



Universitat de Lleida

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10459.1/59095>

The final publication is available at:

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2016.12.002>

Copyright

cc-by-nc-nd, (c) Elsevier, 2016



Està subjecte a una llicència de [Reconeixement-NoComercial-SenseObraDerivada 4.0 de Creative Commons](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

1 **Biofortification of crops with nutrients: factors affecting utilization and storage**

2 Joana Díaz-Gómez ¹, Richard M Twyman ², Changfu Zhu ³, Gemma Farré ³, José C E
3 Serrano ⁴, Manuel Portero-Otin ⁴, Pilar Muñoz ³, Gerhard Sandmann ⁵, Teresa Capell ³
4 and Paul Christou ^{3,6}

5
6 **Abstract**

7 Biofortification is an effective and economical method to improve the micronutrient
8 content of crops, particularly staples that sustain human populations in developing
9 countries. Whereas conventional fortification requires artificial additives,
10 biofortification involves the synthesis or accumulation of nutrients by plants at source.
11 Little is known about the relative merits of biofortification and artificial fortification in
12 terms of nutrient bioaccessibility and bioavailability, and much depends on the
13 biochemical nature of the nutrient, which can promote or delay uptake, and determine
14 how efficiently different nutrients are transported through the blood, stored, and
15 utilized. Data from the first plants biofortified with minerals and vitamins provide
16 evidence that the way in which nutrients are presented can affect how they are
17 processed and utilized in the human body. The latest studies on the effects of the food
18 matrix, processing and storage on nutrient transfer from biofortified crops are reviewed,
19 as well as current knowledge about nutrient absorption and utilization.

20

21 **Addresses**

22 ¹ Department of Food Technology, University of Lleida – Agrotecnio Center, Lleida,
23 Spain

24 ² TRM Ltd, York, UK

25 ³ Department of Plant and Forestry Science, University of Lleida – Agrotecnio Center,
26 Lleida, Spain

27 ⁴ Department of Experimental Medicine, University of Lleida–Biomedical Research
28 Institute of Lleida (IRB Lleida), Lleida, Spain

29 ⁵ Biosynthesis group, Department of Molecular Biosciences, J. W. Goethe University,
30 Frankfurt, Germany

31 ⁶ ICREA, Catalan Institute for Research and Advanced Studies, Barcelona, Spain

32

33 Corresponding author: Christou, Paul (christou@pvcf.udl.cat)

34 **Introduction**

35 Nutrients in the human diet ultimately come from plants, but all our major food crops
36 lack certain essential micronutrients (vitamins and minerals) [1]. The endosperm of
37 cereal staples such as rice, wheat and maize are the most important source of calories
38 for humans, providing ~23%, ~17% and ~10% of total global calories, respectively [2].
39 However, endosperm tissue lacks sufficient amounts of vitamins (particularly vitamins
40 A, E, C and folate) and minerals (particularly iron, zinc and selenium) [1,3]. Iron and
41 zinc deficiencies affect more than 50% of the human population, resulting in poor
42 growth and development, an impaired immune system, fatigue, muscle wasting, sterility
43 and even death [2,3]. More than 4 million children worldwide suffer from severe
44 vitamin A deficiency (VAD), including 250,000–500,000 per year who become
45 partially or totally blind [4]. Women have a higher demand for vitamin A during
46 pregnancy, and currently more than 20 million pregnant women in developing countries
47 suffer from VAD [4].

48 Strategies to address micronutrient deficiency include dietary diversification, nutritional
49 supplements, fortification and biofortification [1–3]. A combination of approaches is
50 likely to provide the greatest overall benefit, but in some populations dietary
51 diversification is impractical and supplements are only suitable as short-term
52 interventions [2,3]. Fortification requires the addition of nutrients to food products, e.g.
53 iodine is added to table salt, and iron, zinc and folate are added to flour to make bread
54 [2,3]. One major drawback of these approaches is the limited stability of the additives,
55 e.g. folate added to rice becomes more soluble at higher temperatures and is lost when
56 the rice is boiled [2]. A second disadvantage is that additives can also affect the quality
57 of food, e.g. iron additives are oxidized over time and this has an impact on taste [3].
58 The third and major limitation of conventional fortification is that it is mainly suited to

59 developed countries with the necessary technical infrastructure and distribution
60 networks, but is less appropriate for developing countries with their extensive reliance
61 on subsistence agriculture [2]. Biofortification can address all three issues by facilitating
62 the development of nutrient-dense staple crops that can be grown and distributed using
63 existing agricultural practices [3,5].

64 Biofortification is well established in principle but there are few practical examples of
65 deployment thus far. Zinc-enriched rice and wheat have recently been deployed in
66 Bangladesh and China, respectively; an orange sweet potato rich in provitamin A
67 carotenoids has been released in Mozambique and Uganda; and provitamin A rich
68 maize has been released in Zambia and Nigeria [5]. Golden Rice II, the first transgenic
69 biofortified crop engineered with provitamin A carotenoids in the endosperm, has
70 incurred multiple delays in terms of deployment. It is currently being backcrossed into
71 locally adapted varieties in the Philippines, Indonesia, India and Bangladesh [5].
72 Multivitamin corn (registered as the protected variety Carolight[®] in Spain) was
73 developed by transforming an elite white-endosperm South African inbred line with
74 four genes representing three different vitamin biosynthesis pathways, increasing the
75 levels of β -carotene, other carotenoids, vitamin C and folate [6]. Carolight[®] also
76 contains a *Bacillus thuringiensis* (*Bt*) gene making it pest resistant [7]. Biofortification
77 is a sustainable approach which can bring nutritious staple crops to populations that are
78 difficult to supply with supplements or fortified food products, and once the crop is
79 developed there are no recurring costs other than those associated with normal
80 agriculture. However, it is necessary to consider the efficiency of nutrient delivery by
81 biofortified crops compared to other interventions in order to determine the long-term
82 benefits of this approach. Data from the first biofortified crops are now available to
83 allow such comparisons.

84

85 **Fate of nutrients produced in plants**

86 The fate of organic nutrients in plant tissues is highly dependent on their solubility and
87 their affinity for the constituents of the plant tissue matrix.

88 *Folate*

89 Folate is soluble in water and is easily released from the matrix, thus plasma folate
90 levels are higher following the consumption of minced/chopped spinach rather than
91 whole leaves both as raw tissue [8] and after microwaving [9]. Dietary fibers such as
92 cellulose, lignin, pectin and alginate do not appear to affect folate bioavailability [10].
93 Baking causes the loss of endogenous bread folates (~40%) as well as added synthetic
94 folic acid (30–60%). Furthermore, the bread matrix inhibits folate absorption [11].

95 *Carotenoids*

96 In contrast, the bioavailability of fat-soluble nutrients appears to be much more
97 dependent on associations with matrix components and other dietary constituents, as
98 shown for the six major dietary carotenoids (β -carotene, α -carotene and β -cryptoxanthin
99 with provitamin A activity, lycopene, lutein and zeaxanthin without provitamin A
100 activity) [12]. Carotenoids are associated with proteins in many green leafy vegetables,
101 whereas in carrots and tomatoes they are also stored in a semi-crystalline form [13,14].
102 Cooking, food processing, and the enzymatic processes during digestion weaken the cell
103 walls and disrupt the protein-carotenoid complexes, promoting release and increasing
104 bioavailability [15]. The bioavailability of carotenoids appears to depend on food
105 particle size, with more efficient absorption from smaller food particles produced by
106 homogenization, grinding, or milling. The bioavailability of carotenoids after release is
107 favored by the presence of fats because carotenoids are incorporated into lipid droplets

108 before entering the micelles, whereas soluble fibers, sterols and stanols inhibit the
109 absorption of carotenoids [13,16–18]. The inhibitory effect of fibers may reflect the
110 higher viscosity of fibrous solutions, the formation of gel aggregates, the incomplete
111 hydrolysis of triacyl glycerols, or carotenoid aggregation [19]. Carotenoids are
112 lipophilic and may also compete with plant sterols and stanols for solubilization in
113 mixed micelles [18].

114 *Vitamin E*

115 Vitamin E comprises eight fat-soluble molecules (α , β , γ and δ tocopherol and the
116 corresponding tocotrienols, with α -tocopherol possessing the greatest biological
117 activity) and like carotenoids its bioavailability is therefore highly dependent on
118 interactions with the food matrix [20,21]. Accordingly, the bioaccessibility of vitamin E
119 varies extensively in different types of food, ranging from 0.47% in apple to almost
120 100% in banana, white bread and lettuce. Interestingly, the bioaccessibility of
121 α -tocopherol was similar to that of γ -tocopherol when sourced from almonds, wheat
122 germ, cheese or hazelnuts, but α -tocopherol was more bioaccessible than γ -tocopherol
123 when sourced from banana, bread, lettuce and milk. This may reflect the food matrix
124 effect, which determines the location of tocopherols, their physicochemical state, and
125 the co-presentation of absorption effectors such as fibers, fats, sterols and stanols [22].

126 *Calcium and iron*

127 The bioavailability of minerals is affected by the food matrix, intrinsic chemical
128 properties such as the oxidation state and counter-ion, and also by co-presented food
129 substances, because all of these factors can affect solubility [23]. Calcium must be
130 solubilized before it can be absorbed. The extracellular calcium concentration depends
131 on intestinal absorption, kidney reabsorption and bone resorption/formation, which are
132 regulated by the calcium sensing receptor (CaSR) located in the parathyroid gland [24].

133 The absorption of calcium is highly dependent on the abundance of phytate and oxalate,
134 which can combine with calcium to form insoluble complexes [25,26]. Calcium also
135 forms complexes with proteins, so cooking can help to release calcium for absorption,
136 but the cooking method is important because the soluble calcium leaches into water
137 used for boiling, but is retained during baking [27]. Vitamin D is also required for
138 calcium absorption [28]. Similarly, iron in meat and fish is relatively easy to absorb
139 because of its favorable oxidation state and its storage in the form of ferritin-iron
140 complexes that release the mineral readily, whereas some dietary proteins (such as
141 albumin, casein, phosphovitin and conglycinin) and certain plant polyphenols can reduce
142 the bioavailability of iron [23,29].

143

144 **The role of the food matrix, food processing and storage**

145 *Food matrix*

146 The major role of the food matrix in terms of nutrient bioaccessibility and
147 bioavailability is to trap the nutrients within cells or subcellular compartments, and to
148 provide constituents that interact chemically with specific nutrients to either encourage
149 or delay their release, leading to their classification as absorption promoters and
150 inhibitors (Table 1). Lipid food components increase the bioaccessibility of fat-soluble
151 nutrients, so cooking methods that preserve fats (e.g. frying) tend to outperform
152 methods that disperse them (e.g. boiling) in terms of promoting the bioaccessibility of
153 nutrients such as β -carotene, as recently shown for biofortified cassava [30]. Similarly,
154 β -carotene bioaccessibility increased by 3–5 fold in a transgenic biofortified sorghum
155 line when the lipid content was increased from 5% to 10% [31]. Inhibitors such as
156 phytate, oxalate and polyphenols reduce the bioaccessibility of iron and zinc by forming
157 insoluble complexes. Transgenic maize, rice and sorghum with lower phytate levels in

158 the seeds have been developed to address this issue [32]. Biofortification is
159 advantageous for iron nutrition because plants can be engineered to maximize
160 bioaccessibility. In contrast, standard fortification is achieved using sparingly soluble
161 iron compounds to avoid an undesirable metallic taste, but the bioavailability of such
162 compounds is low [33]. Agronomic interventions are short-term strategies that focus on
163 the use of soil and foliar mineral fertilizers, but regular applications are required [34]. In
164 maize, rice and wheat, foliar fertilization achieves higher levels of zinc accumulation
165 than soil fertilization [35]. Mineral biofortification is most efficient when cereals are not
166 consumed as flours, e.g. rice grain. Accordingly, zinc in rice grains biofortified using
167 zinc-rich fertilizer is absorbed to a similar extent as the same rice variety fortified
168 artificially with zinc immediately before consumption [36].

169 *Food processing*

170 Food processing can enhance the bioaccessibility and bioavailability of nutrients by
171 removing inhibitors or releasing nutrients from the food matrix (Table 2) but it can also
172 reduce nutritional value. For example, most cereal grains are dehulled and milled before
173 consumption, causing significant losses of minerals [32] and certain vitamins [37].
174 Carotenoid levels tend not to be affected by light milling, but greater losses are caused
175 by heavy milling [38,39]. Genetic engineering strategies that promote the accumulation
176 of nutrients in the endosperm rather than the bran or husk are therefore useful to
177 increase the nutritional value of polished grains [40], as shown by the expression of
178 enzymes that promote the synthesis of phyto siderophores in rice, leading to the
179 modulation of endogenous metal transporter gene expression and the mobilization of
180 zinc and iron from the bran to the endosperm [41]. Cooking and thermal food
181 processing methods such as pasteurization can destroy heat-sensitive organic nutrients
182 such as folate and B6 group vitamins, and the cooking method can also encourage

183 leaching, but the bioaccessibility of other nutrients can increase when they are released
184 from the plant matrix by cooking. Transgenic biofortified cassava provides sufficient
185 bioavailable vitamin B6 after cooking: the leaves and roots retain, respectively, 9-fold
186 and 4-fold more non-phosphorylated B6, than non-transgenic cassava [42]. Transgenic
187 biofortified rice meets folate requirements even after cooking losses of 45% (100 g of
188 rice contains 500 µg of folates) [43]. Interestingly, only 43% of the original content of
189 provitamin A carotenoids was retained in fortified rice grains after cooking, whereas
190 iron, zinc, folic acid and vitamin B12 levels usually remained above 80% of the original
191 value [44]. In contrast, β-carotene was retained when biofortified maize [38,39] and
192 biofortified pumpkin [45] were cooked (>72% and >78%, respectively) suggesting that
193 biofortification prevents the loss of provitamin A carotenoids during cooking more
194 effectively than standard fortification, probably due to the food matrix effect. In hens
195 fed on transgenic biofortified maize, provitamin A carotenoids are preferentially
196 diverted to the liver, whereas non-provitamin A carotenoids accumulate in the egg, in
197 some cases doubling the initial concentration in the feed. When non-provitamin A
198 carotenoids were supplied as intrinsic components of the transgenic biofortified maize,
199 these nutrients were more efficiently absorbed than carotenoid additives in the standard
200 commercial maize diet [46]. Transgenic biofortified cassava also preserves the
201 bioaccessibility of provitamin A carotenoids after processing, with a greater efficiency
202 of β-carotene transfer to micelles (30–45%) than non-transgenic cassava (27–31%) [47].
203 In contrast, the transfer of β-carotene to micelles in transgenic sorghum was less
204 efficient (1–5%) than in non-transgenic sorghum (6–11%) [31]. Several studies have
205 highlighted the importance of genotype-specific effects on the retention of carotenoids
206 during identical processing treatments, probably reflecting differences in the food
207 matrix [30,38,45,47]. The impact of cooking on the retention of β-carotene also varies

208 according to the genotype [30,38,45], and genotype has a greater effect on the quantity
209 of β -carotene in the micelle fractions than on the retention of β -carotene after processing
210 [47].

211 *Storage*

212 The stability of nutrients during storage is also an important consideration because
213 biofortified maize loses a greater quantity of carotenoids during post-harvest storage
214 than during cooking [38]. As discussed above for cooking and processing, genotype has
215 an important impact on carotenoid stability during storage [48]. Moreover, maize
216 genotypes which lose more carotenoids during drying tend to lose less during storage
217 [49]. Biofortified cassava was more susceptible to carotenoid losses during storage than
218 white cassava with added red palm oil, suggesting that fatty acids can prevent
219 carotenoid degradation [50]. Transgenic biofortified rice with improved folate stability
220 was recently reported to maintain folate levels for 4 months when stored at 28°C [43].
221 The sequestration of carotenoids in chromoplasts, which act as a metabolic sink, can
222 enhance carotenoid levels during storage, as recently shown for transgenic potatoes (cv.
223 Désirée) in cold storage for 5 months [51]. Nevertheless, when the Phureja cultivar was
224 used as the parental genotype (high carotenoid content in tubers) instead of Désirée (low
225 carotenoid content in tubers), there were no significant changes in total carotenoid
226 levels during cold storage [52].

227

228 **Downstream behavior of absorbed nutrients**

229 Nutrient supplements and fortified foods are provided in well-controlled doses to avoid
230 toxicity. One concern about biofortification is that dosing would be more difficult to
231 control, but recent studies have shown that the uptake of nutrients from biofortified

232 crops is regulated at the level of absorption from the gut, and also at the cellular level
233 and by the modulation of storage reservoirs, based on the abundance of nutrients already
234 in the body and the demand for certain nutrient molecules [53].

235 Each vitamin and mineral has a specific transporter that facilitates its uptake from the
236 gut, but some unrelated nutrients can also share the same transporter, as shown for the
237 sodium-dependent multivitamin transporter that can mobilize pantothenic acid, biotin,
238 α -lipoic acid and iodine [54]. In this context, the transport of one nutrient can be
239 inhibited in a concentration-dependent manner by other compounds that share the same
240 transporter. Fat-soluble compounds are also mobilized by lipid transporters that vary in
241 specificity. For example, carotenoids are absorbed via scavenger receptors (class B type
242 1 and Niemann-Pick type C1-like 1) that are selective for particular carotenoid
243 molecules such as lutein [55]. Some nutrients can only be absorbed as a complex with a
244 ligand that is secreted into the gut. For example, intrinsic factor is secreted by gastric
245 parietal cells to absorb cobalamin (vitamin B12), polyglutamyl folates must be
246 processed by glutamate carboxypeptidase II, and the pancreatic secretion of γ -glutamyl
247 hydrolase is necessary to release folate for absorption [56].

248 The intestinal uptake of nutrients is adaptively regulated by the substrate level in the
249 diet and depends primarily on the number of transporters in the apical and basolateral
250 cell membranes of endothelial cells. For thiamin, this involves the transcriptional
251 regulation of thiamin transporter-2 [57]. The production of nutrient-specific transporters
252 is regulated at the level of transcription. High levels of nutrient bioavailability lead to
253 the suppression of transcription and starvation causes the transporter gene to be induced.
254 In some cases, specific epigenetic changes have been observed in the promoter of the
255 transporter gene, e.g. the oversupply of riboflavin leads to the epigenetic suppression of
256 the riboflavin transporter gene [58].

257 The transport of nutrients after absorption may also be regulated. For example,
258 fat-soluble vitamins, carotenoids and ω -3 fatty acids (particularly docosahexaenoic and
259 eicosapentaenoic acids) are transported in lipid vesicles that require chylomicron
260 assembly and secretion, and these processes are inhibited when the corresponding
261 nutrients are plentiful [59]. Similarly, iron is exported from enterocytes via ferroportin
262 and its distribution is limited by the availability of transferrin. Finally, specific
263 intracellular sensors of nutrient bioavailability may regulate tissue distribution. For
264 example, the absorption of iron is inhibited by the regulatory protein hepcidin which is
265 stored in macrophages. Interestingly, hepcidin synthesis is sensitive to both circulating
266 iron and intracellular iron stores because the macrophages communicate with
267 hepatocytes to regulate hepcidin release via multiple indicator proteins, including
268 transferrin and transferrin receptor-2 [60].

269

270 **Conclusions**

271 The biofortification of staple crops was envisaged as a sustainable strategy to deliver
272 nutritious food to populations that are unsuitable for other intervention measures, but
273 the bioavailability of nutrients in biofortified crops must be confirmed before they can
274 be widely deployed. The bioavailability of nutrients is partly dependent on the intrinsic
275 qualities of each nutrient molecule and partly dependent on their presentation in the
276 context of the food matrix.

277 The major difference between biofortification and standard fortification is that the latter
278 involves additives that are mixed with the food, whereas biofortification embeds the
279 nutrients inside plant cells. The bioencapsulation of nutrients in this manner can prevent
280 them from leaching during cooking and processing, as shown by the direct comparison
281 of β -carotene levels after cooking fortified and transgenic biofortified rice, but can also

282 enhance the binding of nutrients to plant proteins and fibers, as shown for iron and other
283 minerals. The full value of biofortified crops can therefore be realized only by
284 combining the adoption of biofortified varieties with the most appropriate food
285 preparation and cooking methods to maximize the bioavailability of different nutrients.
286 Moreover, cooking and storage losses could be reduced by growing crops in which the
287 nutrients are more stable (e.g. transgenic folate-biofortified rice). Biofortified crops can
288 help to alleviate micronutrient deficiency in at-risk populations in a sustainable manner.
289 Some biofortified crops (e.g. rice, maize, cassava and pumpkin) achieve better results
290 than others (e.g. sorghum), but rural populations are accustomed to eating staple crops
291 commonly harvested in their area, so biofortification strategies must be tailored for
292 different communities to achieve the greatest improvements in nutritional health.

293

294 **Acknowledgements**

295 Research at the University of Lleida is supported by MINECO, Spain (BIO2014-54426-
296 P; BIO2014-54441-P, FEDER funds), the Catalan Government (2014 SGR 1296
297 Agricultural Biotechnology Research Group) and Recercaixa. J. Díaz-Gómez thanks the
298 University of Lleida for a pre-doctoral grant.

299

300 **References and recommended reading**

301 **Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review have been**

302 **highlighted as:**

303 • of special interest

304 •• of outstanding interest

- 305 1. Zhu C, Naqvi S, Gómez-Galera S, Pelacho AM, Capell T, Christou P:
306 **Transgenic strategies for the nutritional enhancement of plants.** *Trends Plant*
307 *Sci* 2007, **12**:548–555.
- 308 2. Rawat N, Neelam K, Tiwari VK, Dhaliwal HS: **Biofortification of cereals to**
309 **overcome hidden hunger.** *Plant Breed* 2013, **132**:437–445.
- 310 3. Gómez-Galera S, Rojas E, Sudhakar D, Zhu C, Pelacho AM, Capell T, Christou
311 P: **Critical evaluation of strategies for mineral fortification of staple food**
312 **crops.** *Transgenic Res* 2010, **19**:165–180.
- 313 4. Bai C, Twyman RM, Farré G, Sanahuja G, Christou P, Capell T, Zhu C: **A**
314 **golden era—pro-vitamin A enhancement in diverse crops.** *In Vitro Cell Dev*
315 *Biol - Plant* 2011, **47**:205–221.
- 316 5. Saltzman A, Birol E, Bouis HE, Boy E, De Moura FF, Islam Y, Pfeiffer WH:
317 **Biofortification: progress toward a more nourishing future.** *Glob Food Sec*
318 2013, **2**:9–17.
- 319 6 •. Naqvi S, Zhu C, Farré G, Ramessar K, Bassie L, Breitenbach J, Perez Conesa D,
320 Ros G, Sandmann G, Capell T, Christou P: **Transgenic multivitamin corn**
321 **through biofortification of endosperm with three vitamins representing**
322 **three distinct metabolic pathways.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009, **106**:7762–
323 7767.

324 This was the first report to describe a biofortified food crop in which different organic
325 nutrients were improved simultaneously (specifically, vitamin A and other
326 carotenoids, vitamin C and folate). Despite the losses that could occur during
327 processing, nutrients provided as intrinsic components could be more stable due
328 to the food matrix effect.

- 329 7. Zanga D, Capell T, Zhu C, Christou P, Thangaraj H: **Freedom-to-operate**
330 **analysis of a transgenic multivitamin corn variety.** *Plant Biotechnol J* 2016,
331 **14:1225–1240.**
- 332 8 • Castenmiller JJ, van de Poll CJ, West CE, Brouwer IA, Thomas CM, van
333 Dusseldorp M: **Bioavailability of folate from processed spinach in humans.**
334 **Effect of food matrix and interaction with carotenoids.** *Ann Nutr Metab* 2000,
335 **44:163–169.**
- 336 An important study which addressed the bioavailability of folate in spinach prepared in
337 different ways, and one of only a few studies to look at interactions between
338 nutrients rather than single nutrients in isolation. The addition of dietary fiber to
339 food containing processed spinach did not affect the plasma folate response.
340 Moreover, carotenoids added to the control diet did not affect folate levels.
- 341 9. van het Hof KH, Tijburg LBM, Pietrzik K, Weststrate JA: **Influence of feeding**
342 **different vegetables on plasma levels of carotenoids, folate and vitamin C.**
343 **Effect of disruption of the vegetable matrix.** *Br J Nutr* 1999, **82:203–212.**
- 344 10. Ristow KA, Gregory J. 3rd, Damron BL: **Effects of dietary fiber on the**
345 **bioavailability of folic acid monoglutamate.** *J Nutr* 1982, **112:750–758.**
- 346 11. Öhrvik V, Öhrvik H, Tallkvist J, Witthöft C: **Folates in bread: retention during**
347 **bread-making and in vitro bioaccessibility.** *Eur J Nutr* 2010, **49:365–372.**
- 348 12. Fernández-García E, Carvajal-Lérida I, Jarén-Galán M, Garrido-Fernández J,
349 Pérez-Gálvez A, Hornero-Méndez D: **Carotenoids bioavailability from foods:**
350 **from plant pigments to efficient biological activities.** *Food Res Int* 2012,
351 **46:438–450.**
- 352 13. Hoffman R, Gerber M: **Food processing and the Mediterranean diet.** *Nutrients*

- 353 2015, **7**:7925–7964.
- 354 14. Ball GFM: *Vitamins in foods. Analysis, bioavailability and stability*. CRC Press;
355 2006.
- 356 15. Murador DC, da Cunha DT, de Rosso VV: **Effects of cooking techniques on**
357 **vegetable pigments: a meta-analytic approach to carotenoid and**
358 **anthocyanin levels**. *Food Res Int* 2014, **65**:177–183.
- 359 16. Goltz SR, Campbell WW, Chitchumroonchokchai C, Failla ML, Ferruzzi MG:
360 **Meal triacylglycerol profile modulates postprandial absorption of**
361 **carotenoids in humans**. *Mol Nutr Food Res* 2012, **56**:866–877.
- 362 17. Lakshminarayana R, Baskaran V: **Influence of olive oil on the bioavailability of**
363 **carotenoids**. *Eur J Lipid Sci Technol* 2013, **115**:1085–1093.
- 364 18. Yonekura L, Nagao A: **Intestinal absorption of dietary carotenoids**. *Mol Nutr*
365 *Food Res* 2007, **51**:107–115.
- 366 19. Yonekura L, Nagao A: **Soluble fibers inhibit carotenoid micellization in vitro**
367 **and uptake by Caco-2 cells**. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* 2009, **73**:196–199.
- 368 20. Nesaretnam K: **Multitargeted therapy of cancer by tocotrienols**. *Cancer Lett*
369 2008, **269**:388–395.
- 370 21. DellaPenna D, Mène-Saffrané L: **Vitamin E**. In *Advances in Botanical Research*.
371 Edited by Rébeillé F, Douces R. Elsevier Inc; 2011:179–227.
- 372 22. Reboul E, Richelle M, Perrot E, Desmoulins-Malezet C, Pirisi V, Borel P:
373 **Bioaccessibility of carotenoids and vitamin E from their main dietary**
374 **sources**. *J Agric Food Chem* 2006, **54**:8749–8755.
- 375 23 •. Etcheverry P, Grusak MA, Fleige LE: **Application of in vitro bioaccessibility**

376 **and bioavailability methods for calcium, carotenoids, folate, iron,**
377 **magnesium, polyphenols, zinc, and vitamins B6, B12, D, and E.** *Front Physiol*
378 2012, **3**:1–22.

379 This is a brief review article covering the major methods for the analysis of nutrient
380 bioaccessibility and bioavailability.

381 24. Zhang C, Miller CL, Brown EM, Yang JJ: **The calcium sensing receptor: from**
382 **calcium sensing to signaling.** *Sci China Life Sci* 2015, **58**:14–27.

383 25. Liang J, Han B-Z, Nout MJR, Hamer RJ: **In vitro solubility of calcium, iron**
384 **and zinc in relation to phytic acid levels in rice-based consumer products in**
385 **China.** *Int J Food Sci Nutr* 2010, **61**:40–51.

386 26 ••. La Frano MR, de Moura FF, Boy E, Lönnerdal B, Burri BJ: **Bioavailability of**
387 **iron, zinc, and provitamin A carotenoids in biofortified staple crops.** *Nutr*
388 *Rev* 2014, **72**:289–307.

389 A comprehensive overview of the bioavailability of organic and inorganic nutrients in
390 biofortified crops (maize, rice, cassava, beans, wheat, sweet potatoes, and pearl
391 millet) produced by Harvest Plus.

392 27. Repo-Carrasco-Valencia RAM, Encina CR, Binaghi MJ, Greco CB, de Ferrer
393 PAR: **Effects of roasting and boiling of quinoa, kiwicha and kañiwa on**
394 **composition and availability of minerals in vitro.** *J Sci Food Agric* 2010,
395 **90**:2068–2073.

396 28. Heaney R: **Vitamin D and calcium interactions: functional outcomes.** *Am J*
397 *Clin Nutr* 2008, **88**:541S–544S.

398 29. Collings R, Harvey LJ, Hooper L, Hurst R, Brown TJ, Ansett J, King M,
399 Fairweather-Tait SJ: **The absorption of iron from whole diets: a systematic**

400 **review.** *Am J Clin Nutr* 2013, **98**:65–81.

401 30 • Berni P, Chitchumroonchokchai C, Canniatti-Brazaca SG, De Moura FF, Failla
402 **ML: Impact of genotype and cooking style on the content, retention, and**
403 **bioaccessibility of β -carotene in biofortified cassava (*Manihot esculenta***
404 **Crantz) conventionally bred in Brazil.** *J Agric Food Chem* 2014, **62**:6677–
405 6686.

406 This study considers interacting factors such as genotype and preparation method rather
407 than solely measuring the total nutrient content of the raw product. In addition to
408 the retention of large amounts of β -carotene after cooking, the bioaccessibility of
409 lipids also increased, particularly after frying compared to boiling.

410 31 • Lipkie TE, De Moura FF, Zhao ZY, Albertsen MC, Che P, Glassman K, Ferruzzi
411 **MG: Bioaccessibility of carotenoids from transgenic provitamin A**
412 **biofortified sorghum.** *J Agric Food Chem* 2013, **61**:5764–5771.

413 In this article, the bioaccessibility of carotenoids in transgenic sorghum was found to be
414 lower than in non-transgenic sorghum, showing that sorghum performs less well
415 than other biofortified crops. However, an increase in the lipid content should
416 help to increase the bioaccessibility of provitamin A carotenoids.

417 32. Raes K, Knockaert D, Struijs K, Van Camp J: **Role of processing on**
418 **bioaccessibility of minerals: influence of localization of minerals and anti-**
419 **nutritional factors in the plant.** *Trends Food Sci Technol* 2014, **37**:32–41.

420 33 • Moretti D, Biebinger R, Bruins MJ, Hoeft B, Kraemer K: **Bioavailability of**
421 **iron, zinc, folic acid, and vitamin A from fortified maize.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci*
422 2014, **1312**:54–65.

423 An important benchmark study on the bioavailability of both organic and inorganic

424 nutrients in maize prepared by standard fortification, allowing the comparison of
425 biofortified varieties in subsequent studies.

426 34. Carvalho SMP, Vasconcelos MW: **Producing more with less: strategies and**
427 **novel technologies for plant-based food biofortification.** *Food Res Int* 2013,
428 **54:961–971.**

429 35. Joy EJM, Stein AJ, Young SD, Ander EL, Watts MJ, Broadley MR: **Zinc-**
430 **enriched fertilisers as a potential public health intervention in Africa.** *Plant*
431 *Soil* 2015, **389:1–24.**

432 36. Brnić M, Wegmüller R, Melse-Boonstra A, Stomph T, Zeder C, Tay F, Hurrell
433 R: **Zinc absorption by adults is similar from intrinsically labeled zinc-**
434 **biofortified rice and from rice fortified with labeled zinc sulfate.** *J Nutr* 2016,
435 **146:76–80.**

436 37. Dunn ML, Jain V, Klein BP: **Stability of key micronutrients added to fortified**
437 **maize flours and corn meal.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2014, **1312:15–25.**

438 38 ••. Mugode L, Ha B, Kaunda A, Sikombe T, Phiri S, Mutale R, Davis C,
439 Tanumihardjo S, De Moura FF: **Carotenoid retention of biofortified**
440 **provitamin A maize (*Zea mays* L.) after Zambian traditional methods of**
441 **milling, cooking and storage.** *J Agric Food Chem* 2014, **62:6317–6325.**

442 This article evaluates the impact of different home-cooking techniques, as well as
443 milling and storage, on the retention of provitamin A carotenoids in conventional
444 biofortified maize varieties released in Zambia.

445 39 ••. Pillay K, Siwela M, Derera J, Veldman FJ: **Provitamin A carotenoids in**
446 **biofortified maize and their retention during processing and preparation of**
447 **South African maize foods.** *J Food Sci Technol* 2014, **51:634–644.**

448 This study examines the retention of provitamin A carotenoids in biofortified maize
449 varieties after milling and cooking.

450 40. De Steur H, Blancquaert D, Strobbe S, Lambert W, Gellynck X, Van Der
451 Straeten D: **Status and market potential of transgenic biofortified crops.** *Nat*
452 *Biotechnol* 2015, **33**:25–29.

453 41. Banakar R, Álvarez Fernández A, Díaz Benito P, Abadia J, Capell T, Christou P:
454 **Mineral homeostasis in rice is controlled by phytosiderophore levels in a**
455 **tissue-dependent manner.** *Sci Rep* 2016 (in press, DOI pending).

456 42. Li K-T, Moulin M, Mangel N, Albersen M, Verhoeven-Duif NM, Ma Q, Zhang
457 P, Fitzpatrick TB, Gruissem W, Vanderschuren H: **Increased bioavailable**
458 **vitamin B6 in field-grown transgenic cassava for dietary sufficiency.** *Nat*
459 *Biotechnol* 2015, **33**:1029–1032.

460 43 ••. Blancquaert D, Van Daele J, Strobbe S, Kiekens F, Storozhenko S, De Steur H,
461 Gellynck X, Lambert W, Stove C, Van Der Straeten D: **Improving folate**
462 **(vitamin B9) stability in biofortified rice through metabolic engineering.** *Nat*
463 *Biotechnol* 2015, **33**:1076–1078.

464 A comprehensive study which considers methods to increase folate stability and
465 demonstrates that the presence of folate-binding proteins (FBP) achieves higher
466 and more stable concentrations of folate in biofortified rice grains under storage
467 conditions.

468 44 ••. Wieringa FT, Lailou A, Guyondet C, Jallier V, Moench-Pfanner R, Berger J:
469 **Stability and retention of micronutrients in fortified rice prepared using**
470 **different cooking methods.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2014, **1324**:40–47.

471 This article explores the retention of nutrients (vitamin A, iron, zinc, folic acid, and

472 vitamin B12) in fortified rice produced using different techniques (hot extrusion,
473 cold extrusion, and coating) and different cooking methods (absorption method
474 with or without soaking, washing before cooking, cooking in excess water, and
475 frying rice before cooking).

476 45 ••. Ribeiro EMG, Chitchumroonchokchai C, de Carvalho LMJ, de Moura FF, de
477 Carvalho JLV, Failla ML: **Effect of style of home cooking on retention and**
478 **bioaccessibility of pro-vitamin A carotenoids in biofortified pumpkin**
479 **(*Cucurbita moschata* Duch.). *Food Res Int* 2015, **77**:620–626.**

480 This study considers the retention of provitamin A carotenoids in five biofortified
481 pumpkin varieties prepared by steaming, boiling in water or boiling in sugar
482 syrup. The study stands out because the bioaccessibility of provitamin A
483 carotenoids in cooked pulp was determined by measuring the transfer to mixed
484 micelles during in vitro digestion and confirmed by their accumulation in Caco-2
485 cells.

486 46 ••. Moreno JA, Díaz-Gómez J, Nogareda C, Angulo E, Sandmann G, Portero-Otin
487 M, Serrano JCE, Twyman RM, Capell T, Zhu C, Christou P: **The distribution of**
488 **carotenoids in hens fed on biofortified maize is influenced by feed**
489 **composition, absorption, resource allocation and storage. *Sci Rep* 2016, **6**:**
490 **35346.**

491 A very recent article describing how carotenoids in hen feed are taken up and
492 distributed in the tissues of hens, including their eggs. Carotenoids in biofortified
493 maize are taken up and distributed more efficiently than carotenoids provided as
494 feed supplements, indicating a food matrix effect.

495 47. Failla ML, Chitchumroonchokchai C, Siritunga D, De Moura FF, Fregene M,

- 496 Manary MJ, Sayre RT: **Retention during processing and bioaccessibility of β -**
497 **carotene in high β -carotene transgenic cassava root.** *J Agric Food Chem* 2012,
498 **60:3861–3866.**
- 499 48. Ortiz D, Rocheford T, Ferruzzi MG: **Influence of temperature and humidity**
500 **on the stability of carotenoids in biofortified maize (*Zea mays* L.) genotypes**
501 **during controlled postharvest storage.** *J Agric Food Chem* 2016, **64:2727–**
502 **2736.**
- 503 49 •. Suri DJ, Tanumihardjo SA: **Effects of different processing methods on the**
504 **micronutrient and phytochemical contents of maize: from A to Z.** *Compr Rev*
505 *Food Sci Food Saf* 2016, **15:912–926.**
- 506 A recent review article which summarizes the changes in the micronutrient content (e.g.
507 provitamin A carotenoids, xanthophylls, anthocyanins and other polyphenols,
508 water-soluble vitamins, and minerals) of maize products after applying different
509 processing methods (e.g. drying, storage, milling, soaking, heating and
510 nixtamalization).
- 511 50. Bechoff A, Chijioke U, Tomlins KI, Govinden P, Ilona P, Westby A, Boy E:
512 **Carotenoid stability during storage of yellow gari made from biofortified**
513 **cassava or with palm oil.** *J Food Compos Anal* 2015, **44:36–44.**
- 514 51. Li L, Yang Y, Xu Q, Owsiany K, Welsch R, Chitchumroonchokchai C, Lu S,
515 Van Eck J, Deng XX, Failla M, Thannhauser TW: **The *Or* gene enhances**
516 **carotenoid accumulation and stability during post-harvest storage of potato**
517 **tubers.** *Mol Plant* 2012, **5:339–352.**
- 518 52. Campbell R, Morris WL, Mortimer CL, Misawa N, Ducreux LJM, Morris JA,
519 Hedley PE, Fraser PD, Taylor MA: **Optimising ketocarotenoid production in**

- 520 **potato tubers: effect of genetic background, transgene combinations and**
521 **environment.** *Plant Sci* 2015, **234**:27–37.
- 522 53. Jeong J, Guerinot ML: **Biofortified and bioavailable: the gold standard for**
523 **plant-based diets.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2008, **105**:1777–1778.
- 524 54. Quick M, Shi L: **The sodium/multivitamin transporter: a multipotent system**
525 **with therapeutic implications.** *Vitam Horm* 2015, **98**:63–100.
- 526 55. Sato Y, Suzuki R, Kobayashi M, Itagaki S, Hirano T, Noda T, Mizuno S,
527 Sugawara M, Iseki K: **Involvement of cholesterol membrane transporter**
528 **Niemann-Pick C1-like 1 in the intestinal absorption of lutein.** *J Pharm Pharm*
529 *Sci* 2012, **15**:256–264.
- 530 56. Shafizadeh TB, Halsted CH: **Gamma-glutamyl hydrolase, not glutamate**
531 **carboxypeptidase II, hydrolyzes dietary folate in rat small intestine.** *J Nutr*
532 2007, **137**:1149–1153.
- 533 57. Nabokina SM, Subramanian VS, Valle JE, Said HM: **Adaptive regulation of**
534 **human intestinal thiamine uptake by extracellular substrate level: a role for**
535 **THTR-2 transcriptional regulation.** *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol*
536 2013, **305**:G593–G599.
- 537 58. Subramanian VS, Ghosal A, Kapadia R, Nabokina SM, Said HM: **Molecular**
538 **mechanisms mediating the adaptive regulation of intestinal riboflavin**
539 **uptake process.** *PLoS One* 2015, **10**:e0131698.
- 540 59. Wang Y, Lin Q, Zheng P, Li L, Bao Z, Huang F: **Effects of eicosapentaenoic**
541 **acid and docosahexaenoic acid on chylomicron and VLDL synthesis and**
542 **secretion in Caco-2 cells.** *Biomed Res Int* 2014, **2014**:684325.
- 543 60. Evstatiev R, Gasche C: **Iron sensing and signalling.** *Gut* 2012, **61**:933–952.

- 544 61. World Health Organization (WHO) e-Library of Evidence for Nutrition Actions
545 (URL: <http://www.who.int/elena/titles/biofortification/en/>) (Accessed 18 October
546 2016)
- 547 62. Carbonell-Capella JM, Buniowska M, Barba FJ, Esteve MJ, Frígola A:
548 **Analytical methods for determining bioavailability and bioaccessibility of**
549 **bioactive compounds from fruits and vegetables: a review.** *Compr Rev Food*
550 *Sci Food Saf* 2014, **13**:155–171.
- 551 63. Cardoso C, Afonso C, Lourenço H, Costa S, Nunes ML: **Bioaccessibility**
552 **assessment methodologies and their consequences for the risk-benefit**
553 **evaluation of food.** *Trends Food Sci Technol* 2015, **41**:5–23.
- 554 64. Sanahuja G, Farré G, Berman J, Zorrilla-López U, Twyman RM, Capell T,
555 Christou P, Zhu C: **A question of balance: achieving appropriate nutrient**
556 **levels in biofortified staple crops.** *Nutr Res Rev* 2013, **26**:235–245.
- 557 65. Gibson, RS: **The role of diet- and host- related factors in nutrient**
558 **bioavailability and thus in nutrient - based dietary requirement estimates.**
559 *Food Nutr Bull* 2007, **28**:S77– S100.
- 560 66 ••. De Moura FF, Miloff A, Boy E: **Retention of provitamin A carotenoids in**
561 **staple crops targeted for biofortification in Africa: cassava, maize and sweet**
562 **potato.** *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 2015, **55**:1246–1269.
- 563 A recent review article comparing the retention of provitamin A carotenoids (after
564 processing, cooking, and storing) of three biofortified crops produced by Harvest
565 Plus.
- 566 67. Pereira EJ, Carvalho LMJ, Dellamora-Ortiz GM, Cardoso FSN, Carvalho JLV:
567 **Effect of different home-cooking methods on the bioaccessibility of zinc and**

568 **iron in conventionally bred cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp) consumed**
569 **in Brazil. *Food Nutr Res* 2016, **60**:29082.**

Table 1. Relationship between micronutrients and the food matrix.

Carotenoids [14,26]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In photosynthetic plant tissues, carotenoids are bound to proteins in the inner chloroplast membrane, whereas in other tissues, such as fruits and endosperm, they are mainly found in chromoplasts. Carotenoids accumulate in the plastoglobuli of chloroplasts and chromoplasts, but in the latter they are also deposited as crystals. • Cell walls, carotenoid-protein complexes and fibers trap carotenoids and inhibit absorption. • The type and amount of fat can influence carotenoid absorption by promoting the excretion of bile salts, enhancing micelle formation and carotenoid solubilization. • Xanthophylls are more hydrophilic than carotenes and are thus easier to absorb. • Carotenoid <i>cis</i> isomers are more easily absorbed than <i>trans</i> isomers due to their greater polarity and solubility.
Iron and zinc [32,33,49,65]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Iron and zinc are chelated by other food components for transport (e.g. nicotianamine, peptides, proteins and organic acids). Proteins can also enhance absorption. • Heme iron and organic zinc complexes are more readily absorbed than non-heme iron and inorganic zinc salts. The absorption of non-heme iron and zinc from plant-based foods can be enhanced by consuming meat, poultry, fish or seafood in the same meal. • Phytate, oxalate, phenolic compounds and fibers form insoluble complexes with iron and zinc. The efficiency of complex formation depends on the chemical properties of the mineral, the pH and the presence of other compounds. Phytate binds preferentially to calcium and iron, limiting their bioavailability but increasing zinc bioavailability. • Organic acids (such as ascorbate and citrate) and cysteine promote iron absorption. EDTA can promote iron and zinc absorption. • Calcium can compete with iron for intestinal absorption; its effect on zinc has not been determined.
Water-soluble vitamins [14,65]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vitamins that form complexes in the food matrix are absorbed less efficiently than free vitamins. • Vitamins B1, B3, B6 and B9 can become trapped in the insoluble part of the food matrix in certain plant foods, reducing their bioaccessibility. • Dietary fiber does not have a significant impact on the absorption of water-soluble vitamins.

Table 2. Effect of processing on the micronutrient content of food

Carotenoids [14,26,49,66]	
Drying	Can reduce carotenoid levels but this depends on the drying method, the temperature/time combination and the genotype of the plant source.
Storage	Can cause the loss of carotenoids, but this depends on the crop species, genotype and storage conditions.
Milling	Increases carotenoid bioavailability because the food particle size is reduced.
Blanching	Enhances carotenoid retention because it inactivates peroxidases that can lead to the formation of undesirable colors and flavors, and carotenoids are released from carotenoid–protein complexes.
Fermentation	Does not usually affect carotenoid retention, and can also remove inhibitors and/or favor the accumulation of nutritional promoters.
Nixtamalization	Defined as soaking maize grains in an alkaline solution, which can reduce carotenoid levels. Bioaccessibility depends more on the subsequent processing/cooking method.
Heating	Can increase bioaccessibility by releasing carotenoids from plant tissues and disrupting carotenoid–protein complexes, although this depends on the plant source and the cooking method. Boiling and steaming retain more carotenoids than baking and frying. Exposure to light and long-term heating induces <i>trans</i> -to- <i>cis</i> isomerization resulting in the loss of provitamin A activity, although photooxidation is the main factor responsible of carotenoid isomerization.
Iron and zinc [32,49,65,67]	
Dehulling	Reduces the level of inhibitors that prevent mineral uptake. This process removes the bran, reducing the amount of fiber and phenolic compounds, but phytate levels still remain high because phytate is also present in the germ. Significant quantities of minerals can also be lost, e.g. up to 50% of the iron in some grains, whereas zinc losses are more variable.
Milling	Degrades the cell wall, allowing minerals to interact with other components. Iron, zinc and phytate levels are reduced by milling, but the remaining iron and zinc is more bioavailable.
Soaking	Can reduce phytate levels by solubilizing phytate or activating endogenous phytases. However, blanching and soaking also cause the leaching of minerals.

Fermentation	Can degrade phytate through the action of microbial phytases. Fermentation can also enhance iron and zinc absorption because low-molecular-weight organic acids are produced during this process. The phytate content is reduced more during fermentation than during cooking.
Nixtamalization	Can reduce iron absorption by competing with calcium, although it may also improve zinc and iron absorption by reducing the phytate content.
Heating	Can enhance mineral absorption by softening the cell walls and removing inhibitors. Minerals are heat-stable, although losses can occur due to leaching. The bioaccessibility of iron is affected more than zinc by the cooking method.
Water-soluble vitamins [14,49,65]	
Drying	Can result in the loss of vitamins, especially air drying which promotes oxidation.
Storage	Does not appear to affect water-soluble vitamins, except B vitamins in long-term storage, and vitamin C due to oxidation during storage.
Dehulling Milling	The grain components are separated, resulting in significant losses of certain B vitamins that accumulate in the bran and germ.
Soaking	Reduces the levels of water-soluble vitamins by leaching.
Blanching	Inactivates enzymes that oxidize vitamin C but also encourages the loss of vitamin C by leaching.
Fermentation	Can increase the bioavailability of certain B vitamins (e.g. B2 and B3).
Nixtamalization	Can reduce the content of certain B vitamins (e.g. B1 and B3), but in some cases the remaining quantity can become more bioavailable (e.g. B3).
Cooking	Can release vitamins from the food matrix but can also destroy heat-sensitive vitamins (B1, B2 and C), although this depends on temperature/time combinations. The greatest losses during cooking occur due to vitamins leaching into the water, so steaming is preferred to boiling.