



Universitat de Lleida

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10459.1/63286>

The final publication is available at:

<https://doi.org/10.1080/19393210.2018.1433239>

Copyright

(c) Taylor & Francis, 2018

1
2
3 1 **Determination of Aflatoxin B1, Ochratoxin A and Zearalenone on Sorghum Grains Marketed in Tunisia**
4
5 2 **Using High-Performance Liquid Chromatography Coupled with Fluorescence Detection**
6
7 3

8 4 **Running title: Mycotoxin detection in sorghum by HPLC**
9
10 5

11 6 **Food Additives & Contaminants: Part B Surveillance**
12
13 7

14 8 **Amani Lahouar^{a,b}, Ines jedidi^a, Vicente Sanchis^b, Salem Saïd^a**

15 9 ^aLaboratory of Biochemistry, Unity of Mycotoxicology, Faculty of Medicine of Sousse, University of Sousse,
16 10 Mohamed Karoui, 4002, Sousse, Tunisia.

17 11 ^bFood Technology Department, University of Lleida, XaRTA-UTPV, Agrotecnico center, Rovira Roure 191,
18 12 25198, Lleida, Spain.

19 13 Amani Lahouar: amanilahouar@yahoo.fr

20 14 Ines Jedidi: jedidi.ines@gmail.com

21 15 Vicente Sanchis: vsanchis@tecal.udl.cat

22 16 Salem Saïd: salem.saïd@famso.rnu.tn

23 17 Corresponding author: Dr. Amani Lahouar. The University of Sousse, the Faculty of Medicine, Laboratory of
24 18 Biochemistry, Unity of Mycotoxicology, Tunisia. Tel: (+216) 96767243. Fax: (+216) 73224899.

25 19 E-mail address: amanilahouar@yahoo.fr
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 23 **Abstract**

45 24 A total of 64 samples of sorghum (37 Tunisian sorghum samples and 27 Egyptian sorghum samples) were
46 25 collected during 2011-2012 from markets in Tunisia. Samples were analysed for contamination with aflatoxin
47 26 B1, ochratoxin A and zearalenone by HPLC-FD. Aflatoxin B1 was found in 38 samples in the range from 0.03
48 27 to 31.7 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$. Ochratoxin A was detected in 24 samples with concentrations ranging from 1.04 to 27.8 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$.
49 28 Zearalenone was detected in 21 samples and the concentration varies between 3.75 and 64.52 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$. The
50 29 ANOVA analysis of the influence of the country of origin on the incidence and concentration of mycotoxins in
51 30 the samples studied showed that there was no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) between the two batches of
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 31 samples for each of the three mycotoxins studied. The studied mycotoxins contaminate sorghum, and may also
4
5 32 co-exist because of the diversity of the mycobiota in this cereal.

6
7 33 **Keywords:** aflatoxin B1, ochratoxin A, zearalenone, sorghum, incidence

8
9 34 **Introduction**

10
11 35 Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) is an Old World grass originating from the African and Asian continents
12
13 36 and is now distributed worldwide across temperate and tropical regions. It is ranked as the fifth most important
14
15 37 cereal crop in the world, after wheat, rice, maize and barley and the second most important crop (after maize) in
16
17 38 sub-Saharan Africa (FAO, 1994). It is mainly cultivated in semi-arid and subtropical regions because of its
18
19 39 resistance to harsh weather conditions and its efficient use of water makes it the crop of choice to boost food
20
21 40 security in drought stricken regions. For this reason, sorghum cultures increased during past years. In 1997,
22
23 41 there was a 23% increase in the world's production of sorghum with respect to that of the previous year; the
24
25 42 United States and India were the main producers, contributing 30 and 15% of the total yield, respectively. In
26
27 43 Brazil, the 1997 annual sorghum crop totaled 385.2 thousand tons harvested from 487.28 acres of cultivated
28
29 44 land (Olivetti and Camargo, 1997). The total 2006-2007 sorghum crop was 1624.2×10^3 t harvested from 733.8
30
31 45 $\times 10^3$ ha of cultivated land in Brazil (Conab, 2009). As of 2007, sorghum production in Africa increases
32
33 46 significantly even to the detriment of rice and wheat production (FAOSTAT, 2012) and Nigeria become the
34
35 47 world's largest producer of sorghum, followed by India, USA, Ethiopia and Argentina (FAOSTAT, 2012). In
36
37 48 2009 the world's production of sorghum was 61.69×10^6 t, with Nigeria (11.50×10^6 t) and the USA (9.65×10^6 t)
38
39 49 standing out as major producers (USDA, 2009). The total yield in Africa was estimated to be more than 25.7
40
41 50 million metric tons (FAOSTAT, 2015). Sorghum grains are used as feedstock for poultry, pigs and cattle feed,
42
43 51 but also for human beings as staple foods in some African and Asian countries (Veiga, 1986). It constitutes the
44
45 52 main grain food for over 750 million people who live in semi-arid tropics of Africa, Asia and Latin America
46
47 53 (Codex Alimentarius Commission, 2012). In fact, a large proportion of African populations, especially in rural
48
49 54 communities, consume mainly cereal-based foods and including sorghum (Oniang'o et al., 2003).

50
51 55 However, sorghum grains are susceptible to fungal colonisation during panicle and grain
52
53 56 developmental stages (Waliyar et al., 2007), which constitutes a major constraint to an increase in sorghum
54
55 57 production worldwide. It is estimated that annual economic losses in Asia and Africa due to mould infestation
56
57 58 are in excess of US \$130 million (Chandrashekar, Bandyopadhyay, & Hall, 2000). Several species of
58
59 59 *Aspergillus*, *Alternaria*, *Fusarium*, *Cladosporium*, *Curvularia* and *Penicillium* are among the prevalent grain
60
61 60 mould pathogens in sorghum (Bandopadhyay et al., 2000; Lahouar et al., 2015). **Mycotoxigenic strains of these**

1
2
3 61 fungal species have been isolated from sorghum samples (Chandrashekar et al., 2000; Lahouar et al., 2015).
4
5 62 Toxigenic species of *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus niger* aggregates, *Aspergillus flocculosus* and *Fusarium*
6
7 63 *incarnatum* has been associated with sorghum seeds in Tunisia (Lahouar et al., 2015). Therefore, sorghum may
8
9 64 contain different types of mycotoxins such as zearalenone, fumonisins, aflatoxins and ochratoxin A
10
11 65 characterized by their severe adverse effects on human and animal health. Aflatoxin is the most intensively
12
13 66 studied of all of the mycotoxins that are known to occur on sorghum. Nonetheless, sorghum is usually less
14
15 67 heavily contaminated with aflatoxins and fumonisins than is maize (da Silva et al., 2006; Bandyopadhyay et al.,
16
17 68 2007). However, Chala et al. (2015) reported that zearalenone was the most typical mycotoxin found in
18
19 69 sorghum, followed by fumonisins and aflatoxins. In Tunisia, preliminary surveys of mycotoxins showed
20
21 70 relatively high levels of aflatoxins contaminations in sorghum (Boutrif et al., 1977). Since 1977 several studies
22
23 71 have confirmed mycotoxin contamination in Tunisian sorghum (Ghali et al., 2008; Ghali et al., 2010; Serrano et
24
25 72 al., 2012; Oueslati et al., 2012; Oueslati et al., 2014). In Nigeria, the first reported mycotoxin contamination was
26
27 73 in sorghum samples (Elegbede, 1978; Salifu, 1978), In Asia, the first study on sorghum was reported in India
28
29 74 (Sashidhar et al., 1992). Because of the lack of data on the occurrence of mycotoxin in sorghum and the growing
30
31 75 importance of this cereal as food and feed in a high number of countries, the Codex Alimentarius Commission
32
33 76 opened a discussion paper on ‘‘mycotoxin in sorghum grain’’ to ask for studies to obtain more data on the
34
35 77 occurrence of mycotoxins in sorghum (Codex Alimentarius Commission 2012).

34
35 78 The aim of this study was to provide information on the possible incidence and co-occurrence of
36
37 79 aflatoxin B1 (AFB1), ochratoxin A (OTA) and zearalenone (ZEN), using HPLC with fluorescence detection, in
38
39 80 sorghum grains commercialised in Tunisia and to compare the levels of contamination to the European limits
40
41 81 and other scientific papers.

42 82 **Materials and methods**

43 83 **Samples**

44
45 84 From August 2011 to January 2012, sixty four (64) samples of sorghum seeds were purchased in a
46
47 85 random manner from retail outlets (shops, markets, small groceries and specialised food stores) located in the
48
49 86 region of Sahel in Tunisia. These included Tunisian (37) and Egyptian (27) samples. The weight of the retail
50
51 87 packs ranged between 500 g and 1000 g. All samples were kept at 4 °C in polyethylene bags while awaiting
52
53 88 analysis. Before analysis, the samples were mixed and an aliquot of 200 g was taken from each original
54
55 89 packaging and putted into a new plastic bag. From this sample, an aliquot was taken after thorough mixing,
56
57 90 extracted and analysed as described below.

Solvents and reagents

All reagents (potassium chloride, sodium chloride, phosphoric acid, hydrochloric acid, citric acid, acetic acid) were of analytical grade. All solvents (methanol, acetonitrile, propanol-2-ol, hexane, chloroform, dichloromethane, trifluoroacetic acid) were of HPLC grade. Deionised water was used for the preparation of all aqueous solutions and for HPLC. Standard toxins of AFB1, OTA and ZEN were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (Saint-Louis, Missouri, USA). HPLC grade methanol, acetonitrile, hexane, chloroform, dichloromethane, citric acid, acetic acid and trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) were obtained from Loba chemie (Mumbai, Maharashtra, India). Potassium chloride, sodium chloride and orthophosphoric acid were provided by Scharlau (Sentmenat, Barcelone, Spain). Hydrochloric acid, diatomaceous earth sorbent and NaOH were obtained from Prolabo (Fontenay-sous-Bois, France).

Preparation of standard solutions

Standard solutions AFB1, OTA and ZEN were prepared by dissolving 1 mg of AFB1, OTA and ZEN in 1 ml of methanol. The concentrations of AFB1, OTA and ZEN stock solutions were determined by measuring the UV absorbance at 360, 333 and 274 nm, respectively and calculating by using the molar extinction coefficient ϵ of 21800, 5440 and 13900 $\text{mol}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$, respectively (AOAC, 2000). The stability of the stock solution was checked spectrophotometrically and all working standard solutions were prepared immediately before use by diluting the stock solution with methanol. A series of working standards ranging from 0.5 to 150 ng ml^{-1} of methanol for AFB1 and OTA and from 50 to 400 ng ml^{-1} for ZEN were prepared by dilution. They were used to calibrate the LC detector responses.

Confirmation of the presence of OTA

The confirmation of the presence of OTA in some sorghum samples was achieved by the following technique: an aliquot, taken from the purified extract of a sample where OTA was detected by the HPLC analysis, was dried. The pellet was dissolved in 975 μl of a buffer solution of 0.04 M Tris-HCl, 1 M NaCl, pH 7.5. Then, 25 μl of carboxypeptidase (100 U ml^{-1} H₂O) was added and the mixture was incubated at room temperature overnight. The sample was analysed under the same HPLC chromatographic conditions as used above. The OTA peak disappeared whereas the peak of α -OT appeared.

Confirmation of the presence of AFB1

The confirmation of AFB1 presence in samples was performed by derivatisation using TFA (trifluoroacetic acid) which convert AFB1 to their respective highly fluorescent hemiacetal AFB2a. Briefly, 200 μl of hexane and 50 μl of TFA were added to the sample and mixed for 30 seconds. The mixture was allowed to

1
2
3 121 stand 5 min for the phases to separate. A volume of 1.950 ml of water/acetonitrile (10:90) was added. The
4
5 122 mixture was shaken 30 seconds and allowed to stand for 10 min the two phases to separate. Finally, the lower
6
7 123 phase was injected under the same HPLC conditions.

8 124 *Simultaneous method of AFB1 and OTA analysis*

9 125 *Sample extraction and cleanup*

10 126 AFB₁ and OTA were analysed by the method of Nguyen et al. (2007). To this end, 20 g of the sample
11
12 127 was mixed with 100 ml of acetonitrile-4% aqueous solution of potassium chloride (9:1). The mixture was
13
14 128 adjusted to pH 1.5 with undiluted hydrochloric acid and shaken for 20 min on an orbital shaker and filtered
15
16 129 through a Whatman paper no 4. The filtrate was cleaned with 100 ml of hexane and the mixture was shaken for
17
18 130 10 min. After separating, the upper phase (hexane) was discarded. This step was repeated with 50 ml of hexane.
19
20 131 The lower phase was extracted with 50 ml of chloroform and 50 ml of deionised water and the solution was
21
22 132 shaken for 10 min. After separation, the lower phase (chloroform) was collected. The upper phase was re-
23
24 133 extracted, twice, with 25 ml of chloroform and 25 ml of deionised water, using the above conditions. The
25
26 134 chloroform extracts were pooled and evaporated to near dryness under vacuum by using a rotary evaporator in a
27
28 135 40 °C water bath at low speed. Mycotoxins were resuspended in two milliliters of methanol and the solution was
29
30 136 filtered through a 0.45 µm filter and evaporated to dryness under nitrogen. For analysis in the HPLC, 500 µl of
31
32 137 methanol were added.

33 138 *HPLC conditions*

34
35
36 139 The determination of AFB₁ and OTA was done using a Waters (Milford, MA, USA) chromatograph
37
38 140 with a reverse phase C₁₈ silica gel column (Water Spherisorb 3 µm ODS2 4.6 x 150 mm, Milford, MA, USA),
39
40 141 followed by fluorescence detection (Waters 2475 fluorescence detector, Waters, Milford, MA, USA). Different
41
42 142 excitation and emission fluorescence parameters (AFB₁ λ_{exc} 365 nm; λ_{em} 440 nm; OTA λ_{exc} 335 nm; λ_{em}
43
44 143 465 nm) were used to achieve the optimal conditions of detection for each toxin. The system was run
45
46 144 isocratically. The mobile phase was constituted with (0.33 M) H₃PO₄/acetonitrile/propanol-2-ol (650/400/50)
47
48 145 with a flow rate of 0.5 ml min⁻¹. The injection volume was 50 µl and the retention times of AFB₁ and OTA were
49
50 146 about 14 and 56 min, respectively.

51 147 *ZEN analysis*

52 148 *Sample extraction and cleanup*

53
54 149 To determine the ZEN levels, the samples were analysed following the AOAC official method 985.18.
55
56 150 To this end, 50 g of the sample was mixed with 25 g of diatomaceous earth sorbent and 20 ml of water and
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 151 rotated until mixed. The sample was extracted with 250 ml of chloroform for 30 min in an orbital shaker. After
4
5 152 filtration through a Whatman paper no 4, 50 ml of the extract was transferred to a separatory funnel and mixed
6
7 153 with 10 ml of a saturated NaCl solution and 50 ml of NaOH solution (2%w/v), shaking vigorously for 5 min. the
8
9 154 lower layer and the sediment were discarded and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with 50 ml of chloroform
10
11 155 and shaken for 1 min. After discarding the organic (lower) layer, the aqueous phase was treated with 50 ml of a
12
13 156 citric acid solution (106 g l⁻¹) and mixed with 50 ml of dichloromethane, shaking for 5 min. The lower phase was
14
15 157 drained through 40 g of anhydrous Na₂SO₄ with glass wool ball and the aqueous phase was re-extracted with 50
16
17 158 ml of dichloromethane. The organic layer was drained through the anhydrous Na₂SO₄ that was washed with 10-
18
19 159 15 ml of dichloromethane to avoid analyte losses. The collected sample solution was evaporated to dryness in
20
21 160 rotary evaporator and redissolved in 4 ml of dichloromethane. The extract was evaporated to dryness under
22
23 161 nitrogen and dissolved in 500 µl of mobile phase for HPLC analysis.

24 162 *HPLC conditions*

25 163 The determination of ZEN was done using a Waters (Milford, MA, USA) chromatograph with a
26
27 164 reverse phase C18 silica gel column (Water Spherisorb 5 µm ODS2 4.6 x 150 mm, Milford, MA, USA),
28
29 165 followed by fluorescence detection (λ_{exc} 274 nm; λ_{em} 455 nm) (Waters 2475 fluorescence detector, Waters,
30
31 166 Milford, MA, USA). The mobile phase was constituted with acetonitrile/MilliQ water (60:40) and the pH was
32
33 167 adjusted at 3.2 with acetic acid. The injection volume was 100 µl and a flow rate of 1 ml min⁻¹. The elution time
34
35 168 was 5.1 min and the column temperature was 40 °C.

36 169 *Method of validation*

37
38 170 The method of validation involves the determination of different parameters which are: linearity, limit
39
40 171 of detection (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ), repeatability, reproducibility, retention time and extraction
41
42 172 recoveries (Thompson et al., 2002). Linearity was done by injecting triplicate AFB1 and OTA standard
43
44 173 solutions at 0.5, 1, 5, 10, 50, 100 and 150 ng ml⁻¹ and ZEN standard solutions at 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300,
45
46 174 350 and 400 ng ml⁻¹. The limit of detection (LOD) and the limit of quantification (LOQ) were determined by
47
48 175 taking 3.3 and 10 times the standard deviation, respectively, divided by the slope of the curve calibration of each
49
50 176 toxin. The slope and the standard deviation were calculated from the linearity line of the standard solutions.
51
52 177 Samples analysed previously by HPLC method, which shown no mycotoxins contamination, were used for
53
54 178 extraction recoveries and precision calculation. Extraction efficiency was tested by extracting blank samples
55
56 179 spiked at 0.5, 5 and 10 µg kg⁻¹ of AFB1 and OTA and at 25, 50 and 100 µg kg⁻¹ of ZEN concentrations.
57
58 180 Recoveries were calculated by comparison between the final concentration of mycotoxin in a spiked sample
59
60

1
2
3 181 after quantification by HPLC and the known initial concentration. Precision was calculated in terms of intra-day
4 182 repeatability RSDr (n=3) and inter-day reproducibility RSDR (5 different days) at 0.5, 5 and 10 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ spiking
5 183 levels for AFB1 and OTA and 25, 50 and 100 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ spiking levels for ZEN. Retention time varies depending
6 184 on pH, mobile phase composition, flow rate, pressure and temperature. The standard deviation of the 5
7 185 measurements carried out for each spiked sample makes it possible to evaluate the dispersion of the
8 186 measurements around the mean concentration (Zinedine, 2004). The coefficient of variation is used to check the
9 187 reproducibility of the method for the spiked samples (Zinedine, 2004):

$$Cv = \frac{\sigma}{Cm} \times 100$$

18 188 Peak identification was achieved with the retention times obtained after injection of each mycotoxin
19 189 standard solution separately under the same conditions. Quantitative determination was accomplished by
20 190 applying the calibration plots equations ($y = ax + b$).

21 191 *Statistical analysis*

22 192 Normal distribution of toxin contents, means, standard error and validation data were analysed by
23 193 Statgraphics Centurion (XVII.I French). The calibration curve used for quantification was calculated by the
24 194 least-squares method (least-124 squares method) and means comparison was made by ANOVA test. The
25 195 differences in mycotoxins levels between the two countries were analysed by ANNOVA test ($P < 0.05$).

26 196 **Results and discussions**

27 197 *Assay validation*

28 198 The proposed HPLC methods enabled the AFB1, OTA and ZEN detection in analysed sorghum
29 199 samples with high selectivity and sensibility. The methods proved to be rapid and reproducible. In-house
30 200 performance characteristics were established; calibration curves were linear from 10 to 150 ng ml^{-1} for AFB1,
31 201 from 25 to 150 ng ml^{-1} for OTA and from 200 to 400 ng ml^{-1} for ZEN. The limits of detection (LOD) and
32 202 quantification (LOQ) of the three mycotoxins are presented in Table 1. Using the same method of simultaneous
33 203 analysis of AFB1 and OTA in cereals, different LOD and LOQ values were found. Molinié et al. (2005)
34 204 obtained LOD and LOQ OTA values equal to 0.05 and 0.2 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$. While Nguyen et al. (2007) reported LOD
35 205 and LOQ values of AFB1 equal to 0.07 and 0.22 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$.

36 206 The use of H_3PO_4 / acetonitrile/ propanol-2-ol as a mobile phase allowed a good separation of AFB1
37 207 and OTA from the matrix compound with retention times of 14 and 56 min, respectively. The performance
38 208 parameters of the two methods used are summarised in Table 2. The recovery rates (Rc) vary between 78.1%
39 209 and 90.5% and within acceptable limits as set by the AOAC (70-125% at the 10 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ level and 70-110% for a

1
2
3 210 level of 10-100 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ (AOAC, 2002). The precision parameters RSDr and RSDR were relatively low and
4
5 211 between 0.07 and 7.39 and measurement uncertainty was in the range 0.09-9.60 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$.

6 212 *Incidence of AFB1, OTA and ZEN in sorghum samples*

7
8 213 The ANOVA analysis of the influence of the country of origin on the incidence and concentration of
9
10 214 mycotoxins in the samples studied showed that there is no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) between the two
11
12 215 batches of samples for each of the three mycotoxins studied. The values of the incidence of each mycotoxin, the
13
14 216 sample frequencies exceeding the maximum limits set by the EC, the concentration ranges and the mean values
15
16 217 of the mycotoxin contents are summarised in Table 3.

17
18 218 Among sixty four (64) samples, thirty eight (38) sorghum samples (59.4%) were found contaminated
19
20 219 with AFB1 in the range from 0.03 to 31.7 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ and an average value of $1.71 \pm 0.57 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$. Among them, only
21
22 220 9 samples (14.1%) contained AFB1 above the maximum limit set by the European Commission (2 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$)
23
24 221 (European Commission, 2006). Our results were in agreement with those found by other researchers. Indeed,
25
26 222 Ghali et al. (2010) reported that 73.4% of sorghum samples were contaminated with AFB1 with levels ranging
27
28 223 from 0.4 to 25.1 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$. In Ethiopia, Ayalew et al. (2006) showed that 6.1% of sorghum samples are AFB1
29
30 224 positive up to 26 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ with an average concentration of 5.9 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$. Da Silva et al. (2000) found that 12.8% of
31
32 225 sorghum grain samples from Brazil were contaminated with AFB1 at levels ranging from 7 to 33 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ and
33
34 226 only 4.5% of the total samples exceeded the maximum level for aflatoxins in Brazil (20 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$). Very high
35
36 227 concentrations of AFB1 were observed in freshly harvested sorghum samples from West Africa ranging from
37
38 228 1.6 to 90 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2007). Similarly, Ghali et al. (2009a) observed high levels of AFB1 up
39
40 229 to 52.9 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ in Tunisian sorghum. However, relatively much lower levels of AFB1, ranged between 0.02 and
41
42 230 8 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$, have been observed in sorghum from several regions in Sudan (Elbashir & Ali, 2013). Similarly,
43
44 231 Oueslati et al. (2014) reported a range of 0.07- 8.23 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ AFB1 in sorghum grain samples purchased from
45
46 232 different markets in Tunisia. Ayejuyo et al. (2011) also reported a low range of 5.20 -6.25 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ in sorghum
47
48 233 grains. Ratnavathi et al. (2012) reported that 73% of the samples are positive for AFB1, but only 0.75% of the
49
50 234 samples contain toxin above the maximum limit set by the Codex Alimentarius (20 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$) (Codex Alimentarius
51
52 235 Commission, 1989). Other researchers have not detected AFB1, despite the isolation of *A. flavus* in the 46
53
54 236 samples of sorghum malt studied (Nkwe et al., 2005). Indeed, the incidence and the content of AFB1 in
55
56 237 sorghum samples vary from one year to year and from one region to another depending on climatic conditions
57
58 238 (Ratnavathi et al. (2012). Furthermore, aflatoxin B1 content increase in stored sorghum when compared to
59
60

239 freshly harvested grain (Taye et al., 2016) especially when storage conditions are generally poor and lead to
240 damage and predisposition of mould growth and mycotoxin production (Alborch et al., 2011).

241 Our results showed that OTA was detected in 37.5% of samples (24 of 64 samples) with concentrations
242 ranging from 1.04 to 27.8 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ and an average concentration of $1.85 \pm 0.55 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$. Only 11 samples (17.2%)
243 contain an OTA level above the maximum limit (3 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$) (European Commission, 2006). Similarly, Ghali et
244 al. (2009b) showed that 51% of the sorghum samples were contaminated with OTA with levels between 0.11
245 and 33.8 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ and an average level of 5.4 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$. The EU allows a maximum level of OTA of 3 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ in
246 cereals intended for human consumption (CEC, 2006). Nevertheless, much higher levels were found in some
247 sorghum samples from Ethiopia with an average content of 174.8 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ (Ayalew et al., 2006). Similar results
248 were obtained by Zaied et al. (2009), who showed that 38% of the Tunisian sorghum samples are contaminated
249 with OTA with an average concentration of 117 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$. Maaroufi et al. (1995) showed the contamination of
250 several food products frequently consumed in Tunisia by OTA. Whereas, Elbashir and Ali (2013) found a low
251 incidence of OTA (3.3%) in sorghum and derived products from different parts of Sudan.

252 Our results showed that ZEN was detected in 32.8% (21 of 64 samples). The concentration of ZEN
253 varies between 3.75 and 64.52 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ and the mean value is $7.74 \pm 1.37 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$. No sample was contaminated
254 with a ZEN level above the maximum limit of 75 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ as set by the EC (European Commission, 2006). There
255 is very little published work on sorghum contamination by ZEN around the world. Shotwell et al. (1980)
256 detected ZEN in 28% of the sorghum samples harvested in the USA at concentrations ranging from 200 to 6900
257 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$. Bagneris et al. (1986) reported the presence of ZEN in the five samples analysed at concentrations of 47
258 to 1280 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$. In Japan, the incidence of ZEN in sorghum is about 52.5% with grades between 60 and 7260 μg
259 kg^{-1} (Aoyama et al., 2009). However, ZEN was not detected in sorghum samples from Sudan (Elbashir & Ali,
260 2013).

261 In some African countries, the consumption of sorghum-based alcoholic beverages is very common.
262 Indeed, high ZEN frequencies have been reported in beer, must and malt produced from sorghum grains from
263 Botswana (David et al., 2005). It seems that the fermentation process does not affect the contamination of
264 sorghum products by the mycotoxins. In Brazil, AFB1 was detected in pre and post-fermented sorghum used for
265 feeding beef cattle. The level of contamination increased significantly in sorghum samples after silage (Keller et
266 al., 2012).

267 In this study, the most frequent mycotoxin was AFB1. However, Chala et al. (2015) and Ayalew et al.
268 (2006) reported that ZEN was the most typical mycotoxin found in sorghum, followed by fumonisins and

1
2
3 269 aflatoxins. Our results do not agree with those found by other researchers. Furthermore, mycotoxin content
4 270 varies with country of origin due to variable climatic conditions, mycobiota composition and agricultural
5
6 271 practices and genetic diversity between different varieties of sorghum grown around the world.
7

8 272 In this work, we have shown that AFB1, OTA and ZEN contaminate sorghum, and may also co-exist
9
10 273 because of the diversity of the fungal flora of this cereal (Table 4). Thus, 12.5% of the samples analysed are
11
12 274 contaminated by the three mycotoxins. Moreover, previous work has also shown the co-occurrence of AFB1,
13
14 275 OTA and ZEN in sorghum grain samples. Ghali et al. (2008) found that 58.8% of sorghum samples contained
15
16 276 AFB1 at 1.5 to 41.4 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ and an average of 11.6 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$, 52.9% of samples of sorghum contain OTA with
17
18 277 levels ranging from 2.5 to 36.4 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ and an average concentration of 14.4 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$. These same researchers
19
20 278 showed that only 23.5% of sorghum samples are contaminated with ZEN in a range of 7.3 to 14 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ and an
21
22 279 average of 10.9 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$. They also reported that the frequency of occurrence of AFB1, OTA and ZEN in
23
24 280 sorghum is 23.5%. The co-occurrence of AFB1, OTA and ZEN can occur in other food commodities such as
25
26 281 barley, spices and wheat (Ghali et al., 2008). Sangare-Tigori et al. (2006) showed that 86% of Côte d'Ivoire's
27
28 282 rice, maize and groundnut samples are contaminated with AFB1, OTA, ZEN and fumonisin B1.

283 Conclusion

284 The two methods used for HPLC extraction and analysis of AFB1, OTA and ZEN have been shown to
285
286 be sensitive and reproducible for the detection and determination of these mycotoxins in sorghum grains. The
287
288 determination of the validation parameters showed good recovery rates for the three mycotoxins. The results
289
290 obtained showed that sorghum marketed in the Tunisian market is contaminated with AFB1, OTA and ZEN. We
291
292 noticed the co-occurrence of these three mycotoxins in some samples. Comparing our results with those
293
294 obtained by other researchers showed that the incidence and levels of mycotoxins in sorghum vary from country
295
296 to country and from year to year. This could be explained by variation in the composition of mycobiota
297
298 depending on climatic conditions, use of pesticides or genetic differences between varieties of sorghum. The
299
300 determination of the composition of the mycobiota of these sorghum samples makes it possible to identify the
301
302 species responsible for the production of the mycotoxins detected as well as their incidence and their capacity to
303
304 produce the toxins studied.

305 Acknowledgments

306 The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest and they are grateful to the Tunisian government
307
308 for the financial support under Grant "U12E503".

309 References

- 1
2
3 299 Alborch L, Bragulat MR, Abarca ML, Cabanes FJ. 2011. Effect of water activity, temperature and incubation
4 time on growth and ochratoxin A production by *Aspergillus niger* and *Aspergillus carbonarius* on
5 maize kernels. *Int J Food Microbiol.* 147:53–57.
6
7 301
8 302 Association of Official Analytical Chemists AOAC. 2000. Preparation of standards for mycotoxins. AOAC
9 International Official Methods of analysis. Chapter 49. Natural Toxins. p 4-5.
10 303
11 304 Association of Official Analytical Chemists AOAC. 2002. AOAC guidelines for single laboratory validation of
12 chemical methods for dietary supplements and botanicals. Gaithersburg (MD): AOAC International;
13
14 305
15 306 cited 2015 Aug 21. Available from: http://www.aoac.org/Official_Methods/slv_guidelines.pdf
16
17 307 Aoyama K, Ishikuro E, Nishiwaki M, Ichinoe M. 2009. Zearalenone Contamination and the Causative Fungi in
18 Sorghum. *Journal of Food Hygiene Society of Japan.* 50 (2): 47-51.
19 308
20 309 Ayalew A, Fehrmann H, Lepschy J, Beck R, Abate D. 2006. Natural occurrence of mycotoxins in staple
21 cereals from Ethiopia. *Mycopathologia.* 162: 57-63.
22 310
23 311 Ayejuyo OO, Olowu RA, Agbaje TO, Atamenwan M, Osundiya MO. 2011. Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent
24 Assay (ELISA) of aflatoxin B1 in groundnut and cereal grains in Lagos, Nigeria. *Res J Chem Sci.* 1:1-
25 5.
26 312
27 313
28 314 Bagneris RW, Gaul, JA, Ware, GM. 1986. Liquid chromatography determination of zearalenone and zearalenol
29 in animal feeds and grains, using fluorescence detection. *Journal of Association Organization of*
30 Analytical Chemists. 69: 894-898.
31 315
32 316
33 317 Bandopadhyay R, Butler DR, Chandrasekhar A, Reddy RK, Navi SS. 2000. Biology epidemiology and
34 management of sorghum grain mold. In: Chandrashekar A, Bandyopadhyay R, Hall AJ, editors.
35 Technical and institutional options for sorghum grain mold management: proceedings of an
36 international consultation. (pp. 34-71). ICRISAT: Andhra Pradesh, India.
37 318
38 319
39 320
40 321 Bandyopadhyay R, Kumar M, Leslie JF. 2007. Relative severity of aflatoxin contamination of cereal crops in
41 West Africa. *Food Additives and Contaminants.* 24(10): 1109-1114.
42 322
43 323 Boutrif E, Jemmali M, Campbell AD, Pohland AE. 1977. Aflatoxin in Tunisian foods and foodstuffs. *Annales*
44 de la Nutrition et de l'alimentation. 31 : 431-434
45 324
46 325 Chala A, Taye W, Ayalew A, Krska R, Sulyok M, Logrieco A. 2015. Multimycotoxin analysis of sorghum
47 (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench) and finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L. Garten) from Ethiopia. *Food*
48 Control. 45: 29-35.
49 326
50 327
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 328 Chandrashekar A, Bandyopadhyay R, Hall AJ. (eds.). 2000. Technical and institutional options for Sorghum
4
5 329 grain mold management: Proceedings of an international consultation, 18-19 May 2000, ICRISAT,
6
7 330 Patancheru, India. Patancheru, 502324, Andhra Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute
8
9 331 for the Semi-Arid Tropics. (pp. 299) ISBN 92-9066-428-2.
- 10 332 *Codex Alimentarius Commission. 1989. Report of the Twentieth Session of the Codex Committee on Food*
11
12 333 *Additives and Contaminants, Alinorm 89/12. Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome (1989).*
- 13
14 334 *Codex Alimentarius Commission. 2012. Discussion paper on mycotoxins in sorghum. Joint FAO/WHO food*
15
16 335 *standards program codex committee on contaminants in foods sixth session Maastricht, The*
17
18 336 *Netherlands, 26-30 March 2012.*
- 19 337 Commission of the European Communities (CEC). 2006. Commission regulation (EC) No. 1881/2006 of 19
20
21 338 December 2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs. Official Journal of
22
23 339 European Union. 364: 5-24.
- 24
25 340 Commission of the European Communities (CEC). 2010. Commission Regulation (EC) No. 165/2010 of 26
26
27 341 February 2010 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs. Off. J. Eur. Union L446:
28
29 342 1-127.
- 30
31 343 *Conab (Companhia Nacional de Abastecimento), Quarto Levantamento de Avaliac, ~ao da Safra 2006/2007.*
32
33 344 *[Online]. Available: <http://www.conab.gov.br/conab-web/index.pdf> [30 September 2009].*
- 34
35 345 *da Silva JB, Pozzi CR, Mallozzi MA, Ortega EM, Corrêa B. 2000. Mycoflora and occurrence of aflatoxin B1*
36
37 346 *and fumonisin B1 during storage of brazilian sorghum. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 9:*
38
39 347 *4352-4356.*
- 40
41 348 *da Silva, V.N., Campos Fernandes, F.M., Cortez, A., Baggio Ribeiro, D.H., de Almeida, A.P., Hasegawa, R.H.,*
42
43 349 *& Correa, B. 2006. Characterization and genetic variability of *Fusarium verticillioides* strains isolated*
44
45 350 *from corn and sorghum in Brazil based on fumonisin production, microsatellites, mating type locus,*
46
47 351 *and mating crosses. Canadian Journal of Microbiology 52, 798-804.*
- 48
49 352 David, O., Nkwe, Joanne, E., Taylor Bupe, A., & Siame, B.A. 2005. Fungi, aflatoxins, fumonisin B1 and
50
51 353 zearalenone contaminating sorghum-based traditional malt, wort and beer in Botswana. Mycopathologia.
52
53 354 160: 177-186.
- 54
55 355 Elbashir A, Ali SE. 2013. Aflatoxins, ochratoxins and zearalenone in sorghum and sorghum products in Sudan.
56
57 356 Food Additives & Contaminants: Part B: Surveillance. 7(2): 135-140.

- 1
2
3 357 Elegbede JA. 1978. Fungal and mycotoxin contamination of Sorghum during storage (M.SC thesis). submitted
4
5 358 to department of Biochemistry, Zaria: Ahmadu Bello University. 1-47.
6
7 359 FAO. 1994. Corporate Document Repository. Sorghum and millet in Human health Retrieved on 04'05/2014
8
9 360 from [www.fao.org/docrep/T0818E/T0818E0a.htm#Chapter%204%20-](http://www.fao.org/docrep/T0818E/T0818E0a.htm#Chapter%204%20-20Chemical%20composition%20and%20nutritive%20value)
10
11 361 [20Chemical%20composition%20and%20nutritive%20value](http://www.fao.org/docrep/T0818E/T0818E0a.htm#Chapter%204%20-20Chemical%20composition%20and%20nutritive%20value).
12
13 362 FAOSTAT. 2010 and 2012. Crops primary equivalent. Retrieved on 16th May, 2014 from.
14
15 363 FAOSTAT (2015). <http://faostat.fao.org/site/291/default.aspx> (Accessed 01.11.15).
16
17 364 Ghali R, Hmaissia-khlifa K, Ghorbel H, Maaroufi K, Hedili A. 2008. Incidence of aflatoxins, ochratoxin A and
18
19 365 zearalenone in tunisian foods. Food Control. 19: 921-924.
20
21 366 Ghali R, Belouaer I, Hdiri S, Ghorbel H, Maaroufi K, Hedilli A. 2009a. Simultaneous HPLC determination of
22
23 367 aflatoxins B1, B2, G1 and G2 in Tunisian sorghum and pistachios. Journal of Food Composition and
24
25 368 Analysis. 22: 751-755.
26
27 369 Ghali R, Hmaissia-Khlifa K, Ghorbel H, Maaroufi K, Hedilli A. 2009b. HPLC determination of ochratoxin A in
28
29 370 high consumption Tunisian foods. Food Control. 20: 716-720.
30
31 371 Ghali R, Hmaissia-khlifa K, Ghorbel H, Maaroufi K, Hedili A. 2010. Aflatoxin determination in commonly
32
33 372 consumed foods in Tunisia. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 90: 2347-2351.
34
35 373 Keller LAM, Pereyra CM, Cavaglieri LR, Keller KM, Almeida TX, Deveza MV, Assad RQ, Rosa, CAR..
36
37 374 2012. Fungi and Aflatoxin B1 *in* Pre and Post-fermented Sorghum Trench Type Silos Destined to
38
39 375 Bovine Intensive-rearing in Brazil. Revista Biociencias. (2): 81-91
40
41 376 Lahouar A, Crespo-Sempere A, Marín S, Saïd S, Sanchis, V. 2015. Toxigenic molds in Tunisian and Egyptian
42
43 377 sorghum for human Consumption. Journal of Stored Products Research. 63: 57-62.
44
45 378 Maaroufi K, Achour A, Betbeder AM, Hammami M, Ellouz F, Creppy EE. 1995. Foodstuffs and human blood
46
47 379 contamination by ochratoxin A: A correlation with chronic interstitial nephropathy in Tunisia. Archives
48
49 380 of Toxicology. 69: 552-558.
50
51 381 Molinié A, Faucet V, Castegnaro M, Pfohl-Leszkowicz A. 2005. Analysis of some breakfast cereals on the
52
53 382 French market for their contents of ochratoxin A, citrinin and fumonisin B1: development of a method
54
55 383 for simultaneous extraction of ochratoxin A and citrinin. Food Chemistry. 92: 391-400.
56
57 384 Nguyen MT, Tozlovanu T, Tran TL, Pfohl-Leszkowicz A. 2007. Occurrence of aflatoxin B1, citrinin and
58
59 385 ochratoxin A in rice in five provinces of the central region of Vietnam. Food Chemistry. 105(1): 42-47.
60

- 1
2
3 386 Nkwe DO, Taylor JE, Siame BA. 2005. Fungi, aflatoxins, fumonisin B1 and zearalenone contaminating
4
5 387 sorghum-based traditional malt, wort and beer in Botswana. *Mycopathologia* 160: 177-186.
6
7 388 Olivetti, M. P. A.; Camargo, A. M. M. P. 1997. Aspectos econômicos e desenvolvimento da cultura de sorgo.
8
9 389 *Inform. Econom.* 27, 35-46.
10
11 390 Oniang'o RK, Mutuku JM, Malaba, SJ. 2003. Contemporary African food habits and their nutritional and health
12
13 391 implications. *Asia Pacific Journal of Clinical Nutrition.* 12: 231-236.
14
15 392 Oueslati S, Romero-Gonzalez R, Lasram S, Garrido-frenich A, Martinez-Vidal JL. 2012. Multi-mycotoxin
16
17 393 determination in cereals and derived products marketed in Tunisia using ultra-high performance liquid
18
19 394 chromatography coupled to triple quadrupole mass spectrometry. *Food and Chemical Toxicology.* 50:
20
21 395 2376-2381.
22
23 396 Oueslati S, Blesa J, Molto JC, Ghorbel A, Mañes J. 2014. Presence of mycotoxin in sorghum and intake
24
25 397 estimation in Tunisia. *Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A.* 31: 307-318.
26
27 398 Ratnavathi CV, Komala VV, Vijay Kumar BS, Das IK, Patil JV. 2012. Natural occurrence of aflatoxin B1 in
28
29 399 sorghum grown in different geographical regions of India. *Journal of the Science of Food and*
30
31 400 *Agriculture.* 92: 2416-2420
32
33 401 Salifu A. 1978. Mycotoxins in short season varieties of Sorghum in Northern Nigeria, Samaru. *Journal of*
34
35 402 *Agricultural Research* 1: 83-87.
36
37 403 Sangare-Tigori B, Moukha S, Kouadio HJ, Betbeder AM, Dano DS, Creppy EE. 2006. Co-occurrence of
38
39 404 aflatoxin B1, fumonisin B1, ochratoxin A and zearalenone in cereals and peanuts from Côte d'Ivoire.
40
41 405 *Food Additives & Contaminants.* 10: 1000-1007.
42
43 406 Sashidhar RB, Ramakrishna Y, Ramesh VB. 1992. Moulds and mycotoxins in sorghum stored in traditional
44
45 407 containers in India. *Journal of stored Product Research.* 28(4): 257-260.
46
47 408 Serrano AB, Font G, Ruiz MJ, Ferrer E. 2012. Co-occurrence and risk assessment of mycotoxin in food and diet
48
49 409 from Mediterranean area. *Food Chemistry.* 135: 423-429.
50
51 410 Shotwell OL, Bennett GA, Goulden ML, Plattner RD, Hesseltine CW. 1980. Survey for zearalenone, aflatoxin,
52
53 411 and ochratoxin in U.S. grain sorghum from 1975 and 1976 crops. *Journal of the Association of Official*
54
55 412 *Analytical Chemists international.* 63: 922-926.
56
57 413 Taye W, Ayalew A, Chala A, Dejene M. 2016. Aflatoxin B1 and total fumonisin contamination and their
58
59 414 producing fungi in fresh and stored sorghum grain in East Hararghe, Ethiopia. *FOOD ADDITIVES &*
60
415 *CONTAMINANTS: PART B.* 9 (4) : 237-245

- 1
2
3 416 Thompson M, Ellison RS, Wood R. 2002. Harmonized guidelines for single laboratory validation of methods of
4 417 analysis (IUPAC Technical Report). Pure Applied Chemistry. 74 (5): 835-855.
5
6 418 **USDA (United States Department of Agriculture), World Agricultural Production. [Online]. Available:**
7
8 419 <http://ffas.usda.gov/wap/circular/2008/08-09/toc.asp> [30 September 2009].
9
10 420 Veiga AA. 1986. Aspectos económicos de cultura de sorgo. Informe Agropecuario. 12: 3-5.
11
12 421 Waliyar F, Ravinder RC, Alur AS, Reddy BVS, Reddy AR, Gowda CLL. 2007. Management of grain mold and
13 422 mycotoxins in sorghum. Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute for
14 423 Semi-Arid Tropics, (pp. 32).
15
16 424 Zaied C, Abid S, Zorgui L, Bouaziz C, Chouchane S, Jomaa M, Bacha H. 2009. Natural occurrence of
17 425 ochratoxin A in Tunisian cereals. Food Control. 20: 218-222.
18
19 426 Zinedine A. 2004. Détermination des mycotoxines dans les aliments et étude de la réduction des aflatoxines par
20 427 les bactéries lactiques isolées des ferments panaires traditionnels. Université Sidi Mohamed Ben
21 428 Abdallah.
22
23 429
24 430
25 431
26 432
27 433
28 434
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. LOD and LOQ of AFB1, OTA and ZEN

	AFB1	OTA	ZEN
LOD ($\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$)	0.008	0.03	3.1
LOQ ($\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$)	0.02	0.09	9.39

For Peer Review Only

Table 2. The values of the average recoveries, the precision parameters (RSDr and RSDR), the coefficient of variation and the measurement uncertainty of the analysis methods of AFB1, OTA and ZEN

Mycotoxin	Spiking level ($\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$)	Average recovery (%)	RSDr	RSDR	Cv	Measurement uncertainty ($\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$)
AFB1	0.5	79.7	0.08	0.06	20.01	0.11
	5	84.2	0.51	0.38	12.11	0.64
	10	87.0	0.62	0.62	7.12	0.74
OTA	0.5	84	0.07	0.07	16.66	0.09
	5	79.0	0.67	0.58	16.95	0.84
	10	90.5	0.52	0.5	5.74	0.65
ZEN	25	78.1	1.83	1.95	9.36	2.56
	50	77.9	2.77	2.52	7.11	3.22
	100	82.7	7.39	6.96	8.92	9.60

RSDR: inter-day reproducibility

RSDr: repeatability intra-day

Cv: coefficient of variation

Table 3. Analytical results of sorghum samples of the region of Sahel in Tunisia

Mycotoxin	Origin	Incidence (%)	Range	Mean concentration	>limit (%)
AFB1	Egypt (27)	59.3 (16)	0.05-31.7	2.32±1.29	11.1 (3)
	Tunisia (37)	59.5 (22)	0.03-9.48	1.24±0.34	16.2 (6)
	Total (64)	59.4 (38)	0.03-31.7	1.71±0.57	14.1 (9)
OTA	Egypt (27)	40.7 (11)	0.14-16.44	2.06±0.79	22.2 (6)
	Tunisia (37)	35.1 (13)	1.04-27.8	1.71±0.76	13.5 (5)
	Total (64)	37.5 (24)	1.04-27.8	1.85±0.55	17.9 (11)
ZEN	Egypt (27)	29.6 (8)	6.08-31.18	6.62±1.42	0
	Tunisia (37)	35.1 (13)	3.75-64.52	8.56±2.15	0
	Total (64)	32.8 (21)	3.75-64.52	7.74±1.37	0

>limit: Incidence of positive samples exceeding the EC limit

Range and Mean concentration: $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$

Table 4. Co-occurrence of AFB1, OTA and ZEN in sorghum samples

	AFB1-OTA (%)	AFB1-ZEN (%)	OTA-ZEN (%)	AFB1-OTA-ZEN (%)
Egypt	37.0	18.5	11.1	11.1
Tunisia	34.3	27.0	16.2	13.5
Total	29.7	23.4	14.1	12.5

For Peer Review Only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60