2007). Many of these crops' susceptibility to biotic and abiotic stresses necessitates careful production and highlights several target traits for improvement. Approaches such as breeding, mutagenesis, interspecific hybridization, and genetic engineering have been used to produce varieties with desirable traits. In apple, natural hybridization led to improved sweetness, color, firmness, and size (Cornille et al. 2014; Kaiser et al. 2020); interspecific hybridization facilitated introduction of scab resistance (Crosby et al. 1992); mutagenesis enhanced fruit color (Joint FAO IAEA Division 1999); and genetic

engineering facilitated production of non-browning apples (Xu 2013). In banana (*Musa spp.*), cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*), mandarin (*Citrus reticulata*), peach (*Prunus persica*), and strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa*), conventional breeding has led to the improvement of various traits of commercial value (Bouis 2002; Moose and Mumm 2008; Omura and Shimada 2016; Feng et al. 2020). Through mutagenesis with gamma rays, higher sugar content has been achieved in elderberries (*Sambucus spp.*), self-fertility in sweet cherry (*Prunus avium*), larger bunch size and enhanced precocity in banana (*Musa spp.*) and grape (*Vitis spp.*), dwarfing in papaya (*Carica papaya*), and disease-resistance in pear (*Pyrus communis*) and strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa*) (Joint FAO IAEA Division 1999; Mikulic-Petkovsek et al. 2016).

Most recently, transgenic technologies have been applied to improve a large number of essential traits in fruit crops. The first transgenic fruit approved was the “Flavr Savr” tomato developed by Calgene, which had an extended shelf-life. Even though “Flavr Savr” was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1994, it was withdrawn in 1997 (Klopper 2018). After Flavr Savr tomato, the virus-resistant ‘Rainbow’ papaya was approved for consumption by the USDA (Gonsalves 2006). Other genetically modified crops include pineapple and banana, wherein fruit quality, growth habit, and tolerance to abiotic stress were improved (Gonsalves 2006; Sreedharan et al. 2013; Igarashi et al. 2016; Yabor et al. 2020). The ringspot virus-resistant papaya, plum-pox virus-resistant plum, non-browning apple, and the pink-colored Rosé pineapple are currently among the few transgenic fruit crops approved for release and consumption in the US (Gonsalves 2006; Scorza et al. 2012; Xu 2013; Gurin et al. 2014; Young and Firoozabady 2010). Some of the first reports of precise genome editing using Zinc-finger nucleases (ZFN) was reported in apple and fig (Peer et al. 2015), followed by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing in sweet orange (Zhang et al. 2017), kiwifruit (Wang et al. 2018b), and melon (Hooghvorst et al. 2019). With the addition of gene editing to the crop engineering toolset, the development and timely release of new cultivars with enhanced traits will become increasingly achievable.

## Tomato

Although efficiencies of TALEN and CRISPR/Cas9 or LbCpf1 for gene editing in tomato were comparable in the early studies (and were higher for ZFNs), the CRISPR/Cas9 system has far surpassed the others to become the preferred methodology for tomato genome editing. CRISPR/Cas9 has been used to edit over 70 different genes, including using a multiplexed guide RNA approach to target multiple genes at the same time (Table 1).

As a model fruit with a diploid genome, high-quality genome sequence (e.g. few high-frequency *k*-mers, full-length long terminal repeat retrotransposons with old average insertion ages, evenly distributed miRNA throughout the genome), high transformation efficiency, and economic importance, tomato is an ideal crop in which to test different genome editing technologies. Shortly after CRISPR was first employed in plants, its editing efficiency and accuracy were compared to TALENs in tomato. The evaluation of these editing technologies revealed the CRISPR advantage (Brooks et al. 2014; Lor et al. 2014). While both TALEN- and CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutations were found to be heritable, the efficiency of TALEN-mediated gene editing was lower than that of CRISPR/Cas9 (15% vs. 48%, respectively) (Brooks et al. 2014; Lor et al. 2014). Furthermore, the CRISPR/Cas9 approach produced homozygous mutations at a rate of approximately 3.4% (Brooks et al. 2014). Due to its greater ease of synthesis and its high efficiency, CRISPR/Cas9 has become the preferred approach for genome editing in tomato.

For both TALEN and CRISPR/Cas9 systems, the incorporation of geminivirus-based DNA replicons represents one strategy by which researchers have sought to increase double-strand break (DSB) repair frequency through homologous recombination (Čermák et al. 2015). Such replicons increase the number of DNA donor templates delivered to the plant cells, which is expected to contribute to an increased number of editing events. The process works as follows: first, the expression of the Cas9 nuclease from the viral genome induces a DSB at the target locus; next, the donor template is copied into the target site by homology-directed repair without the need for

**Table 1** Summary of recent CRISPR, TALEN, and ZFN induced gene mutations in tomato and related species

| Plant Species (common names)         | Target genes  | Target pathway or trait  | Phenotype   | Genome editing efficiency (%) | Genome editing system | References              |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> (Tomato) | AGL6  | MADS-box transcription factor regulator of parthenocarp  | Parthenocarp  | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9           | Klap et al. (2017)      |
|                                      | ER, SP5G, SP  | Key regulators of stem length and flowering  | Plant size, flowering                               | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9           | Kwon et al. (2020)      |
|                                      | SIBZR2  | Transcription factor regulating xylem differentiation in tomato  | Xylem development                                   | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9           | Lee et al. (2019)       |
|                                      | SGR1, LCY-B1, LCY-B2, LCY-E, B1c (Multiplex)  | Key enzymes of lycopene and $\beta$ - and $\alpha$ -carotene biosynthesis                                  | Lycopene content                                    | 96                            | CRISPR/Cas9           | Li et al. (2018a, b, c) |
|                                      | lncRNA1459  | Long non-coding RNA involved in ripening   | Fruit ripening                                      | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9           | Li et al. (2018a, b, c) |
|                                      | PSY1, GABA-TP1, GABA-TP2, GABA-TP3, CAT9, SSADH (Multiplex for GABA)  | Key enzymes involved in lycopene biosynthesis and $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid metabolism                   | Fruit color and $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid content | 68                            | CRISPR/Cas9           | Li et al. (2018a, b, c) |
|                                      | CBF1  | Transcriptional activator involved in cold response  | Chilling tolerance                                  | 25–58                         | CRISPR/Cas9           | Li et al. (2018a, b, c) |
|                                      | NPR1  | Key regulator of the salicylic acid responses  | Increased drought tolerance                         | 33–46                         | CRISPR/Cas9           | Li et al. (2019)        |
|                                      | RIN   | Transcription factor regulating ripening-related gene  | Fruit ripening                                      | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9           | Li et al. (2020)        |
|                                      | MYC2  | Transcription factor regulating jasmonic acid signaling  | Jasmonic acid-mediated defense responses            | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9           | Liu et al. (2019)       |
|                                      | UVR8  | UV-B photoreceptor regulating hypocotyl elongation, anthocyanin accumulation, and responses to UV-B stress | Increased tolerance to UV-B stress                  | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9           | Liu et al. (2020)       |
|                                      | PRO   | DELLA protein regulating gibberellic acid signaling  | Plant size, leaf color                              | 15                            | TALEN                 | Lor et al. (2014)       |
|                                      | Mlo1  | Negative regulator of powdery mildew resistance  | Increased resistance to powdery mildew              | 80                            | CRISPR/Cas9           | Nekrasov et al. (2017)  |
|                                      | GAD2, GAD3  | Key enzymes involved in $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid biosynthesis   | $\gamma$ -Aminobutyric acid content                 | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9           | Nonaka et al. (2017)    |
|                                      | JAZ2  | Control stomata dynamics during bacterial invasion   | Resistance to <i>Pseudomonas syringae</i>           | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9           | Ortigosa et al. (2019)  |
| PDS, PIF4                            | A key enzyme in carotenoid biosynthesis, transcription factor negative regulating phyB-mediated red light responses | Albino explants  | 84  | CRISPR/Cas9                   | Pan et al. (2016)     |                         |
| HY5                                  | Transcription factor regulating anthocyanin-related genes   | Anthocyanin content  | Not available                                       | CRISPR/Cas9                   | Qiu et al. (2019)     |                         |

**Table 1** continued

| Plant Species (common names) | Target genes             | Target pathway or trait  | Phenotype  | Genome editing efficiency (%) | Genome editing system            | References              |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
|                              | MYB21                    | Transcription factor regulating fertilization and fruit initiation processes                             | Flower development   | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9                      | Schubert et al. (2019)  |
|                              | MYC2                     | Transcription factor regulating methyl jasmonate signaling pathway                                       | Plant growth, fruit resistance to <i>Botrytis cinerea</i>    | 36                            | CRISPR/Cas9                      | Shu et al. (2020)       |
|                              | SP5G                     | The key enzyme involved in controlling flowering gene  | Harvest time   | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9                      | Soyk et al. (2017)      |
|                              | PROCERA                  | DELTA growth regulator   | Control of plant height and parthenocarp                     | 0.2                           | CRISPR/Cas9                      | Tomlinson et al. (2019) |
|                              | IAA9                     | Negative regulator of parthenocarp   | Parthenocarp   | 11–100                        | CRISPR/Cas9                      | Ueta et al. (2017)      |
|                              | AN1, HKT1;2              | Potassium transporter  | Anthocyanin content and increased salinity tolerance         | 72                            | CRISPR/Cpf1-geminiviral replicon | Yu et al. (2020)        |
|                              | ALS1                     | The key enzyme involved in the biosynthesis of branched-chain amino acids                                | Resistance to herbicides                                     | 71                            | CRISPR/Cas9                      | Veillet et al. (2019)   |
|                              | eIF4E2                   | Translation initiation factor regulating plant susceptibility to RNA viruses                             | Decreased susceptibility to RNA virus                        | 56                            | CRISPR/Cas9-NG                   | Veillet et al. (2020)   |
|                              | MAPK3                    | Mitogen-activated protein kinase modulating the transcription of biotic and abiotic stress-related genes | Drought tolerance  | 42                            | CRISPR/Cas9                      | Wang et al. (2017a, b)  |
|                              | AP2, NAC-NOR, FUL1, FUL2 | Transcription factors regulating fruit ripening  | Fruit ripening   | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9                      | Wang et al. (2019a, b)  |
|                              | BOP1, BOP2, BOP3, TMF    | Transcriptional cofactors involved in meristem maturation  | Inflorescence complexity                                     | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9                      | Xu et al. (2016)        |
|                              | AN2-like, MYBATV         | Key regulators of anthocyanin-related genes  | Anthocyanin content  | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9                      | Yan et al. (2020)       |
|                              | MET1                     | Enzyme regulating DNA methylation  | DNA methylation leaf morphology, inflorescence, parthenocarp | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9                      | Yang et al. (2019)      |
|                              | MYB12                    | Transcription factor regulating anthocyanin accumulation   | Change in fruit color  | 100                           | CRISPR/Cas9                      | Yang et al. (2019)      |
|                              | ALC                      | NAC transcription factor regulating ripening   | Long-shelf life  | 73–77                         | CRISPR/Cas9                      | Yu et al. (2017)        |
|                              | lncRNA2155               | Long non-coding RNA modulating the expression of ripening-related genes                                  | Fruit ripening   | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9                      | Yu et al. (2019a)       |
|                              | MAPK3                    | Mitogen-activated protein kinase negative regulating thermotolerance                                     | Increased tolerance to high temperature                      | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9                      | Yu et al. (2019b)       |

Table 1 continued

| Plant Species (common names)                                  | Target genes                          | Target pathway or trait  | Phenotype  | Genome editing efficiency (%) | Genome editing system | References                   |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
|   | 63 immunity-associated genes          | Immune-response related  | Resistance to pathogens  | 68                            | CRISPR/Cas9           | Zhang et al. (2020)          |
|   | PHO1;1                                | Phosphate transporter  | Increased transport and accumulation of phosphate                  | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9           | Zhao et al. (2019)           |
|   | ANT2                                  | Transcription factor regulating anthocyanin-genes  | Anthocyanin content  | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9           | Zhi et al. (2020)            |
|   | TGase                                 | Key enzyme regulating photosynthesis by modulating cellular redox homeostasis  | Impaired photosynthesis  | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9           | Zhong et al. (2019)          |
|   | CLV3 promoter                         | Signaling peptide regulating meristem development  | Fruit size, inflorescence branching, plant architecture            | 67                            | CRISPR/Cas9           | Rodríguez-Leal et al. (2017) |
|   | ACoS-AS1                              | Long-non coding RNA regulating carotenoid biosynthesis   | Carotenoid content   | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9           | Xiao et al. (2020)           |
| <i>Solanum pennellii</i> (Wild tomato)                        | ui10.1                                | A key regulator of pollen recognition and rejection in <i>Solanum</i>  | Unilateral incompatibility   | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9           | Qin et al. (2018)            |
| <i>Physalis peruviana</i> (Ground cherry or husk tomato)      | PprAGO7, PprSP, PprSP5G, PprCVL1      | Key regulators of flowering and fruit locule number  | Plant architecture, flower production, fruit size                  | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9           | Lemmon et al. (2018)         |
| <i>Solanum pimpinellifolium</i> (Wild tomato)                 | SP5G, SP, CLV3, WUS, GGPI (Multiplex) | Key regulators of flowering and fruit locule number, a key enzyme involved in vitamin C biosynthesis   | Shoot architecture, flower and fruit production, vitamin C content | 57                            | CRISPR/Cas9           | Li et al. (2018a, b, c)      |
|   | SP, O, FAS, FW2.2, MULT, CycB         | Key regulators of flowering, fruit shape, fruit size, fruit number, a key enzyme involved in the conversion of lycopene into $\beta$ -carotene | Morphology, fruit number, and size, lycopene content               | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9           | Zsögön et al. (2018)         |
| <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> x <i>Solanum pimpinellifolium</i> | ENO                                   | Transcription factor regulating fruit size through the floral meristem development network   | Fruit size   | Not available                 | CRISPR/Cas9           | Yuste-Lisbona et al. (2020)  |
| <i>Solanum pimpinellifolium</i> (Wild tomato)                 | CLV3 promoter                         | Signaling peptide regulating meristem development  | Fruit size, inflorescence branching, plant architecture            | 67                            | CRISPR/Cas9           | Rodríguez-Leal et al. (2017) |

\*All tomato-related reports represent *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation

stable integration of the transgene; the high copy number of donor templates leads to increased frequency of gene insertions (Čermák et al. 2015).

Comparable editing efficiencies (7.3% vs. 8.8%) were observed for TALEN and CRISPR/Cas9 when geminiviral replicons were used to overexpress a key transcription factor controlling the anthocyanin biosynthesis pathway, *Anthocyanin mutant 1 (ANT1)*, in tomato. The targeted DNA insertions and corresponding purple phenotypes induced by both systems were heritable, and no off-target edits were detected (Čermák et al. 2015).

In addition to CRISPR/Cas9, the CRISPR/LbCpf with a geminiviral-delivery system has been utilized in tomatoes (Vu et al. 2020). In this instance, targeting of *ANT1* revealed an editing efficiency of 3.5%, 2.5 times lower than that reported by Čermák et al. (2015) for CRISPR/Cas9. Lower efficiency (0.66%) was reported by the same authors when the *High K Transporter 1;2 (HKT1;2)* allele, which codes for a potassium ion transporter, was edited to increase tomato salinity tolerance (Vu et al. 2020). The replacement of A to G resulted in the substitution of Asn by Asp. This single amino-acid change increased the potassium selectivity in the HKT1-type transporter. The homology-directed repair (HDR) allele was stably inherited as both homozygous and heterozygous T1 plants showed increased salinity tolerance up to 100 mM NaCl at the germination stage (Vu et al. 2020). Overall, these studies demonstrate reduced editing efficiency of CRISPR/Cas9 and TALEN when geminiviral replicons are used.

Tomato has been used as a model system to study various biological processes. Of these, fruit ripening is the most studied one due to its direct impact on shelf life and preventing post-harvest losses. Much molecular work has been done in efforts to improve the regulation of ripening. One of the earliest genes edited in tomato with CRISPR/Cas9 system was *Ripening inhibitor (RIN)*, which encodes a transcription factor thought to be a master regulator of fruit ripening (Ito et al. 2015). Three different sgRNAs were designed to target specific regions of *RIN*, including the start codon, the middle area of the coding region, and the central region of the C-terminal domain, required for transcription activation. The resulting editing efficiencies (50%, 16%, and 11%, respectively) varied according to the sgRNA used (Ito et al. 2015). *RIN* mutant fruits displayed incomplete ripening, with

reduced red pigmentation compared to wild type fruits at five days after the breaker stage. In two different studies, the *RIN* mutation led to decreased carotenoid and ethylene content (97% and 80%), respectively, when compared to WT (Ito et al. 2017, 2020; Li et al. 2020).

Gene-editing of additional transcription factors involved in the regulation of fruit ripening has been achieved with high efficiency. Ripening was inhibited entirely when *Alcobaca (ALC)* and *Non-Ripening-like 1* were edited with efficiencies of 77% and 31%, respectively (Yu et al. 2017; Gao et al. 2018). GC content was determined to be an essential factor in the editing efficiency of the target sequence, as low (< 45%) or high GC content (> 75%) tend to be edited with a lower frequency than sequences with intermediate GC content (Yu et al. 2017).

While targeting transcription factors has proven useful for modulating the global ripening response in tomato, other editing efforts have directly targeted individual ripening-associated traits. Genes associated with fruit color, for example, have been modified successfully, with efficiencies of up to 100%. Editing of the *MYB12* gene resulted in a shift in color from red to pink (Deng et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2019). Furthermore, *MYB12* loss-of-function led to a 99% reduction in the naringenin chalcone content (a yellow flavonoid) in the peel compared to WT (Deng et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2019). Loss-of function of the *Phytoene synthase 1 (PSY1)* gene encodes for a key enzyme in lycopene biosynthesis, resulting in a 55% reduction in lycopene content and produced yellow-fleshed fruits (Hayut et al. 2017; D'Ambrosio et al. 2018). Similarly, loss-of-function of the *PHYTOENE DESATURASE (PDS)* gene, encoding the enzyme catalyzing the conversion of phytoene into lycopene, generated plants with an albino phenotype with an editing efficiency of 84% (Pan et al. 2016).

Phytonutrient content in tomatoes is another ripening-associated trait of interest that has been modulated through gene editing. Multiplex editing of the  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) shunt involved simultaneous targeting of *Gamma Aminobutyrate Transaminase 1 to 3 (GABA-TP1 to3)*, *Cationic Amino Acid Transporter 9 (CAT9)*, and *Succinate Semialdehyde Dehydrogenase (SSADH)* (Li et al. 2018a, b, c). The GABA content in the quadruple mutant increased 19-fold in leaves and 3.5-fold in fruits relative to WT;

however, GABA accumulation corresponded to a 67.5% decrease in plant height.

CRISPR/Cas9 was used to generate point mutations in the *Acetolactate synthase 1 (ALS1)* gene. *ALS1*-mutants display sulfonyleurea herbicide resistance and can be directly selected in vitro (Danilo et al. 2019; Veillet et al. 2019). Two studies have reported efficiencies ranging from 13 to 71% in the process of editing *ALS1* (Danilo et al. 2019; Veillet et al. 2019), with the use of a cytidine base editor contributing to the higher efficiency. Cytidine base editors induce specific C-to-T base conversion, leading to C to T editing by over 80% (Veillet et al. 2019).

Despite the high editing efficiencies reported, thus far, no significant improvements to abiotic-stress tolerance have been achieved using CRISPR/Cas9 in tomato. This is generally because editing of the target genes produces undesirable side effects on development. For example, knock-out mutants of *Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase 3 (MAPK3)* were generated with 42% efficiency (Wang et al. 2017a); however, these mutants displayed 15% higher ion leakage than WT, indicating significant membrane damage under drought stress. Similarly, a 46% editing efficiency of the *Non-Expressor of Pathogenesis Related Gene 1 (NPR1)*, a key regulator of salicylic acid-mediated responses that also play a role in mediating abiotic-stress responses, was achieved (Li et al. 2019); however, the mutants exhibited a 6% increase in ion leakage under drought stress than WT. Editing of *CBF1*, which encodes a C-repeat binding factor involved in chilling tolerance, was achieved with an efficiency of 58% (Li et al. 2018a, b, c). Still, the *CBF1* mutants exhibited more severe chilling-injury symptoms with higher ion leakage than WT (60% vs. 20%).

Targeted mutagenesis has facilitated the recreation of the quantitative trait loci (QTL) that contributed to domestication in wild tomato (*S. pimpinellifolium*). The use of eight gRNAs targeting different regions of *Clavata 3 (CLV3)* cis-regulatory elements, which encode a signal peptide controlling meristem size, produced edits with 67% efficiency (Rodríguez-Leal et al. 2017). Flowers of the T0 *CLV3*-mutants made more sepals, petals, stamen, and fruit locules than WT (100%, 60%, 140%, and 400% increases, respectively) resembling quantitative traits of domesticated tomato (Rodríguez-Leal et al. 2017). Moreover, a 57% efficiency was achieved through multiplex CRISPR/Cas9 editing of essential genes associated with plant

development, flowering, fruit locule number, and vitamin C biosynthesis. These targeted mutations generated plants with domesticated phenotypes, including earlier flowering, increased fruit size and locule number, and reduced vitamin C content (Li et al. 2018a, b, c).

### Grape

Recent studies have demonstrated successful CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing in grapes. There are no reports of TALENs or ZFNs mediated gene editing in *Vitis spp.* likely due to the inherent recalcitrance of many cultivars to transformation. With an abundance of PAM sequences adjacent to possible target coding regions, the grape genome has up to 35 million target sites available for gene editing. This makes it possible for a single guide to potentially target multiple traits simultaneously (Wang et al. 2016b). In Chardonnay, the ability of CRISPR/Cas9 to edit the *L-idonate dehydrogenase gene (IdnDH)*, which regulates biosynthesis of tartaric acid, was tested in cell suspension culture. Gene editing efficiency was ~ 100% in the cells, a promising finding; however, the regeneration of plants from cell cultures has been a challenging process (Ren et al. 2016).

Targeting the phytoene desaturase (*PDS*) gene was reported with an editing efficiency ranging from 2 to 70% (Nakajima et al. 2017). Subsequently, gRNAs with higher GC content were used to increase editing efficiency in Chardonnay, which generated 41 grape edits with an efficiency of 86% (Ren et al. 2019).

It has been hypothesized that grape anthocyanin production is linked to Pierce Disease (PD) and Grapevine Red Blotch Virus (GRBV) resistance. However, the specific nature of this relationship is mostly undescribed. To address this hypothesis, CRISPR/Cas9 editing of *Trans-Acting Small-interfering locus 4 (TAS4)* genes, which code for siRNAs involved in anthocyanin production, was evaluated in the grapevine rootstock 101-14. Five *MYBA7* lines with bi-allelic edits were produced, including two *TAS4b* lines, one bi-allelic, and one heterozygous line. While these events demonstrated evidence of homologous recombination between *TAS4a* with *TAS4b* genes, the transgenic plants did not display alterations in pigment accumulation. Therefore, the goal of obtaining resistance against PD and GRBV was not achieved (Sunitha and Rock 2020). In another effort to

test biotic stress responses, editing of the grape transcription factor encoded by *VvWRKY52* was evaluated in *V. vinifera* cv. ‘Thompson Seedless’. This resulted in 15 biallelic and seven heterozygous lines with up to 64% editing efficiency (Wang et al. 2018a). When desired changes in a phenotype are not observed post-editing, it may indicate that either there is genetic redundancy or the environment may have a significant influence on the given trait.

### Apple

Gene-editing has been demonstrated in apple where the *PDS* gene was mutated using CRISPR/Cas9 (Nishitani et al. 2016). Additional agronomically important targets include genes associated with the timing of flowering and disease resistance. The *Terminal Flower 1 (TFL1)*, a gene involved in floral repression, has been used in several proof-of-concept experiments for different gene silencing methods, including antisense, siRNA, and virus-induced-gene silencing. In all cases, its knock-down has resulted in accelerated flowering (Charrier et al. 2019). Consistent with these results, CRISPR-mediated knock-out of *TFL1* resulted in early flowering in 93% of edited apples.

Fire blight, caused by the bacteria *Erwinia amylovora*, is one of the main problems in commercial apple orchards. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knock-out of putative Kinase Receptor *DIPM 4* in Gala and Golden Delicious by CRISPR/Cas9 significantly reduced fire blight susceptibility. The formation of necrotic tissue following *E. amylovora* infection was reduced by 50% in the edited plants (Pompili et al. 2020).

### Pear

There is currently only a single report of successful gene editing in pear (*Pyrus communis* L.). After initial application in apple (*Malus x domestica* Borkh), CRISPR/Cas9 was tailored to target the *Phytoene Desaturase (PDS)* gene and *Terminal Flower 1 (TFL)* genes in pear. Two different sgRNAs were used, resulting in an editing efficiency of 9%. Furthermore, edited plants displayed an early flowering phenotype, demonstrating that gRNAs used in apple were effective in pear, most likely due to the target region’s similarity (Charrier et al. 2019).

### Strawberry

The octoploid nature of commercial strawberry cultivars makes gene editing challenging (Zhou et al. 2018). In the wild diploid species, *Fragaria vesca*, CRISPR/Cas9, and two gRNAs have been used to edit auxin biosynthesis and signaling-associated genes *FveTAA1* *FveARF8*. Mutations were more abundant in the T1 progeny than in T0 and even produced a homozygous knock-out of targeted genes. As auxin is an essential phytohormone for plant growth, the resulting homozygous or biallelic mutants appeared larger and grew faster than the heterozygous progeny. Successful editing may be attributed, in part, to the use of the U6 promoter, which shows enhanced expression of the guide sequences and increases mutation rates. The combination of regulatory elements used in this study can be utilized for future gene-editing approaches in the commercial octoploid strawberry (Zhou et al. 2018).

The first octoploid strawberry edit, targeting the floral developmental regulator *FaTM6*, was made in *Fragaria x ananassa* Duch. cv. *Camarosa*. Editing was highly efficient, and the resulting lines were chimeric with a high degree of heterozygosity in T0; full knock-outs are expected in later generations (Martín-Pizarro et al. 2019). These experiments demonstrated that gene editing is possible in both diploid and octoploid strawberry, establishing a foundation for subsequent work. Through transient CRISPR/Cas9 expression, the R2R3 MYB transcription factor 10 (*FvMYB10*) and chalcone synthase (*FvCHS*) genes were targeted in diploid and octoploid strawberry. However, no reduction in anthocyanin accumulation was observed. Nevertheless, in the same report, stable transformation with a CRISPR plasmid targeting *PDS*, *FvUF3GT* (anthocyanidin 3-O -glucosyltransferase), *FvF3H* (naringenin,2-oxoglutarate 3-dioxygenase), and *FvLDOX* (leucoanthocyanidin dioxygenase) produced edits with up to 10% of efficiency in *F. vesca* (Xing et al. 2018). In another report, *PDS* was targeted in *Fragaria vesca* ssp. *vesca* ‘Hawaii 4’ and octoploid *F. x ananassa* ‘Calypso’ producing successful edits with efficiencies of 80% and 50% in diploid and octoploid strawberry, respectively. As reported earlier, the utilization of U6 promoter with multiple sgRNAs resulted in higher efficiency. The albino phenotype, resulting from *PDS* edits, showed bi-allelic variants; this finding indicates

that *PDS* is functionally diploid in ‘Calypso’. For polyploids, it is crucial to continue to move to subsequent filial generations as bi-allelic gene edits are sometimes observed only in later generations (Wilson et al. 2019).

### Banana

Conventional breeding of banana (*Musa* spp.) is challenging and sometimes not feasible due to low genetic variability of *Musa* germplasm, polyploidy, length of the production cycle, and sterility of a majority of the economically important cultivars. For instance, approximately half of commercially-grown bananas belong to the Cavendish group, a parthenocarpic cultivar with a triploid ‘AAA’ genome (D’hont et al. 2012; FAO 2020). Genetic engineering and gene editing are the most suitable strategies for the genetic improvement of most cultivars. The introduction of transgenes in fruits is still not widely accepted by consumers (Voytas and Gao 2014). To date, no genetically modified banana has been released for commercial purposes (Tripathi et al. 2019b). Therefore, gene editing represents a novel avenue for the banana industry since it can introduce changes to DNA intrinsic to the target species (Shew et al. 2018).

Half a dozen recent reports have demonstrated CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene-editing in bananas (Kaur et al. 2018, 2020; Naim et al. 2018; Tripathi et al. 2019a; Ntui et al. 2020; Shao et al. 2020). The first study was reported in the cultivar ‘Rasthali’ (a triploid ‘AAB’ genome), where the *PDS* gene was successfully edited with an efficiency of 59% (Kaur et al. 2018). Shortly thereafter, a polycistronic gRNA was used to target *PDS* in the Cavendish cultivar (Naim et al. 2018), where 63% of the transgenic plants displayed full albinism from triallelic *PDS* knock-outs, and 100% showed some form of Cas9-mediated editing. Ntui et al. (2020) reported similar editing rates as Naim et al. (2018) using the ‘Sukali Ndiizi’ (AAB) and ‘Gonja Manjaya’ (AAB) cultivar and two gRNAs to disrupt the *PDS* gene. The use of a polycistronic gRNA or multiple sgRNA, along with the CRISPR/Cas9 system, has thus far been highly successful in producing gene edits in *Musa* species.

The presence of the integrated endogenous Banana Streak Virus (eBSV) in the B genome of banana was targeted using CRISPR gene editing (Tripathi et al. 2019a). Under stress, this eBSV is activated to

generate infectious viral particles that negatively impact overall plant health and ultimately yield losses. Inactivation of the eBSV by editing the corresponding viral sequences resulted in disruption of transcription or/and translation. Seventy-five percent of the edited plants remained asymptomatic compared to the non-edited control plants under water stress conditions. This study also reported a high mutation efficiency (95%) using multiple gRNAs.

In addition to pathogen resistance, CRISPR editing has also been used to impart desirable developmental characteristics and phytonutrient properties in bananas. CRISPR/Cas9 was utilized to edit five isoforms of the *MaGA20ox2* gene, which is involved in gibberellin biosynthesis (Shao et al. 2020). Single gRNAs were used to target each *MaGA20ox2* isoform resulting in the production of semi-dwarf mutants. Evaluation of growth characteristics and yield of these mutants at multiple locations and over multiple years is needed to determine the potential of these edited lines. A Cavendish banana cultivar enriched in  $\beta$ -carotene was developed via CRISPR/Cas9-mediated targeting of lycopene epsilon-cyclase (*LCYE*) (Kaur et al. 2020). Editing resulted in multiple types of indels with an efficiency of 83%, and metabolic profiling of the fruit pulp of selected, edited lines showed enhanced accumulation of  $\beta$ -carotene content up to sixfold ( $\sim 24 \mu\text{g/g}$ ). In addition to the high efficiency of target edits, no significant effect on the agro-morphological parameters was reported making this the first report in banana to improve a nutritional trait using a genome editing approach (Kaur et al. 2020).

A PEG-mediated CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing was reported in banana protoplasts (Wu et al. 2020). This system was further optimized for the successful delivery of CRISPR/Cas12a plasmids, as well as CRISPR/Cas9 ribonucleoproteins (RNPs). However, editing efficiency was relatively low (0–1% efficiency) compared to the gene-editing in stable lines.

To date, there are no reports of gene editing of *Musa* spp. with other gene-editing approaches. The CRISPR/Cas9 gene-editing system is well-established in banana, at least for knock out of specific genes by non-homologous end joining (NHEJ); however, other more complicated applications of CRISPR gene editing by homologous recombination have not been reported. This is probably due to the lingering difficulty of achieving efficient and precise gene editing by homologous recombination, for which the

efficiencies are often below the 1% range (Ayar et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2015; Watanabe et al. 2016; Wolter et al. 2018).

### Cacao

Similar to banana, commercially cultivated cacao is derived from a narrow genetic diversity, and many cultivars are susceptible to various diseases (Irish et al. 2010). CRISPR gene-editing presents an avenue to breed disease-resistant cacao varieties and also to broaden the genetic pool. So far, only one study has been conducted in *Theobroma cacao* (Fister et al. 2018). A transient transformation approach was used to show the efficacy of CRISPR/Cas9 in detached leaf tissue and to evaluate the resistance against *Phytophthora tropicalis* via an in vitro pathogen bioassay targeting *TcNPR3*, a regulator of cacao's defense response. Editing of this gene was achieved with an efficiency of ~ 27% and resulted in enhanced resistance to *P. tropicalis*. Stable transformation of somatic embryos was also attempted; however, the use of embryos generated chimeras. Further studies need to be performed to demonstrate stable genome editing of *T. cacao* and improve the efficiency of CRISPR/Cas9 (Fister et al. 2018).

### Citrus

Citrus canker, caused by *Xanthomonas citri* (Xcc), is one of the most commercially-impactful diseases of citrus (Gottwald et al. 2002). The *Citrus sinensis* Lateral Organ Boundary gene (*CsLOB1*) is a susceptibility gene for citrus canker disease (Hu et al. 2014); its promoter contains an effector binding element ( $EBE_{PthA4}$ ), which is recognized by the main transcription activator-like (TAL) effector PthA4 of Xcc. TAL PthA4 binds the  $EBE_{PthA4}$  domain and activates *CsLOB1* to promote disease development (Jia et al. 2017; Peng et al. 2017). In grapefruit (*Citrus paradisi*), pumelo (*Citrus maxima*), and Wannjincheng orange (*Citrus sinensis*), the coding region and  $EBE_{PthA4}$  promoter regions of *CSLOB1* have been mutated via CRISPR in efforts to enhance resistance to citrus canker disease (Jia et al. 2016, 2017, 2019; Peng et al. 2017; Jia and Wang 2020). Mono-allelic, biallelic (homozygous and heterozygous), and/or chimeric mutations were reported in all the above studies, and the corresponding mutation rates were assessed using

next-generation sequencing. A direct correlation between plant resistance and the ratio of mutated cells was proposed (Jia et al. 2016, 2017, 2019; Peng et al. 2017; Jia and Wang 2020). Conclusions from these studies, however, are constrained by different experimental limitations. There was no evidence that mutations remained stable once the plants reached maturity. Importantly, no details were provided in any of these reports, or references therein, to confirm the effectiveness of the genetic transformation based on epicotyl explants. Thus, effective canker resistance in mature, field-grown plants remains to be demonstrated.

Furthermore, multiplex genome editing proof-of-concept experiments have been conducted in citrus using Csy-4 and tRNA-based approaches. The latter has been shown to be more efficient for obtaining biallelic and homozygous mutants (44.4% mutation rate) (Huang et al. 2020).

Plant immunity-associated *WRKY22* transcription factor has also been targeted in citrus to impart canker resistance, as its expression level is inversely correlated with the disease (Zhou et al. 2017). Wannjincheng orange has two *CsWRKY22* alleles (*CsWRKY22<sup>G</sup>* and *CsWRKY22<sup>-</sup>*), the ratio of which is 2:1. The first exon of the *CsWRKY22* alleles was mutated using CRISPR/Cas9. Consequently, reduced disease symptoms were observed in the leaves of two mutant plants, although mutations were only statistically significant in *CsWRKY22<sup>G</sup>* (Wang et al. 2019b).

### Cucurbits

Cucumber is one of several crops that are particularly susceptible to major viruses including potyviruses and ipomoviruses. These viruses require plant host factors to maintain their virulence cycle. For this to occur, viruses associate with the eukaryotic translation initiation factor complex (eIF4F) host factors (including eIF4e, eIF4G, and eIF4A) through the viral-encoded protein. The host factors bind to the viral RNA 5', a process that is essential for the translation of the virus. One way of preventing this association is by inducing mutations to the host factors (Chandrasekaran et al. 2016). In cucumber, CRISPR/Cas9 was used to introduce indels and SNPs in *eIF4E*. The resulting mutant plants exhibited resistance to two potyviruses (Zucchini yellow mosaic virus [ZWMV] and Papaya ringspot mosaic virus W [PRSV-W], and

one ipomovirus (Cucumber vein yellowing virus [CVYN]). In the case of CVYC, total resistance was demonstrated, whereas, for ZYMV and PRSV-W, the mutant plants exhibited mild symptoms, and their development was not compromised.

In addition to virus resistance, traits associated with flower development have also been targeted. Wild-type cucumbers bear both male and female flowers. Gynoecious cucumber bearing hermaphroditic and female flowers was obtained through indel mutations in the *CmWIP1* transcription factor, which is involved in the inhibition of carpel development in melon and cucumber. The edited plants bore seven times more female flowers than wild-type. Such a flowering phenotype would eliminate the need for manual emasculation procedures used in hybrid production and reduce labor costs (Hu et al. 2017).

*PDS* was successfully mutated in watermelon in proof-of-concept experiments using CRISPR/Cas9 (Tian et al. 2017). Weeds are a severe threat to watermelon production because of their short canopy. Mutations of the acetolactate synthase (*ALS*) gene impart herbicide resistance in non-cultivated plant species, such as *Amaranthus hybridus* (Yu and Powles 2014). Subsequently, CRISPR-mediated C to T base-editing was achieved in Pro190 (CCG) of the watermelon *ALS* gene (Yu and Powles 2014; Tian et al. 2018). Genome edited, and wild type plants were treated with the recommended levels of tribenuron (17 g ai/ha), a sulfonylurea herbicide for broad weed control. The yield of edited plants was not compromised, whereas all wild-type plants were severely damaged 14 days after the treatment (Tian et al. 2018) (Table 2).

### Ornamental crops

Ornamental crops, such as roses, chrysanthemums, orchids, and tulips, are economically important, with a combined worldwide market of over a billion dollars (Chandler and Brugliera 2011). As ornamental plants are mainly cultivated for aesthetic purposes, there is a high demand for new traits associated with desirable plant architecture, smell, and color. Traditionally, techniques such as hybridization breeding and mutation breeding were used to achieve such traits (Xiong et al. 2015). However, the long time required for breeding, difficulty in attaining homozygous mutation in polyploids, lack of precision, and low frequency in

obtaining desired results necessitate implementing other technologies, such as transgenic and genome editing technologies.

The availability of genome sequences for major ornamental crops such as *Chrysanthemum nankin-gense*, *Ipomoea nil*, *Rosa chinensis*, *Dendrobium officinale*, and *Petunia hybrid*, along with classic transgenic technologies (gene overexpression and silencing), has led to the production of several new varieties. Examples include an orange pigment-producing petunia, developed via the introduction of a gene encoding dihydroflavonol reductase from maize, or an early flowering chrysanthemum and orchid, produced by introducing genes from the MADS-box gene family (Shulga et al. 2011; Sawettalake et al. 2017). The development of genome editing technologies, such as ZFN, TALENs, and CRISPR/Cas9, has opened a new avenue for their rapid improvement. These approaches also enable an in-depth understanding of the metabolic function of annotated genes in recently sequenced and assembled genomes. Furthermore, the introduction of transgenes is less of an issue in ornamental plants than it is for food crops, and it is expected that the public acceptance rate might be higher (Nishihara and Nakatsuka 2011).

CRISPR/Cas9 has recently been implemented to develop desired traits in ornamental crops; however, there are no previous reports of the use of ZFNs and TALENs for genome editing. In *Lotus japonicas* symbiosis receptor-like kinase and three hemoglobin genes were targeted, responsible for nodule formation and reduction of nodule free oxygen concentration in the presence of rhizobia, effectively enhancing symbiotic nitrogen fixation. A mutation rate of 35% was obtained for gRNA targeting the symbiosis receptor-like kinase gene and 28.5% for the leghemoglobin genes (Wang et al. 2016a). Recently, transgenic *Chrysanthemum morifolium* with a multicopy GFP gene was targeted using CRISPR/Cas9 with different promoters to establish a gene-editing method in chrysanthemum. It was observed that the ubiquitin promoter from *Petriselinum crispum* was more effective at inducing mutations in chrysanthemum, and therefore producing more significant decreases in fluorescence, compared to CaMV35s (Kishi-Kaboshi et al. 2017). In another study, CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing was established in the orchid species *Dendrobium officinale*. In *D. officinale*, an abundance of lignocellulose limits the use of this variety in

**Table 2** Summary of recent studies of CRISPR, TALEN, and ZFN induced gene mutations in fruit crops

| Plant species (common names)           | Target genes  | Target pathway or trait | Phenotype  | Genome editing efficiency (%) | Genome editing system | Transformation method                 | References  |
|--|---|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| <i>Actinidia deliciosa</i> (kiwifruit) | Phytoene desaturase (PDS)                           | Carotenoid biosynthesis | Albino   | 7.14–91.67%                   | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation | Wang et al. (2018a, b)                            |
| <i>Cucumis sativus</i> (cucumber)      | Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E (eIF4E) | Plant immunity          | Total resistance to Cucumber vein yellowing virus. Partial resistance to Zucchini yellow mosaic virus and Papaya ringspot mosaic virus-W | 20%                           | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation | Chandrasekaran et al. (2016))                     |
| <i>Cucumis sativus</i> (cucumber)      | Transcription factor WIP1                           | Carpel development      | Gynoecious   | 64.30%                        | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation | Hu et al. (2017)                                  |
| <i>Cucumis melo</i> (melon)            | Phytoene desaturase (PDS)                           | Carotenoid biosynthesis | Albino   | 42–45%                        | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation | Hooghorst, López-Cristoffanini, and Nogués (2019) |
| <i>Citrullus lanatus</i> (watermelon)  | Phytoene desaturase (PDS)                           | Carotenoid biosynthesis | Albino   | 100%                          | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation | Tian et al. (2017)                                |
| <i>Citrus sinensis</i> (sweet orange)  | Acetolactate synthase (ALS)                         | Amino acid biosynthesis | Herbicide resistance   | 23%                           | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation | Tian et al. (2018)                                |
|  | Phytoene desaturase (PDS)                           | Carotenoid biosynthesis | Albino   | 75%                           | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation | Zhang et al. (2017)                               |
| <i>Citrus sinensis</i> (sweet orange)  | Transcription factor WRKY22                         | Plant immunity          | Partial resistance to citrus canker  | 68.2–85.7%                    | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation | Wang et al. (2019b)                               |
|  | Phytoene desaturase (PDS)                           | Carotenoid biosynthesis | Albino   | 3.2–3.9%                      | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation | Jia and Wang (2014)                               |
|  | Lateral Organ Boundaries promoter (LOB1)            | Plant immunity          | Partial resistance to citrus canker  | 42%                           | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation | Peng et al. (2017)                                |

Table 2 continued

| Plant species (common names)            | Target genes                             | Target pathway or trait                                  | Phenotype                           | Genome editing efficiency (%) | Genome editing system | Transformation method                 | References           |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| <i>Citrus ×paradise</i> (grapefruit)    | Lateral Organ Boundaries promoter (LOB1) | Plant immunity   | Partial resistance to citrus canker | 15.63–81.25%                  | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation | Jia et al. (2016)    |
|   | Lateral Organ Boundaries promoter (LOB1) | Plant immunity   | Partial resistance to citrus canker | 88.79–89.36%                  | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation | Jia et al. (2017)    |
| <i>Fragaria vesca</i> (wild strawberry) | FveTAA1                                  | auxin biosynthesis and signaling                         | dwarf plants                        | NA                            | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation | Zhou et al. (2018)   |
|   | FveARF8                                  | auxin biosynthesis and signaling                         | dwarf plants                        | NA                            | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation |                      |
|   | FvMYB10                                  | MYB transcription factor                                 | Anthocyanin synthesis affected      | 10                            | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation | Xing et al. (2018)   |
|   | FvCHS                                    | chalcone synthase  | Anthocyanin synthesis affected      | NA                            | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation |                      |
|   | PDS                                      | A key enzyme of the chlorophyll and carotenoid synthesis | Albino explants                     | 10                            | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation |                      |
|   | FvUF3GT                                  | anthocyanidin 3-O-glucosyltransferase                    | Anthocyanin synthesis affected      | 10                            | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation |                      |
|   | FvLDOX                                   | naringenin,2-oxoglutarate 3-dioxygenase                  | Anthocyanin synthesis affected      | 10                            | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation |                      |
|   | PDS                                      | A key enzyme of the chlorophyll and carotenoid synthesis | Albino                              | 80                            | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation | Wilson et al. (2019) |

**Table 2** continued

| Plant species (common names)                   | Target genes              | Target pathway or trait                                  | Phenotype                         | Genome editing efficiency (%) | Genome editing system | Transformation method                 | References                               |
|--|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| <i>Fragaria</i> × <i>ananassa</i> (strawberry) | <i>FaTM6</i>              | anther development and petal formation in strawberry     | lack of flowers                   | NA                            | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation | Martín-Pizarro, Triviño, and Posé (2019) |
|  | PDS                       | A key enzyme of the chlorophyll and carotenoid synthesis | Albino                            | 50                            | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation | Wilson et al. (2019)                     |
| <i>Malus</i> × <i>domestica</i> (apple)        | Kinase receptor MdDIPM4   | Plant immunity   | Partial resistance to fire blight | 73.3–77.8%                    | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation | Pompli et al. (2020)                     |
|  | Phytoene desaturase (PDS) | Carotenoid biosynthesis                                  | Albino                            | 84–90%                        | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation | Charrier et al. (2019)                   |
|  | Terminal Flower 1 (TFL)   | Flower repressor   | Early flowering                   |                               |                       | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation |  |
|  | B-glucuronidase (gus A)   | GUS expression   | Marker gene expression            | 10–40%                        | ZFN                   | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation | Peer et al. (2015)                       |

Table 2 continued

| Plant species (common names)                          | Target genes              | Target pathway or trait                                  | Phenotype  | Genome editing efficiency (%) | Genome editing system                | Transformation method                  | References                 |
|---|---------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| <i>Malus prunifolia</i> × <i>Malus pumila</i> (apple) | Phytoene desaturase (PDS) | Carotenoid biosynthesis                                  | Albino   | 13.60%                        | CRISPR/Cas9                          | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation  | Nishitani et al. (2016)    |
| <i>Musa spp</i> (Banana)                              | eBSV                      | Endogenous virus   | Inactivation of eBSV into infectious viral particles | 70–95                         | CRISPR/Cas9                          | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation  | Tripathi et al. (2019a, b) |
|   | PDS                       | A key enzyme of the chlorophyll and carotenoid synthesis | Albino   | 59                            | CRISPR/Cas9                          | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation  | Kaur et al. (2018)         |
|   | PDS                       | A key enzyme of the chlorophyll and carotenoid synthesis | Albino   | 100                           | CRISPR/Cas9                          | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation  | Naim et al. (2018)         |
|   | PDS                       | A key enzyme of the chlorophyll and carotenoid synthesis | Albino   | 100                           | CRISPR/Cas9                          | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation  | Ntui et al. (2020)         |
|   | MaGA20ox2                 | Participates in the biosynthesis of gibberellin          | semi-dwarf plants                                    | Not reported                  | CRISPR/Cas9                          | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation  | Shao et al. (2020)         |
|   | LCY $\epsilon$            | A key enzyme in the biosynthesis of $\beta$ -carotene    | $\beta$ -carotene-enriched fruits                    | 83                            | CRISPR/Cas9                          | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation  | Kaur et al. (2020)         |
|   | PDS                       | A key enzyme of the chlorophyll and carotenoid synthesis | Albino   | 0–1                           | CRISPR/Cas9, Cas12, CRISPR/Cas9-RNPs | Protoplast-PEG-mediated transformation | Wu et al. (2020)           |
| <i>Pyrus communis</i> L                               | PDS                       | A key enzyme of the chlorophyll and carotenoid synthesis | Albino explants                                      | 9                             | CRISPR/Cas9                          | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation  | Charrier et al. (2019)     |
|   | TFL                       | terminal flower development                              | early flowering                                      | 9                             | CRISPR/Cas9                          | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation  |                            |

**Table 2** continued

| Plant species (common names) | Target genes | Target pathway or trait                                  | Phenotype  | Genome editing efficiency (%) | Genome editing system | Transformation method                  | References              |
|------------------------------|--------------|--|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--|-------------------------|
| <i>Vitis spp</i> (grape)     | IdmDH        | Regulates the biosynthesis of tartaric Acid              | Stable accumulation of tartaric acid   | ~ 100                         | CRISPR/Cas9           | Protoplast-PEG-mediated transformation | Ren et al. (2016)       |
|                              | PDS          | A key enzyme of the chlorophyll and carotenoid synthesis | Albino   | 2 to 70                       | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation  | Nakajima et al. (2017)  |
|                              | PDS          | A key enzyme of the chlorophyll and carotenoid synthesis | Albino   | 86                            | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation  | Ren et al. (2019)       |
|                              | TAS4         | Trans-Acting Small-interfering locus4                    | anthocyanin production related to disease resistance against Pierce disease (PD) and Grapevine Red Blotch Virus (GRBV) | Not reported                  | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation  | Sunitha and Rock (2020) |
|                              | VvWRKY52     | WrKy transcription factors                               | biotic stress response   | 64                            | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated transformation  | Wang et al. (2018a, b)  |

natural health products. Targeting of five genes associated with lignocellulose biosynthesis—coumarate 3-hydroxylase, cinnamate 4-hydroxylase, coumarate-coenzyme A ligase, cinnamoyl coenzyme A reductase, and irregular xylem 5—were targeted using multiple gRNAs, and mutation rates of 10–100% were achieved in different loci of these genes (Kui et al. 2017). Recently, in another orchid, *Phalaenopsis equestris*, multiple mutants for MADS genes (MADS8, MADS36, and MADS44) were obtained using CRISPR/Cas9. The triple mutants were achieved at a high rate of 97.9%; single and double mutants were also obtained to understand the effect of different gene mutations on floral development (Tong et al. 2020).

CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing has been demonstrated in *Lilium pumilum* DC, *Lilium pumilum* Fisch, and *Lilium longiflorum* by targeting the *PDS* gene. Mutation rates of 69.57% and 63.64% were observed and in Fisch and White Heaven cultivars, respectively (Yan et al. 2019). As mentioned earlier, one of the desired traits for ornamental crops is flower color. Recently, a gene associated with flower color was targeted in *Torenia fournieri* L. Modification of flavanone-3-hydroxylase, which encodes for the main enzyme in the flavonoid biosynthesis pathway, was successfully edited to produce a pale-purple to almost-white flower color in 80% of all regenerated transgenic lines (Nishihara et al. 2018).

In petunia, an ornamental model crop, the endogenous nitrate reductase gene was edited using protoplasts (Subburaj et al. 2016a). The nitrate reductase enzyme is involved in nitrate uptake and assimilation, and loss-of-function mutants cannot assimilate nitrate efficiently (Subburaj et al. 2016b). In addition to nitrate reductase, the knock-out of *PDS* has also been demonstrated in petunia at 55.6–87.5% efficiency (Zhang et al. 2016). Self-incompatibility in petunia is regulated by polymorphic S-locus-comprising multiple pollen-specific genes (*SLF*), which are specifically expressed in late pollen developmental stages, and a single pistil-specific gene, which expresses in the pistil during fruit set. Each SLF protein is assembled into an Skp1-Cullin1-F-box (SCF) E3 ubiquitin ligase complex. The Cullin1 subunit (PiCUL1-P) and the Skp1 subunit (PiSSK1) in SCFSLF complexes are pollen-specific. PiSSK1 is involved in self-incompatibility, but its specific role remains to be elucidated. By inducing frame-shift indel mutations in the Skp1

subunit PiSSK1, it was established that this subunit is indispensable in the assembly of SCFSLF complexes because there was no fruit set in crosses between compatible plants and knock-out mutant lines (Sun and Kao 2018). Petunia flower longevity was also extended by knocking out 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase 1 (*ACO*). *ACO* encodes for the last enzyme in ethylene biosynthesis, and its partial knock-out caused a significant reduction in ethylene production in petals and pistils. As a result, flower longevity was extended from 6 to 10 days in the mutants (Xu et al. 2020).

In *Ipomoea nil* (Japanese morning glory), CRISPR/Cas9 was used to target flower longevity and color. NAC is a family of transcription factors involved in regulating hormone signaling to affect plant immunity (Yuan et al. 2019). One such transcription factor, Ephemeral 1 (EPH1), was knocked out in *I. nil* by CRISPR/Cas9. The mutations occurred at single or multiple target sites and involved insertions and/or deletions of one or more bases at the target sites resulting in an extension of senescence by up to 10.8 h, an increase in flower longevity of approximately 84% over that of wild type (Watanabe et al. 2017). Carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase 4 (*InCCD4*) cleaves carotenoids in petals during floral tissue development in *I. nil* (Watanabe et al. 2018). CRISPR/Cas9 *InCCD4* knock-outs resulted in yellow midribs in flower petals. CRISPR/Cas9 null mutations in dihydroflavonol-4-reductase-B (*DFR-B*) impacted anthocyanin biosynthesis as expected, resulting in stems and leaves with no anthocyanins and in white, violet, and pale-violet, flowers (Watanabe et al. 2017) (Table 3).

### Industrial crops

Industrial crops are primarily non-food crops grown for various raw materials they produce for industry or manufacturing. Exceptional biomass, lipids, cellulose, hydrocarbons, or metabolite accumulation are hallmarks of these crops. While there are industrial uses for the by-products of various crops, e.g., wheat straw as construction material (Bouasker et al. 2014), discussion within this review has been limited to non-food crops (Table 4).

**Table 3** Summary of recent studies reporting genome editing in ornamental crops

| Plant species  | Target gene  | Function of the encoded product                   | Phenotype   | Genome editing efficiency (%) | Genome editing system | Transformation method  | References                             |
|--|--|---|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--|
| <i>Lotus japonicus</i>                               | Leghemoglobin loci (LjLb1, LjLb2, LjLb3), Symbiosis receptor-like kinase (LjSYMRK)   | Symbiosis receptor kinase and Leghemoglobin genes | Nodule formation in the presence of rhizobia and establishing low free oxygen concentration in nodule | 35                            | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated | Wang et al. (2016a, b)                 |
| <i>Chrysanthemum morifolium</i>                      | Yellowish green fluorescent protein (CpYGFP)   | Fluorescence                                      | Decrease in fluorescence  | 0–33.3                        | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated | Kishi-Kaboshi, Aida, and Sasaki (2017) |
| <i>Dendrobium officinale</i>                         | Coumarate 3-hydroxylase (C3H), Cinnamate 4-hydroxylase (C4H), 4-Coumarate:coenzyme A ligase (4CL), Cinnamoyl coenzyme A reductase (CCR), Cinnamoyl coenzyme A irregular xylem5 (IRX) | Lignocellulose biosynthesis                       | N/A   | 10–100                        | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated | Kui et al. (2017)                      |
| <i>Lilium longiflorum</i> ,<br><i>Lilium pumilum</i> | Phytoene desaturase (LpPDS)  | Chlorophyll biosynthesis                          | Albino  | 69.57 and 63.64               | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated | Yan et al. (2019)                      |
| <i>Phalaenopsis equestris</i>                        | MADS genes (MADS44, MADS36 and MADS8)  | Floral initiation and development                 | Long juvenile period  | 97.9                          | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated | Tong et al. (2020)                     |
| <i>Torenia fournieri</i>                             | Flavanone 3-hydroxylase (F3H)  | Flavonoid biosynthesis                            | Pale blue flowers   | 80                            | CRISPR/Cas9           | Agrobacterium-mediated | Nishihara et al. (2018)                |

Table 3 continued

| Plant species                                  | Target gene   | Function of the encoded product                 | Phenotype                          | Genome editing efficiency (%) | Genome editing system | Transformation method                         | References                 |
|--|---|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------------|
| <i>Petunia × hybrid</i><br>(petunia)           | Nitrate reductase ( <i>NR</i> )                           | Nitrogen metabolism                             | Deficiency in nitrate assimilation | 5.3–17.8%                     | CRISPR/Cas9           | Protoplast transformation                     | Subburaj et al. (2016a, b) |
|  | Phytoene desaturase ( <i>PDS</i> )                        | Carotenoid biosynthesis                         | Albino                             | 55.6%–87.5%                   | CRISPR/Cas9           | <i>Agrobacterium</i> -mediated transformation | B. Zhang et al. (2016)     |
|  | Skp1 subunit ( <i>PiSSK1</i> )                            | Self-incompatibility                            | Self-incompatibility               | Not determined                | CRISPR/Cas9           | <i>Agrobacterium</i> -mediated transformation | Sun and Kao (2018)         |
|  | 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase1 ( <i>ACO</i> ) | Ethylene biosynthesis                           | Flower longevity                   | 31.50%                        | CRISPR/Cas9           | <i>Agrobacterium</i> -mediated transformation | J. Xu et al. (2020)        |
| <i>Ipomoea nil</i><br>(Japanese morning glory) | Ephemeral 1 ( <i>EPH1</i> )                               | Regulation of petal senescence                  | Flower longevity                   | 100%                          | CRISPR/Cas9           | <i>Agrobacterium</i> -mediated transformation | Shibuya et al. (2018)      |
|  | Carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase 4 ( <i>CCD4</i> )         | cleaves the 9, 10 double bond of C40-carotenoid | Flower color                       | 55%                           | CRISPR/Cas9           | <i>Agrobacterium</i> -mediated transformation | Watanabe et al. (2017)     |
|  | Dihydroflavonol4-reductase-B ( <i>DFR-B</i> )             | anthocyanin biosynthesis                        | Flower color                       | 75%                           | CRISPR/Cas9           | <i>Agrobacterium</i> -mediated transformation | Watanabe et al. (2018)     |

**Table 4** Table summarizing literature reports of CRISPR-, TALEN-, and ZFN-induced gene mutations in industrial crops

| Plant Species (common names)            | Target genes         | Target pathway or trait    | Phenotype                             | Genome editing efficiency (%) | Genome editing system | Transformation method    | Reference               |
|---|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Microalgae                              | <i>Cre01.g000300</i> | Lipid catabolism           | Enhanced Lipid productivity           | 13.7                          | CRISPR-Cas9           | RNP Particle Bombardment | Nguyen et al. (2020)    |
| <i>Brassica napus</i> (Rapeseed)        | <i>AGPL</i>          | Starch synthesis           | Enhanced Lipid productivity           | 1.01                          | TALEN                 | Particle Bombardment     | Takahashi et al. (2018) |
|   | <i>BnALC</i>         | Valve margin development   | Shatter-resistant seeds               | 100                           | CRISPR-Cas9           |                          | Braatz et al. (2017)    |
|   | <i>orf125</i>        | Cytoplasmic male sterility | Fertile plants                        | 100                           | TALEN                 | Agrobacterium            | Kazama et al. (2019)    |
| <i>Brassica rapa</i> (Field Mustard)    | <i>Bra003491</i>     | Pectin synthesis           | NA                                    | 56                            | CRISPR-Cas9           | Agrobacterium            | Xiong et al. (2019)     |
|   | <i>Bra007665</i>     |                            |                                       | 20                            |                       |                          |                         |
|   | <i>Bra014410</i>     |                            |                                       | 20                            |                       |                          |                         |
| <i>Medicago sativa</i> (Alfalfa)        | <i>MsSGR</i>         | Senescence                 | Delay of senescence                   | 75                            | CRISPR-Cas9           | Agrobacterium            | Wolabu et al. (2020)    |
|   | <i>JcCYP735A</i>     |                            |                                       | ND                            | CRISPR-Cas9           | Agrobacterium            | Cai et al. (2018)       |
| <i>Jatropha curcas</i> (Jatropha)       | <i>JcIPT (1–6)</i>   |                            |                                       |                               |                       |                          |                         |
|   | <i>JcCKX</i>         | Cytokinin synthesis        | ‘Decreased cytokinin synthesis        |                               |                       |                          |                         |
| <i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> (Eucalyptus) | <i>CCR1</i>          | Lignin biosynthesis        | Decreased lignification               | 100                           | CRISPR-Cas9           | Agrobacterium            | Dai et al. (2020)       |
|   | <i>IAA9A</i>         | Auxin regulation           | Decreased lignification               | 92.3                          |                       |                          |                         |
| <i>Elaeis guineensis</i> (Oil Palm)     | <i>EgEMLP</i>        | Disease resistance         | <i>Ganoderma boninense</i> resistance | 100                           | CRISPR-Cas9           | Agrobacterium            | Budiani et al. (2019)   |
|   | <i>ProPDS</i>        | Carotenoid biosynthesis    | Albino plants                         | 89                            | CRISPR-Cas9           | Agrobacterium            | Fan et al. (2015)       |
| <i>Populus tomentosa</i> (Poplar)       | <i>LEAFY</i>         | Fertility                  | NA                                    | 2                             | ZFN                   | Heat shock               | Lu et al. (2016)        |
|   | <i>AGAMOUS</i>       |                            | NA                                    |                               |                       |                          |                         |

Table 4 continued

| Plant Species (common names)                   | Target genes  | Target pathway or trait                      | Phenotype                       | Genome editing efficiency (%)  | Genome editing system | Transformation method | Reference                |
|--|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| <i>Panicum virgatum</i> (Switchgrass)          | <i>Pv4CL1</i> , <i>Pv4CL2</i> , <i>Pv4CL3</i>                                     | Lignin synthesis                             | Decreased lignification         | 10                             | CRISPR-Cas9           | Agrobacterium         | Park et al. (2017)       |
|  | <i>Tb1a</i>   | Plant architecture                           | Increased tillering             | 95.5, 11                       | CRISPR-Cas9           | Agrobacterium         | Liu et al. (2018)        |
|  | <i>Tb1b</i>   |  | Increased tillering             |                                |                       |                       |                          |
| <i>Gossypium hirsutum</i> L. (Cotton)          | <i>GhMYB25-like A</i>   | Fiber development                            | NA                              | 100, 98.8                      | CRISPR-Cas9           | Agrobacterium         | Li et al. (2017)         |
|  | <i>GhMYB25-like D</i>   |  | NA                              |                                |                       |                       |                          |
| <i>Papaver somniferum</i> (Opium Poppy)        | <i>4'OMT2</i>   | Benzylisoquinoline alkaloids (BIA) synthesis | Reduced BIA synthesis           | 85                             | CRISPR-Cas9           | Agrobacterium         | Alagoz et al. (2016)     |
| <i>Salvia miltiorrhiza</i> (Red Sage)          | <i>SmCPSI</i>   | Tanshinone biosynthesis                      | Reduced tanshinone synthesis    | 11.5                           | CRISPR-Cas9           | Agrobacterium         | Li et al. (2017)         |
| <i>Hevea brasiliensis</i> (Rubber Tree)        | <i>HbFT1</i> , <i>HbFT2</i> , <i>HbTFL1-1</i> , <i>HbTFL1-2</i> , <i>HbTFL1-3</i> | Floral development                           | NA (protoplast edit)            | 5.56, 3.74, 4.29, 20.11, 10.32 | CRISPR-Cas9           | Agrobacterium         | Fan et al. (2020)        |
| <i>Taraxacum kok-saghyz</i> (Rubber Dandelion) | <i>1-FFT</i>  | Rubber synthesis                             | Increased alkaloid accumulation | 80                             | CRISPR-Cas9           | Agrobacterium         | Iaffaldano et al. (2016) |
| <i>Dendrocalamus latiflorus</i> Munro (Bamboo) | <i>DlmPSY1-A</i> , <i>DlmPSY1-B</i> , <i>DlmPSY1-C</i>                            | Phytoene synthesis                           | Albino phenotype                | 2.1                            | CRISPR                | Polyethylene glycol   | Ye et al. (2020)         |

### Switchgrass

Switchgrass, a self-infertile allotetraploid ( $2n = 4x = 36$ ) grass, is an ideal candidate for genetic engineering and gene function modification studies, as such experiments are difficult through conventional trait modification techniques. Genetic engineering projects in switchgrass target various traits, including ease of cell wall conversion to biofuels, plant architecture, and plant domestication (Nageswara-Rao et al. 2013).

To address recalcitrance to cell wall degradation during the fermentation process, editing was performed on one of the three genes coding for 4-Coumarate: coenzyme A ligase to disrupt monolignol's early stages biosynthesis. The *Pv4CLI* gene was selected as the target due to its preferential expression in lignified stem tissue. Mutation efficiencies of 10% were observed, along with reduced cell wall thickness and reduced total lignin content by 8–30%. (Park et al. 2017). Recently, successful CRISPR/Cas9 induced mutations were reported in *teosinte branched 1 (tb1) a* and *b*, conferring increased tiller production and more desirable plant architecture at frequencies of 95.5% and 11%, respectively (Liu et al. 2018). Lignocellulosic crops like switchgrass are expected to become essential biofuel crops in the future (Ghadge et al. 2020). Lignin biosynthesis regulatory networks have been proposed, and genes associated with plant architecture identified, providing putative targets for future editing and trait improvement studies (Bahri et al. 2018; Rao et al. 2019).

### Rubber tree

The Brazilian rubber tree (*Hevea brasiliensis* Muell. Arg.) is the only commercially available natural rubber source and, therefore, an exceptionally economically important crop. In 2016, the gross production value of natural rubber was over \$18.5 billion (FAOSTAT 2016). Long juvenility in *Hevea* spp. generally dictates breeding cycles of 25–30 years; therefore, contemporary rubber tree breeding programs are in their third or fourth-generation cycle (Souza et al. 2019). Modulation of the juvenile/reproductive cycle would be advantageous for breeders of rubber tree as it could allow for quicker selection of progeny. Recently, targeted edits were achieved in two gene subfamilies experimentally proven to

modulate flowering time in higher plants. *FT* subfamily genes responsible for floral initiation (*HbFT1* and *HbFT2*) and *TFL1* subfamily genes responsible for floral suppression (*HbTFL1-1*, *HbTFL1-2*, and *HbTFL1-3*) were targeted using CRISPR/Cas9 ribonucleoprotein in rubber tree protoplast. Editing efficiencies of 5.56, 3.74, 4.29, 20.11, and 10.32% were observed in target sequences of *HbFT1*, *HbFT2*, *HbTFL1-1*, *HbTFL1-2*, and *HbTFL1-3*, respectively, with sgRNAs or multiple sgRNA combinations (Fan et al. 2020). Also, resistance to *Microcyclus ulei*, the causal agent of South American Leaf Blight, has been a breeding objective in *H. brasiliensis* for decades that could be rapidly achieved using genome editing (Van Beilen and Poirier 2007).

### Dandelion

Despite its commercial popularity, the Brazilian rubber tree is vulnerable to factors that may impact its future viability. (Cornish 2017; Hafiz et al. 2018). Alternative natural rubber sources are being sought in anticipation of projected declines in Brazilian rubber tree production. One such source, Rubber Dandelion (*Taraxacum kok-saghyz*), has been the recipient of recent CRISPR/cas9 gene editing experiments. Rubber production and storage in dandelion roots is highly variable; therefore, a mechanism for normalizing rubber production is desirable (Cornish and Wenshuang 2012; Iaffaldano et al. 2016). The fructan 1-fructosyltransferase (*1-FFT*) gene was knocked out using editing, reducing the biosynthesis of the rubber production antagonist inulin with efficiencies as high as 80% (Iaffaldano et al. 2016). Evaluation of rubber synthesis was not conducted, as a deleterious hairy-root phenotype was imparted upon the regenerated dandelion plantlets as a consequence of transformation with *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*.

### Hemp

Before the 1930s, Hemp (*Cannabis sativa*) was a predominant industrial crop with various commercial applications, including pulp and paper, building materials, textiles, cosmetics, food products, and nutritional supplements. There is emerging interest in its use as biomass fuel, seeds, and oil for human health, and its use as a wood alternative (Pal and Lucia 2019). However, there is no peer-reviewed study

exploring the use of ZFN, TALEN, or CRISPR/Cas systems for genome editing in Cannabis. Given the economic importance and the sustainability potential, proposed changes in the regulatory landscape, availability of genetic engineering protocols, and genomic resources, this crop is primed for gene editing applications (van Bakel et al. 2011; Cherney and Small 2016; Deguchi et al. 2020).

## Conclusions and future directions

The utility and feasibility of using CRISPR/Cas9 to study gene function and achieve desirable traits in horticulturally important crops have been demonstrated in fruits, ornamental, and industrial crops. Most horticultural crops are recalcitrant to in vitro manipulation; therefore, as advances are made in the regeneration of such crops, targeted gene editing via CRISPR/Cas9 will enable rapid trait improvement overcoming long breeding cycles. It is encouraging to note that the use of CRISPR/Cas9 combined with viral vectors or growth regulators has allowed the production of edited plants without the need for laborious tissue culture approaches (Ma et al. 2020; Maher et al. 2020). Unlike row crops, fruits, ornamental and industrial crops contribute much more to society than just subsistence. The application of targeted editing of genes that regulate desirable traits related to nutrition, novel organoleptic properties, and novel industrial uses can help develop value-added crops that provide food, feed, fiber, and fuel in abundance for the burgeoning population.

**Acknowledgments** The authors would like to acknowledge funding from MINECO, Spain (PGC2018-097655-B-I00 to P Christou), Generalitat de Catalunya Grant 2017 SGR 828 to the Agricultural Biotechnology and Bioeconomy Unit (ABBU). Work in the Dhingra lab in crop improvement is supported in part by Washington State University Agriculture Research Center Hatch grant WNP00011. ES and FR acknowledge the support received from the Department of Horticulture, BW was supported in part by a Research Assistantship from the Washington State University Graduate School. The authors would also like to thank Drs A. McHughen and H. Quemada for input and clarifications on US genome editing regulations. We would also like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their insightful comments.

## References

- Alagoz Y, Gurkok T, Zhang B, Unver T (2016) Manipulating the biosynthesis of bioactive compound alkaloids for next-generation metabolic engineering in opium poppy using CRISPR-Cas 9 genome editing technology. *Sci Rep*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep30910>
- Ayar A, Wehrkamp-Richter S, Laffaire J et al (2013) Gene targeting in maize by somatic ectopic recombination. *Plant Biotechnol J* 11:305–314
- Bahri BA, Daverdin G, Xu X et al (2018) Natural variation in genes potentially involved in plant architecture and adaptation in switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.). *BMC Evol Biol* 18:91. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-018-1193-2>
- Bouasker M, Belayachi N, Hoxha D, Al-Makhtar M (2014) Physical characterization of natural straw fibers as aggregates for construction materials applications. *Materials (Basel)* 7:3034–3048. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma7043034>
- Bouis HE (2002) Plant breeding: a new tool for fighting micronutrient malnutrition. *J Nutr* 132:491S–494S. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/132.3.491s>
- Braatz J, Harloff H-J, Mascher M, Stein N, Himmelbach A, Jung C (2017) CRISPR-Cas9 targeted mutagenesis leads to simultaneous modification of different homoeologous gene copies in polyploid oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*). *Plant Physiol* 174(2):935–942. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.17.00426>
- Brooks C, Nekrasov V, Lippman ZB, Van Eck J (2014) Efficient gene editing in tomato in the first generation using the clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats/CRISPR-Associated9 system. *Plant Physiol* 166:1292–1297. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.247577>
- Budiani A, Nugroho IB, Sari AD, Palupi I, Putranto RA (2019) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of an oil palm defense-related gene to the pathogenic fungus *Ganoderma boninense*. *Indonesian J Biotechnol* 24(2):100. <https://journal.ugm.ac.id/ijbiotech/article/view/52170/26701>
- Cai L, Zhang L, Fu Q, Xu ZF (2018) Identification and expression analysis of cytokinin metabolic genes IPTs, CYP735A and CKXs in the biofuel. *PeerJ* 6:e4812. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4812>
- Čermák T, Baltés NJ, Čegan R et al (2015) High-frequency, precise modification of the tomato genome. *Genome Biol*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-015-0796-9>
- Chandler SF, Brugliera F (2011) Genetic modification in floriculture. *Biotechnol Lett* 33:207–214. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-010-0424-4>
- Chandrasekaran J, Brumin M, Wolf D et al (2016) Development of broad virus resistance in non-transgenic cucumber using CRISPR/Cas9 technology. *Mol Plant Pathol* 17:1140–1153
- Charrier A, Vergne E, Dousset N et al (2019) Efficient targeted mutagenesis in apple and first time edition of pear using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. *Front Plant Sci* 10:1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00040>
- Cherney J, Small E (2016) Industrial hemp in North America: production. *Politics Potential Agron* 6:58. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy6040058>
- Cornille A, Giraud T, Smulders MJM et al (2014) The domestication and evolutionary ecology of apples. *Trends Genet* 30:57–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2013.10.002>

- Cornish K (2017) Alternative natural rubber crops: why should we care? *Technol Innov* 18:245–256. <https://doi.org/10.21300/18.4.2017.245>
- Cornish K, Wenshuang X (2012) Natural rubber biosynthesis in plants: rubber transferase. Elsevier Enhanced Reader. In: Hopwood DA (ed) *Methods in enzymology*. Academic Press Inc, Cambridge, pp 63–82
- Crosby JA, Janick J, Pecknold PC et al (1992) Breeding apples for scab resistance: 1945–1990. In: *Acta horticulturae*. International Society for Horticultural Science (ISHS), Leuven, pp 43–70
- D'Ambrosio C, Stigliani AL, Giorio G (2018) CRISPR/Cas9 editing of carotenoid genes in tomato. *Transgenic Res* 27:367–378. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11248-018-0079-9>
- D'hont A, Denoeud F, Aury J-M et al (2012) The banana (*Musa acuminata*) genome and the evolution of monocotyledonous plants. *Nature* 488:213–217
- Dai Y, Hu G, Dupas A, Medina L, Blandels N, San Clemente H, Ladouce N, Badawi M, Hernandez-Raquet G, Mounet F, Grima-Pettenati J, Cassan-Wang H (2020) Implementing the CRISPR/Cas9 technology in eucalyptus hairy roots using wood-related genes. *Int J Mol Sci* 21(10):3408. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21103408>
- Danilo B, Perrot L, Mara K et al (2019) Efficient and transgene-free gene targeting using *Agrobacterium*-mediated delivery of the CRISPR/Cas9 system in tomato. *Plant Cell Rep* 38:459–462. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-019-02373-6>
- Deguchi M, Kane S, Potlakayala S et al (2020) Metabolic engineering strategies of industrial hemp (*Cannabis sativa* L.): a brief review of the advances and challenges. *Front Plant Sci* 11:580621
- Deng L, Wang H, Sun C et al (2018) Efficient generation of pink-fruited tomatoes using CRISPR/Cas9 system. *J Genet Genomics* 45:51–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgg.2017.10.002>
- Doudna JA, Charpentier E (2014) The new frontier of genome engineering with CRISPR-Cas9. *Science* (80-). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1258096>
- Fan D, Liu T, Li C, Jiao B, Li S, Hou Y, Luo K (2015) Efficient CRISPR/Cas9-mediated targeted mutagenesis in populus in the first generation. *Sci Rep*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep12217>
- Fan Y, Xin S, Dai X et al (2020) Efficient genome editing of rubber tree (*Hevea brasiliensis*) protoplasts using CRISPR/Cas9 ribonucleoproteins. *Ind Crops Prod*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2020.112146>
- FAO (2020) Banana facts and figures
- FAOSTAT (2016) Natural rubber gross production value 2016
- FAOSTAT (2018) Fruit production value 2018
- Feng S, Zhang J, Mu Z et al (2020) Recent progress on the molecular breeding of *Cucumis sativus* L. in China. *Theor Appl Genet* 133:1777–1790. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-019-03484-0>
- Fister AS, Landherr L, Maximova SN, Guiltinan MJ (2018) Transient expression of CRISPR/Cas9 machinery targeting TcNPR3 enhances defense response in *Theobroma cacao*. *Front Plant Sci* 9:1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00268>
- Gao Y, Wei W, Zhao X et al (2018) A NAC transcription factor, NOR-like1, is a new positive regulator of tomato fruit ripening. *Hortic Res*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41438-018-0111-5>
- Ghadge A, van der Werf S, Er Kara M et al (2020) Modelling the impact of climate change risk on bioethanol supply chains. *Technol Forecast Soc Change*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120227>
- Ghogare R, Williamson-Benavides B, Ramírez-Torres F, Dhingra A (2019) CRISPR-associated nucleases: the Dawn of a new age of efficient crop improvement. *Transgenic Res* 29:1–35
- Gonsalves D (2006) Transgenic papaya: development, release, impact and challenges. *Adv Virus Res* 67:317–354. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-3527\(06\)67009-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-3527(06)67009-7)
- Gottwald TR, Graham JH, Schubert TS (2002) Citrus canker: the pathogen and its impact. *Plant Heal Prog*. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHP-2002-0812-01-RV>
- Gurin D, Glacier A, Natura P et al (2014) Watch out for wildlife fact sheet. *Pestic - Toxic Asp* 4:451–456. <https://doi.org/10.5772/57399>
- Hafiz M, Hazir M, Kadir RA, Karim YA (2018) IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science Projections on future impact and vulnerability of climate change towards rubber areas in Peninsular Malaysia. *IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci* 169:12053. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/169/1/012053>
- Hayut SF, Bessudo CM, Levy AA (2017) Targeted recombination between homologous chromosomes for precise breeding in tomato. *Nat Commun* 8:1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15605>
- Hooghvorst I, López-Cristoffanini C, Nogués S (2019) Efficient knockout of phytoene desaturase gene using CRISPR/Cas9 in melon. *Sci Rep* 9:1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-53710-4>
- Hu B, Li D, Liu X et al (2017) Engineering non-transgenic gynococious cucumber using an improved transformation protocol and optimized CRISPR/Cas9 system. *Mol Plant* 10:1575–1578. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2017.09.005>
- Hu Y, Zhang J, Jia H et al (2014) Lateral organ boundaries 1 is a disease susceptibility gene for citrus bacterial canker disease. *PNAS* 111:E521–E529. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1313271111>
- Huang X, Wang Y, Xu J, Wang N (2020) Development of multiplex genome editing toolkits for citrus with high efficacy in biallelic and homozygous mutations. *Plant Mol Biol* 104:297–307. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-020-01043-6>
- Iaffaldano B, Zhang Y, Cornish K (2016) CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing of rubber producing dandelion *Taraxacum kok-saghyz* using *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* without selection. *Ind Crops Prod* 89:356–362. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2016.05.029>
- Igarashi M, Hatsuyama Y, Harada T, Fukasawa-Akada T (2016) Biotechnology and apple breeding in Japan. *Breed Sci* 66:18–33. <https://doi.org/10.1270/jsbbs.66.18>
- Irish BM, Goenaga R, Zhang D et al (2010) Microsatellite fingerprinting of the USDA-ARS tropical agriculture research station cacao (*Theobroma cacao* L.) germplasm collection. *Crop Sci* 50:656–667
- Ito Y, Nishizawa-Yokoi A, Endo M et al (2015) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis of the RIN locus that regulates

- tomato fruit ripening. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 467:76–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.09.117>
- Ito Y, Nishizawa-Yokoi A, Endo M et al (2017) Re-evaluation of the rin mutation and the role of RIN in the induction of tomato ripening. *Nat Plants* 3:866–874. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-017-0041-5>
- Ito Y, Sekiyama Y, Nakayama H et al (2020) Allelic mutations in the ripening-inhibitor locus generate extensive variation in tomato ripening. *Plant Physiol* 183:80–95. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.20.00020>
- Jaganathan D, Ramasamy K, Sellamuthu G et al (2018) CRISPR for crop improvement: an update review. *Front Plant Sci* 9:1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00985>
- Jia H, Wang N (2014) Targeted genome editing of sweet orange using Cas9/sgRNA. *PLoS ONE*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0093806>
- Jia H, Orbovic V, Jones JB, Wang N (2016) Modification of the PthA4 effector binding elements in Type I CsLOB1 promoter using Cas9/sgRNA to produce transgenic Duncan grapefruit alleviating Xcc $\Delta$ pthA4: DCsLOB1.3 infection. *Plant Biotechnol J* 14:1291–1301. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12495>
- Jia H, Orbovic V, Wang N (2019) CRISPR-LbCas12a-mediated modification of citrus. *Plant Biotechnol J* 17:1928–1937. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13109>
- Jia H, Wang N (2020) Generation of homozygous canker-resistant citrus in the T0 generation using CRISPR-SpCas9p. *Plant Biotechnol J*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13375>
- Jia H, Zhang Y, Orbovic V et al (2017) Genome editing of the disease susceptibility gene CsLOB1 in citrus confers resistance to citrus canker. *Plant Biotechnol J* 15:817–823. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12677>
- Joint FAO IAEA Division of Nuclear Techniques in Food and Agriculture (1999) Mutation breeding review
- Kaiser N, Douches D, Dhingra A et al (2020) The role of conventional plant breeding in ensuring safe levels of naturally occurring toxins in food crops. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 100:51–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2020.03.042>
- Kaur N, Alok A, Kaur N et al (2018) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated efficient editing in phytoene desaturase (PDS) demonstrates precise manipulation in banana cv. Rasthali genome. *Funct Integr Genomics* 18:89–99
- Kaur N, Alok A, Kumar P et al (2020) CRISPR/Cas9 directed editing of lycopene epsilon-cyclase modulates metabolic flux for  $\beta$ -carotene biosynthesis in banana fruit. *Metab Eng* 59:76–86
- Kazama T, Okuno M, Watari Y, Yanase S, Koizuka C, Tsuruta Y, Sugaya H, Toyoda A, Itoh T, Tsutsumi N, Toriyama K, Koizuka N, Arimura S (2019) Curing cytoplasmic male sterility via TALEN-mediated mitochondrial genome editing. *Nat Plants* 5(7):722–730. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-019-0459-z>
- Kishi-Kaboshi M, Aida R, Sasaki K (2017) Generation of gene-edited *Chrysanthemum morifolium* using multicopy transgenes as targets and markers. *Plant Cell Physiol* 58:216–226. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcw222>
- Klap C, Yeshayahou E, Bolger AM, Arazi T, Gupta SK, Shabtai S, Usadel B, Salts Y, Barg R (2017) Tomato facultative parthenocarpy results from SIAGAMOUS-LIKE 6 loss of function. *Plant Biotechnol J* 15:634–647. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12662>
- Klopper A (2018) You say tomato. *Nat Phys* 14:872. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-018-0284-8>
- Kui L, Chen H, Zhang W et al (2017) Building a genetic manipulation tool box for orchid biology: identification of constitutive promoters and application of CRISPR/Cas9 in the orchid, *Dendrobium officinale*. *Front Plant Sci* 7:2036. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.02036>
- Kwon C-T, Heo J, Lemmon ZH, Capua Y, Hutton SF, Van Eck J, Park SJ, Lippman ZB (2020) Rapid customization of Solanaceae fruit crops for urban agriculture. *Nat Biotechnol* 38(2):182–188
- Lee J, Han S, Lee HHY, Jeong B, Heo T-Y, Hyun TK, Kim K, Je BI, Lee H, Shim D, Park SJ, Ryu H (2019) Brassinosteroids facilitate xylem differentiation and wood formation in tomato. *Planta* 249(5):1391–1403. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-019-03094-6>
- Lemmon ZH, Reem NT, Dalrymple J, Soyk S, Swartwood KE, Rodriguez-Leal D, Van Eck J, Lippman ZB (2018) Rapid improvement of domestication traits in an orphan crop by genome editing. *Nat Plants* 4(10):766–770. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-018-0259-x>
- Li C, Unver T, Zhang B (2017) A high-efficiency CRISPR/Cas9 system for targeted mutagenesis in Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Sci Rep*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep43902>
- Li R, Li R, Li X et al (2018a) Multiplexed CRISPR/Cas9-mediated metabolic engineering of  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid levels in *Solanum lycopersicum*. *Plant Biotechnol J* 16:415–427. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12781>
- Li R, Liu C, Zhao R et al (2019) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated SINPR1 mutagenesis reduces tomato plant drought tolerance. *BMC Plant Biol* 19:1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-018-1627-4>
- Li R, Zhang L, Wang L et al (2018b) Reduction of tomato-plant chilling tolerance by CRISPR-Cas9-mediated SICBF1 mutagenesis. *J Agric Food Chem* 66:9042–9051. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.8b02177>
- Li S, Zhu B, Pirrello J et al (2020) Roles of RIN and ethylene in tomato fruit ripening and ripening-associated traits. *New Phytol* 226:460–475. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16362>
- Li T, Yang X, Yu Y et al (2018c) Domestication of wild tomato is accelerated by genome editing. *Nat Biotechnol*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4273>
- Liu Y, Merrick P, Zhang Z et al (2018) Targeted mutagenesis in tetraploid switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.) using CRISPR/Cas9. *Plant Biotechnol J* 16:381–393. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12778>
- Liu Y, Du M, Deng L, Shen J, Fang M, Chen Q, Lu Y, Wang Q, Li C, Zhai Q (2019) MYC2 regulates the termination of jasmonate signaling via an autoregulatory negative feedback loop. *Plant Cell* 31(1):106–127. <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.18.00405>
- Liu X, Zhang Q, Yang G, Zhang C, Dong H, Liu Y, Yin R, Lin L (2020) Pivotal roles of Tomato photoreceptor SIUVR8 in seedling development and UV-B stress tolerance. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 522(1):177–183
- Lor VS, Starker CG, Voytas DF et al (2014) Targeted mutagenesis of the tomato PROCERA gene using transcription activator-like effector nucleases. *Plant Physiol* 166:1288–1291. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.247593>
- Lu H, Klocko AL, Dow M, Ma C, Amarasinghe V, Strauss SH (2016) Low frequency of zinc-finger nuclease-induced

- mutagenesis in *Populus*. *Mol Breed*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11032-016-0546-z>
- Ma X, Zhang X, Liu H, Li Z (2020) Highly efficient DNA-free plant genome editing using virally delivered CRISPR-Cas9. *Nat Plants* 6:773–779
- Maher MF, Nasti RA, Vollbrecht M et al (2020) Plant gene editing through de novo induction of meristems. *Nat Biotechnol* 38:84–89. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0337-2>
- Mao Y, Botella JR, Liu Y, Zhu JK (2019) Gene editing in plants: progress and challenges. *Natl Sci Rev* 6:421–437. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwz005>
- Martín-Pizarro C, Triviño JC, Posé D (2019) Functional analysis of the TM6 MADS-box gene in the octoploid strawberry by CRISPR/Cas9-directed mutagenesis. *J Exp Bot* 70:885–895
- Mikulic-Petkovsek M, Ivancic A, Schmitzer V et al (2016) Comparison of major taste compounds and antioxidative properties of fruits and flowers of different *Sambucus* species and interspecific hybrids. *Food Chem* 200:134–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.01.044>
- Moose SP, Mumm RH (2008) Molecular plant breeding as the foundation for 21st century crop improvement. *Plant Physiol* 147:969–977. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.118232>
- Nageswara-Rao M, Soneji JR, Kwit C et al (2013) Advances in biotechnology and genomics of switchgrass
- Naim F, Dugdale B, Kleidon J et al (2018) Gene editing the phytoene desaturase alleles of Cavendish banana using CRISPR/Cas9. *Transgenic Res* 27:451–460
- Nakajima I, Ban Y, Azuma A et al (2017) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated targeted mutagenesis in grape. *PLoS ONE* 12:1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177966>
- Nekrasov V, Wang C, Win J et al (2017) Rapid generation of a transgene-free powdery mildew resistant tomato by genome deletion. *Sci Rep* 7:1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-00578-x>
- Nguyen THT, Park S, Jeong J, Shin YS, Sim SJ, Jin ES (2020) Enhancing lipid productivity by modulating lipid catabolism using the CRISPR-Cas9 system in *Chlamydomonas*. *J Appl Phycol* 32(5):2829–2840. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-020-02172-7>
- Nishihara M, Higuchi A, Watanabe A, Tasaki K (2018) Application of the CRISPR/Cas9 system for modification of flower color in *Torenia fournieri*. *BMC Plant Biol* 18:331. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-018-1539-3>
- Nishihara M, Nakatsuka T (2011) Genetic engineering of flavonoid pigments to modify flower color in floricultural plants. *Biotechnol Lett* 33:433–441. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-010-0461-z>
- Nishitani C, Hirai N, Komori S et al (2016) Efficient genome editing in apple. *Sci Rep* 6:31481. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep31481>
- Nonaka S, Arai C, Takayama M, Matsukura C, Ezura H (2017) Efficient increase of  $\Gamma$  aminobutyric acid (GABA) content in tomato fruits by targeted mutagenesis. *Sci Rep*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-06400-y>
- Ntui VO, Tripathi JN, Tripathi L (2020) Robust CRISPR/Cas9 mediated genome editing tool for banana and plantain (*Musa* spp.). *Curr Plant Biol* 21:100128
- Omura M, Shimada T (2016) Citrus breeding, genetics and genomics in Japan. *Breed Sci* 66:3–17. <https://doi.org/10.1270/jsbbs.66.3>
- Ortigosa A, Gimenez-Ibanez S, Leonhardt N, Solano R (2019) Design of a bacterial speck resistant tomato by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated editing of *SIJAZ2*. *Plant Biotechnol J* 17:665–673. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13006>
- Pal L, Lucia L (2019) Renaissance of industrial hemp: a miracle crop for a multitude of products. *BioResources* 14:2460–2464
- Pan C, Ye L, Qin L et al (2016) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated efficient and heritable targeted mutagenesis in tomato plants in the first and later generations. *Sci Rep* 6:2–10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep24765>
- Park J-J, Yoo CG, Flanagan A et al (2017) Defined tetra-allelic gene disruption of the 4-coumarate:coenzyme A ligase 1 (Pv4CL1) gene by CRISPR/Cas9 in switchgrass results in lignin reduction and improved sugar release. *Biotechnol Biofuels* 10:284. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-017-0972-0>
- Peer R, Rivlin G, Golobovitch S et al (2015) Targeted mutagenesis using zinc-finger nucleases in perennial fruit trees. *Planta* 241:941–951. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-014-2224-x>
- Peng A, Chen S, Lei T et al (2017) Engineering canker-resistant plants through CRISPR/Cas9-targeted editing of the susceptibility gene *Cs LOB 1* promoter in citrus. *Plant Biotechnol J* 15:1509–1519
- Pompili V, Dalla Costa L, Piazza S et al (2020) Reduced fire blight susceptibility in apple cultivars using a high-efficiency CRISPR/Cas9-FLP/FRT-based gene editing system. *Plant Biotechnol J* 18:845–858. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13253>
- Qin X, Li W, Liu Y, Tan M, Ganai M, Chetelat RT (2018) A farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase gene expressed in pollen functions in S-RNase-independent unilateral incompatibility. *Plant J* 93(3):417–430. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13796>
- Qiu Z, Wang H, Li D, Yu B, Hui Q, Yan S, Huang Z, Cui X, Cao B (2019) Identification of candidate HY5-dependent and -independent regulators of anthocyanin biosynthesis in tomato. *Plant Cell Physiol* 60(3):643–656. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcy236>
- Radha T, Mathew L (2007) Fruit crops, vol 3. New India Publishing
- Rao X, Chen X, Shen H et al (2019) Gene regulatory networks for lignin biosynthesis in switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*). *Plant Biotechnol J* 17:580–593. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13000>
- Ren C, Liu X, Zhang Z et al (2016) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated efficient targeted mutagenesis in Chardonnay (*Vitis vinifera* L.). *Sci Rep* 6:1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep32289>
- Ren F, Ren C, Zhang Z et al (2019) Efficiency optimization of CRISPR/CAS9-mediated targeted mutagenesis in grape. *Front Plant Sci* 10:1–9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00612>
- Rodríguez-Leal D, Lemmon ZH, Man J et al (2017) Engineering quantitative trait variation for crop improvement by genome editing. *Cell* 171:470–480.e8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.08.030>

- Sawettalake N, Bunnag S, Wang Y et al (2017) DOAPI promotes flowering in the orchid dendrobium chao praya smile. *Front Plant Sci* 8:400. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00400>
- Schubert R, Dobritsch S, Gruber C, Hause G, Athmer B, Schreiber T, Marillonnet S, Okabe Y, Ezura H, Acosta IF, Tarkowska D, Hause B (2019) Tomato myb21 acts in ovules to mediate jasmonate-regulated fertility. *Plant Cell* 31(5):1043–1062. <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.18.00978>
- Scorza R, Callahan A, Ravelonandro M, Braverman M (2012) Development and regulation of the plum pox virus resistant transgenic plum ‘HoneySweet’. In: Wozniak CA, McHughen A (eds) *Regulation of agricultural biotechnology*: The United States and Canada. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 269–280
- Shao X, Wu S, Dou T et al (2020) Using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing system to create MaGA20ox2 gene-modified semi-dwarf banana. *Plant Biotechnol J* 18:17–19
- Shew AM, Nalley LL, Snell HA et al (2018) CRISPR versus GMOs: public acceptance and valuation. *Glob Food Sec* 19:71–80
- Shibuya K, Watanabe K, Ono M (2018) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis of the EPHEMERAL1 locus that regulates petal senescence in Japanese morning glory. *Plant Physiol Biochem* 131:53–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.04.036>
- Shu P, Li ZZ, Min D, Zhang X, Ai W, Li J, Zhou J, Li Z, Li F, Li X (2020) CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated SIMYC2 mutagenesis adverse to tomato plant growth and MeJA-induced fruit resistance to *Botrytis cinerea*. *J Agric Food Chem* 68(20):5529–5538. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.9b08069>
- Shulga OA, Mitiouchkina TY, Shchennikova AV et al (2011) Overexpression of API-like genes from Asteraceae induces early-flowering in transgenic *Chrysanthemum* plants. *Vitr Cell Dev Biol - Plant* 47:553–560. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11627-011-9393-0>
- Souza LM, Francisco FR, Gonçalves PS et al (2019) Genomic selection in rubber tree breeding: a comparison of models and methods for managing G×E interactions. *Front Plant Sci* 10:1353. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01353>
- Soyk S, Müller NA, Park SJ, Schmalenbach I, Jiang K, Hayama R, Zhang L, Van Eck J, Jiménez-Gómez JM, Lippman ZB (2017) Variation in the flowering gene SELF PRUNING 5G promotes day-neutrality and early yield in tomato. *Nat Genet* 49(1):162–168. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3733>
- Sreedharan S, Shekhawat UKS, Ganapathi TR (2013) Transgenic banana plants overexpressing a native plasma membrane aquaporin MusaPIP1;2 display high tolerance levels to different abiotic stresses. *Plant Biotechnol J* 11:942–952. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12086>
- Subburaj S, Chung SJ, Lee C et al (2016a) Site-directed mutagenesis in *Petunia × hybrida* protoplast system using direct delivery of purified recombinant Cas9 ribonucleoproteins. *Plant Cell Rep* 35:1535–1544. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-016-1937-7>
- Subburaj S, Chung SJ, Lee C et al (2016b) Site-directed mutagenesis in *Petunia × hybrida* protoplast system using direct delivery of purified recombinant Cas9 ribonucleoproteins. *Plant Cell Rep* 35:1535–1544
- Sun L, Kao T (2018) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of PiSSK1 reveals essential role of S-locus F-box protein-containing SCF complexes in recognition of non-self S-RNases during cross-compatible pollination in self-incompatible *Petunia inflata*. *Plant Reprod* 31:129–143. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00497-017-0314-1>
- Sunitha S, Rock CD (2020) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated targeted mutagenesis of TAS4 and MYBA7 loci in grapevine rootstock 101-14. *Transgenic Res* 29:355–367. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11248-020-00196-w>
- Takahashi K, Ide Y, Hayakawa J, Yoshimitsu Y, Fukuhara I, Abe J, Kasai Y, Harayama S (2018) Lipid productivity in TALEN-induced starchless mutants of the unicellular green alga *Coccomyxa* sp. strain Obi. *Algal Res* 32:300–307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2018.04.020>
- Tian S, Jiang L, Cui X et al (2018) Engineering herbicide-resistant watermelon variety through CRISPR/Cas9-mediated base-editing. *Plant Cell Rep* 37:1353–1356. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-018-2299-0>
- Tian S, Jiang L, Gao Q et al (2017) Efficient CRISPR/Cas9-based gene knockout in watermelon. *Plant Cell Rep* 36:399–406. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-016-2089-5>
- Tomlinson L, Yang Y, Emenecker R, Smoker M, Taylor J, Perkins S, Smith J, MacLean D, Olszewski NE, Jones JDG (2019) Using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing in tomato to create a gibberellin-responsive dominant dwarf DELLA allele. *Plant Biotechnol J* 17(1):132–140. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12952>
- Tong C-G, Wu F-H, Yuan Y-H et al (2020) High-efficiency CRISPR/Cas-based editing of *Phalaenopsis* orchid MADS genes. *Plant Biotechnol J* 18:889–891. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13264>
- Tripathi JN, Ntui VO, Ron M et al (2019a) CRISPR/Cas9 editing of endogenous banana streak virus in the B genome of *Musa* spp. overcomes a major challenge in banana breeding. *Commun Biol* 2:1–11
- Tripathi L, Ntui VO, Tripathi JN (2019b) Application of genetic modification and genome editing for developing climate-smart banana. *Food Energy Secur* 8:e00168
- Ueta R, Abe C, Watanabe T, Sugano SS, Ishihara R, Ezura H, Osakabe Y, Osakabe K (2017) Rapid breeding of parthenocarpic tomato plants using CRISPR/Cas9. *Sci Rep*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-00501-4>
- van Bakel H, Stout JM, Cote AG et al (2011) The draft genome and transcriptome of *Cannabis sativa*. *Genome Biol*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2011-12-10-r102>
- Van Beilen JB, Poirier Y (2007) Prospects for biopolymer production in plants. *Adv Biochem Eng/Biotechnol* 107:133–151. [https://doi.org/10.1007/10\\_2007\\_056](https://doi.org/10.1007/10_2007_056)
- Veillet F, Perrot L, Chauvin L et al (2019) Transgene-free genome editing in tomato and potato plants using agrobacterium-mediated delivery of a CRISPR/Cas9 cytidine base editor. *Int J Mol Sci* 20:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20020402>
- Veillet F, Perrot L, Guyon-Debast A, Kermarrec M-P, Chauvin L, Chauvin J-E, Gallois J-L, Mazier M, Nogué F (2020) Expanding the CRISPR toolbox in *P. Patens* using SpCas9-NG variant and application for gene and base editing in solanaceae crops. *Int J Mol Sci*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21031024>

- Voytas DF, Gao C (2014) Precision genome engineering and agriculture: opportunities and regulatory challenges. *PLoS Biol* 12:e1001877. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001877>
- Van TV, Sivankalyani V, Kim EJ et al (2020) Highly efficient homology-directed repair using CRISPR/Cpf1-geminiviral replicon in tomato. *Plant Biotechnol J*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13373>
- Wang D, Samsulrizal NH, Yan C et al (2019a) Characterization of CRISPR mutants targeting genes modulating pectin degradation in ripening tomato [OPEN]. *Plant Physiol* 179:544–557. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.18.01187>
- Wang L, Chen L, Li R et al (2017a) Reduced drought tolerance by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated SIMAPK3 mutagenesis in tomato plants. *J Agric Food Chem* 65:8674–8682. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b02745>
- Wang L, Chen S, Peng A et al (2019b) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated editing of CsWRKY22 reduces susceptibility to *Xanthomonas citri* subsp. *citri* in Wanjincheng orange (*Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osbeck). *Plant Biotechnol Rep* 13:501–510. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11816-019-00556-x>
- Wang L, Wang L, Tan Q et al (2016a) Efficient inactivation of symbiotic nitrogen fixation related genes in *Lotus japonicus* Using CRISPR-Cas9. *Front Plant Sci* 7:1333
- Wang M, Mao Y, Lu Y et al (2017b) Multiplex gene editing in rice using the CRISPR-Cpf1 system. *Mol Plant* 10:1011–1013. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2017.03.001>
- Wang X, Tu M, Wang D et al (2018a) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated efficient targeted mutagenesis in grape in the first generation. *Plant Biotechnol J* 16:844–855. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12832>
- Wang Y, Liu X, Ren C et al (2016b) Identification of genomic sites for CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing in the *Vitis vinifera* genome. *BMC Plant Biol* 16:3–9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-016-0787-3>
- Wang Z-P, Xing H-L, Dong L et al (2015) Egg cell-specific promoter-controlled CRISPR/Cas9 efficiently generates homozygous mutants for multiple target genes in *Arabidopsis* in a single generation. *Genome Biol* 16:144
- Wang Z, Wang S, Li D et al (2018b) Optimized paired-sgRNA/Cas9 cloning and expression cassette triggers high-efficiency multiplex genome editing in kiwifruit. *Plant Biotechnol J* 16:1424–1433. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12884>
- Watanabe K, Breier U, Hensel G et al (2016) Stable gene replacement in barley by targeted double-strand break induction. *J Exp Bot* 67:1433–1445
- Watanabe K, Kobayashi A, Endo M et al (2017) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis of the dihydroflavonol-4-reductase-B (DFR-B) locus in the Japanese morning glory *Ipomoea (Pharbitis)* nil. *Sci Rep* 7:1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-10715-1>
- Watanabe K, Oda-Yamamizo C, Sage-Ono K et al (2018) Alteration of flower colour in *Ipomoea nil* through CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis of carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase 4. *Transgenic Res* 27:25–38. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11248-017-0051-0>
- Wilson FM, Harrison K, Armitage AD et al (2019) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis of phytoene desaturase in diploid and octoploid strawberry. *Plant Methods* 15:1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13007-019-0428-6>
- Wolabu TW, Cong L, Park J-J, Bao Q, Chen M, Sun J, Xu B, Ge Y, Chai, M, Liu Z, Wang Z-Y (2020) Development of a highly efficient multiplex genome editing system in outcrossing tetraploid alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*). *Front Plant Sci*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.01063>
- Wolter F, Klemm J, Puchta H (2018) Efficient in planta gene targeting in *Arabidopsis* using egg cell-specific expression of the Cas9 nuclease of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Plant J* 94:735–746. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13893>
- Wu S, Zhu H, Liu J et al (2020) Establishment of a PEG-mediated protoplast transformation system based on DNA and CRISPR/Cas9 ribonucleoprotein complexes for banana
- Xiao Y, Kang B, Li M, Xiao L, Xiao H, Shen H, Yang W (2020) Transcription of lncRNA ACoS-AS1 is essential to trans-splicing between S1Psy1 and ACoS-AS1 that causes yellow fruit in tomato. *RNA Biol* 17(4):596–607. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15476286.2020.1721095>
- Xing S, Jia M, Wei L et al (2018) CRISPR/Cas9-introduced single and multiple mutagenesis in strawberry. *J Genet Genomics* 45:685–687. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgg.2018.04.006>
- Xiong J-S, Ding J, Li Y (2015) Genome-editing technologies and their potential application in horticultural crop breeding. *Hortic Res* 2:15019. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hortres.2015.19>
- Xiong X, Liu W, Jiang J, Xu L, Huang L, Cao J (2019) Efficient genome editing of *Brassica campestris* based on the CRISPR/Cas9 system. *Mol Genet Genomics* 294(5):1251–1261. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00438-019-01564-w>
- Xu K (2013) An overview of arctic apples: basic facts and characteristics. *N Y State Hortic Soc* 21:8–10
- Xu C, Park SJ, Van Eck J, Lippman ZB (2016) Control of inflorescence architecture in tomato by BTB/POZ transcriptional regulators. *Genes Dev* 30 (18):2048–2061. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.288415.116>
- Xu J, Kang BC, Naing AH et al (2020) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated editing of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase1 enhances *Petunia* flower longevity. *Plant Biotechnol J* 18:287–297. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13197>
- Yabor L, Pérez L, Gómez D et al (2020) Histological evaluation of pineapple transgenic plants following 8 years of field growth. *Euphytica*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-020-2555-6>
- Yan R, Wang Z, Ren Y et al (2019) Establishment of efficient genetic transformation systems and application of CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing technology in *Lilium pumilum* DC. Fisch. and *Lilium longiflorum* white heaven. *Int J Mol Sci* 20:2920. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20122920>
- Yan S, Chen N, Huang Z, Li D, Zhi J, Yu B, Liu X, Cao B, Qiu Z (2020) Anthocyanin fruit encodes an R2R3-MYB transcription factor, SIAN2-like, activating the transcription of SIMYBATV to fine-tune anthocyanin content in tomato fruit. *New Phytol* 225:2048–2063. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16272>
- Yang T, Deng L, Zhao W et al (2019) Rapid breeding of pink-fruited tomato hybrids using the CRISPR/Cas9 system.

- J Genet Genomics 46:505–508. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgg.2019.10.002>
- Ye S, Chen G, Kohnen MV, Wang W, Cai C, Ding W, Wu C, Gu L, Zheng Y, Ma X, Lin C, Zhu Q (2020) Robust CRISPR/Cas9 mediated genome editing and its application in manipulating plant height in the first generation of hexaploid Ma bamboo (*Dendrocalamus latiflorus* Munro). *Plant Biotechnol J* 18(7):1501–1503. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13320>
- Young TR, Firoozabady E (2010) U.S. Patent No. 7,663,021. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC
- Yu Q, Powles SB (2014) Resistance to AHAS inhibitor herbicides: current understanding. *Pest Manag Sci* 70:1340–1350. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3710>
- Yu QH, Wang B, Li N et al (2017) CRISPR/Cas9-induced targeted mutagenesis and gene replacement to generate long-shelf life tomato lines. *Sci Rep* 7:1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-12262-1>
- Yu W, Wang L, Zhao R, Sheng J, Zhang S, Li R, Shen L (2019a) Knockout of SIMAPK3 enhances tolerance to heat stress involving ROS homeostasis in tomato plants. *BMC Plant Biol* 19:1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-019-1939-z>
- Yu T, Tzeng DTW, Li R, Chen J, Zhong S, Fu D, Zhu B, Luo Y, Zhu H (2019b) Genome-wide identification of long non-coding RNA targets of the tomato MADS box transcription factor RIN and function analysis. *Ann Bot* 123(3):469–482. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcy178>
- Yuan X, Wang H, Cai J et al (2019) NAC transcription factors in plant immunity. *Phytopathol Res* 1:1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42483-018-0008-0>
- Yuste-Lisbona FJ, Fernández-Lozano A, Pineda B, Bretones S, Ortíz-Atienza A, García-Sogo B, Müller NA, Angosto T, Capel J, Moreno V, Jiménez-Gómez JM, Lozano R (2020) ENO regulates tomato fruit size through the floral meristem development network. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 117(14):8187–8195. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1913688117>
- Zhang B, Yang X, Yang C et al (2016) Exploiting the CRISPR/Cas9 system for targeted genome mutagenesis in *Petunia*. *Sci Rep* 6:1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep20315>
- Zhang F, LeBlanc C, Irish VF, Jacob Y (2017) Rapid and efficient CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing in Citrus using the YAO promoter. *Plant Cell Rep* 36:1883–1887. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-017-2202-4>
- Zhang N, Roberts HM, Van Eck J, Martin GB (2020) Generation and molecular characterization of CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutations in 63 immunity-associated genes in tomato reveals specificity and a range of gene modifications. *Front Plant Sci* 11:1–13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00010>
- Zhao P, You Q, Lei M (2019) A CRISPR/Cas9 deletion into the phosphate transporter SIPHO1;1 reveals its role in phosphate nutrition of tomato seedlings. *Physiol Plant* 167(4):556–563. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.12897>
- Zhi J, Liu X, Li D, Huang Y, Yan S, Cao B, Qiu Z (2020) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated SIAN2 mutants reveal various regulatory models of anthocyanin biosynthesis in tomato plant. *Plant Cell Rep* 39(6):799–809. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-020-02531-1>
- Zhong M, Wang Y, Hou K, Shu S, Sun J, Guo S (2019) TGase positively regulates photosynthesis via activation of Calvin cycle enzymes in tomato. *Hortic Res*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41438-019-0173-z>
- Zhou J, Wang G, Liu Z (2018) Efficient genome editing of wild strawberry genes, vector development and validation. *Plant Biotechnol J* 16:1868–1877. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12922>
- Zhou P, Jia R, Chen S et al (2017) Cloning and expression analysis of four citrus WRKY genes responding to *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *citri*. *Acta Horti Sin* 44:452–462. <https://doi.org/10.16420/j.issn.0513-353x.2016-0577>
- Zsögön A, Čermák T, Naves ER, Notini MM, Edel KH, Weinl S, Freschi L, Voytas DF, Kudla J, Peres LEP (2018) De novo domestication of wild tomato using genome editing. *Nat Biotechnol* 36(12):1211–1216. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4272>

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.