



**Universitat de Lleida**

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10459.1/65265>

The final publication is available at:

<https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.13908>

Copyright

(c) Institute of Food Science and Technology, 2018

1 **Physiochemical and nutritional characteristics, bioaccessibility, and**  
2 **sensory acceptance of baked crackers containing broccoli co-products**

3 Tomás Lafarga <sup>a</sup>, Ariadna Bademunt <sup>b</sup>, Eimear Gallagher <sup>c</sup>, Gloria Bobo <sup>a</sup>, Gemma  
4 Echeverria <sup>a</sup>, Inmaculada Viñas <sup>b</sup>, and Ingrid Aguiló-Aguayo <sup>a\*</sup>

5 <sup>a</sup> IRTA, XaRTA-Postharvest, Parc Científic i Tecnològic Agroalimentari de Lleida, Parc  
6 de Gardeny, Edifici Fruitcentre, 25003, Lleida, Catalonia, Spain.

7 <sup>b</sup> Food Technology Department, University of Lleida, XaRTA-Postharvest, Agrotecnio  
8 Center, Lleida, Spain

9 <sup>c</sup> Teagasc Food Research Centre Ashtown, Department of Food Chemistry and  
10 Technology, Dublin 15, Ireland.

11

12 **\*Corresponding author:**

13 Dr Aguiló-Aguayo, phone: (+34) 973003431 Ext. 1551, email: [Ingrid.Aguilo@irta.cat](mailto:Ingrid.Aguilo@irta.cat)

14

15

16 **Running title:** Broccoli co-products as novel ingredients in baked crackers

17

18 **Abbreviations**

19  $a_w$ : Water activity; DW: Dry weight; SDF: Soluble dietary fibre; IDF: Insoluble dietary fibre,

20 TDF: Total dietary fibre, TGC: Total glucosinolate content, DPPH: 2,2-diphenyl-1-

21 picrylhydrazyl;  $C^*_{ab}$ : Chroma;  $\delta E$ : Difference from the control; S.D.: Standard deviation;

22 ANOVA: Analysis of variance.

23 **Abstract**

24 The effects of the inclusion of broccoli co-products into crackers on the bioaccessibility  
25 as well as their overall physical and nutritional quality were evaluated. Crackers were  
26 formulated using a 12.5 or 15.0% flour substitution level. Broccoli-containing crackers  
27 presented higher specific volume and spread ratio and lower weight and specific volume  
28 than control crackers ( $p<0.05$ ). Crackers containing broccoli co-products showed an  
29 increased green hue and a higher colour intensity ( $p<0.05$ ). Incorporation of broccoli co-  
30 products into crackers significantly increased the total phenolic content and antioxidant  
31 capacity ( $p<0.05$ ). A simulated gastrointestinal digestion suggested that the amount of  
32 phenolic and antioxidant compounds released during digestion might be higher than what  
33 could be expected from common water-organic extracts. The incorporation of broccoli  
34 co-products into baked crackers would not only reduce the amount of food discarded as  
35 waste but also promote health and open novel commercial opportunities to food  
36 processors.

37

38 **Keywords:** functional foods, antioxidant activity, baked goods, broccoli co-products,  
39 bioaccessibility, phenolic compounds

## 40 **Introduction**

41 Unfortunately, the generation of waste in the food processing industry is unavoidable. A  
42 large amount of the food and food co-products currently discarded as waste or used for  
43 low value purposes are rich in valuable compounds which could be reincorporated into  
44 the food chain. The utilization of edible co-products rich in health-promoting compounds  
45 as novel ingredients for the development of functional foods would not only reduce the  
46 amount of food discarded as waste, or used for low value purposes, but also promote  
47 health and open novel commercial opportunities for food processors. Functional foods  
48 deliver additional or enhanced benefits over their basic nutritional value and the  
49 functional foods market is currently one of the top trends in the food industry. In addition,  
50 the global market for snack foods is projected to exceed US\$630 billion by 2020, driven  
51 by robust demand for functional snacks and the rising popularity of organic and natural  
52 ingredients-based snacks (INC. 2015). Crackers represent an important share of the snack  
53 market and provide a large number of opportunities for new product development,  
54 especially in the area of functional foods (Millar *et al.*, 2017).

55 Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea italica*) contains health-promoting substances including  
56 phenolic compounds and glucosinolates. Large amounts of co-products including leaves,  
57 stems, and stalks are generated during processing of broccoli. Recent studies suggested  
58 that broccoli stems and leaves contain high levels of total phenolics and high antioxidant  
59 and anticarcinogenic activities. Moreover, Lafarga *et al.*, (2018b) reported comparable  
60 nutritional profiles and a similar resistance to thermal processing between the florets and  
61 stems of several *Brassica* vegetables including varied broccoli varieties. Although health-  
62 promoting compounds found in cruciferous vegetables can be heavily lost during thermal  
63 processing (Lafarga *et al.*, 2018a; Sarvan *et al.*, 2012), previous studies suggested that

64 heat-sensible ingredients could be resistant to thermal processing when incorporated into  
65 baked products (Lafarga *et al.*, 2016).

66 The aim of this work was to produce functional crackers with enhanced concentrations of  
67 fibre, glucosinolates, and phenolic compounds using broccoli co-products and to study  
68 the influence of their inclusion on the physicochemical parameters of the product  
69 including weight, density, colour, firmness, moisture content, and fibre content as well as  
70 on the overall quality and acceptance of the end product. The effects of broccoli inclusion  
71 into crackers on parameters including colour, texture, moisture, water activity ( $a_w$ ),  
72 antioxidant capacity, acceptance, and total glucosinolate (TGC) were studied over a 14-  
73 day period.

74 **Materials and methods**

75 **Preparation of crackers**

76 Broccoli stems were cut into  $10 \times 10 \times 10$  mm cubes, sanitized in 100 ppm sodium  
77 hypochlorite for 2 min, rinsed with tap water, and left to dry at room temperature to reduce  
78 surface contamination. The co-products were frozen, freeze-dried, milled to a thin  
79 powder, vacuum sealed, and stored at  $-20$  °C until further use. The doughs were prepared  
80 for mixing according to the formulations listed in Table S1 and following the  
81 methodology described in Supplementary File S1. Control crackers without powdered  
82 freeze-dried broccoli were labelled as F00.0. Crackers containing broccoli at a flour  
83 substitution level of 12.5 and 15.0% (w/w) were labelled as F12.5 and F15.0, respectively.

84 **Dimensions, weight, and chemical composition of crackers**

85 The weight and dimensions of 30 crackers were averaged for each formulation and  
86 replicate. Length, width, and thickness were measured with Verner calipers and the spread  
87 ratio, specific volume, and density were calculated for each sample. Weight, length,  
88 width, and thickness measurements were taken at day 1 post-baking.

89 Moisture content was determined using AACC method 44-15.02. Soluble (SDF),  
90 insoluble (IDF), and total (TDF) dietary fibre were determined according to AOAC  
91 Method 991.43, using the ANKOM dietary fibre analyser (ANKOM technology, NY,  
92 USA) and expressed as percentage.

93 **Colour and texture**

94 Colour recordings were taken using a Minolta CR-200 colorimeter (Minolta INC, Tokyo,  
95 Japan). CIE values were recorded in terms of  $L^*$  (lightness),  $a^*$  (redness/greenness), and  
96  $b^*$  (yellowness/blueness). Calibration was carried out using a standard white tile (Y:92.5,  
97 x:0.3161, y:0.3321) provided by the manufacturer and the D65 illuminant, which

98 approximates to daylight. Chroma ( $C^*_{ab}$ ) and difference from the control ( $\delta E$ ) were  
99 calculated following the methodology described by Wibowo *et al.*, (2015). Results are  
100 the average of 10 measurements per formulation and replicate taken on day 1 post-baking.  
101 Texture characteristics were assessed using a TA.XT2 Texture Analyzer (Stable Micro  
102 Systems Ltd., Surrey, England) connected to Exponent software v. 5.0.6.0. Hardness was  
103 determined using the hardness measurement by cutting test provided by the manufacturer  
104 and a knife edge with slotted insert probe (HDP/BS). Ten samples were taken for each  
105 formulation and replicate and measurements were carried out on day 1 post-baking.

#### 106 **Water activity and pH**

107 The  $a_w$  of all samples was measured using an AquaLab meter (Decagon Devices Inc.,  
108 WA, USA) and approximately 2 g of ground sample. Three measurements were taken for  
109 each formulation and replicate on days 1, 7, and 14 post-baking.

110 The pH of 1g of ground crackers added to 10 g of distilled water was measured in a Basic  
111 20 pH meter (Crison Instruments S.A., Barcelona, Spain) as previously described by  
112 O'Shea *et al.*, (2017). pH measurements were carried out in triplicate for each formulation  
113 and replicate at day 1 post-baking.

#### 114 **Total phenolic content**

115 The TPC was determined by the Folin Ciocalteu method following the modifications  
116 described by Altisent, Plaza, Alegre, Viñas, and Abadias (2014). Briefly, samples were  
117 homogenized with 70% (w/w) methanol at a sample to solvent ratio of 3:10 (w/v) at 4 °C  
118 for 1 min using a T-25 digital ULTRA-TURRAX® homogenizer (IKA, Staufen,  
119 Germany) at 14,000 rpm. Extraction was held at 4 °C and constant shaking in an ice bath  
120 for 20 min. Absorbance was measured at 760 nm using a GENESYS™ 10S-UV Vis  
121 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA). The TPC was determined in

122 triplicate for each formulation and replicate on days 1, 7, and 14 post-baking. Results  
123 were expressed on a dry weight (DW) basis as mg of gallic acid equivalents per 100 g.

#### 124 **Antioxidant activity**

125 Antioxidant activity was measured using two different methods: the FRAP and the 2,2-  
126 diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical (DPPH·) scavenging activity assays following the  
127 methodologies previously described by Altisent, Plaza, Alegre, Viñas, and Abadias  
128 (2014) and using the same extract used for TPC determination. Absorbance was measured  
129 at 593 and 515 nm for FRAP and DPPH· assays respectively. Antioxidant activity was  
130 determined in triplicate for each formulation and replicate on days 1, 7, and 14 post-  
131 baking. Results were expressed as g of ascorbic acid equivalents per 100 g of DW.

#### 132 **Total glucosinolate content**

133 The TGC of the broccoli stems and of the control and broccoli-containing crackers were  
134 determined following the methodologies described by Mawlong *et al.*, (2017). Briefly,  
135 spectrophotometric estimation was done using methanolic extract prepared from by  
136 homogenizing 0.1 g defatted sample with 80% (v/v) methanol. The homogenized sample  
137 was centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 4 min after keeping overnight at room temperature. The  
138 supernatant was collected and made up to 2 ml with 80% (v/v) methanol. Absorbance  
139 was measured at 425 nm using a GENESYS™ 10S-UV Vis spectrophotometer (Thermo  
140 Fisher Scientific, MA, USA). TGC was determined in triplicate for each broccoli-  
141 containing formulation and replicate on days 1, 7, and 14 post-baking. TGC was  
142 expressed as mg of glucoraphanin equivalents per 100 g DW.

#### 143 **Sensory evaluation**

144 Sensory evaluation was undertaken at day 1 post-baking with 40 untrained panellists  
145 recruited from IRTA Fruitcentre. Sensory evaluation was conducted in a sensory



146 laboratory with separate booths following the methodology described by Millar *et al.*,  
147 (2017) with some modifications. Briefly, samples were placed on white polystyrene  
148 plates labelled with random codes and presented to consumers in a randomised order. A  
149 60-s time laps was employed between each sensory palate, to reduce sensory fatigue.  
150 Each panellist assessed all three samples and was asked to indicate his or her opinion on  
151 the firmness, crunchiness, overall visual appearance, and overall acceptability of the  
152 products using a 9-point hedonic scale (from 1: dislike extremely to 9: like extremely).

### 153 ***In vitro* gastrointestinal digestion**

154 A simulated gastrointestinal digestion of the control and broccoli-containing crackers was  
155 performed following the methodology previously described by Zudaire *et al.*, (2017). The  
156 methodology consists of three sequential stages including an oral ( $\alpha$ -amylase, pH 7.0),  
157 gastric (pepsin, pH 3.0), and intestinal (pancreatin and fresh bile, pH 7.0) phase.  
158 Determinations of TPC and antioxidant capacity were carried out after both gastric and  
159 intestinal phases by substituting the methanolic extract for the same amount of the  
160 digestive enzymatic extracts.

### 161 **Statistical analysis**

162 Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (S.D.). Differences between samples  
163 were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with JMP 13 (SAS Institute Inc.,  
164 NC, USA). Where significant differences were present, a Tukey pairwise comparison of  
165 the means was conducted to identify where the sample differences occurred ( $p < 0.05$ ).

166 **Results and discussion**

167 In the current study broccoli stems showed an antioxidant potential of  $317 \pm 29$  and  $239$   
168  $\pm 14$  mg/100 g DW calculated using the FRAP and DPPH $\cdot$  methods, respectively. The  
169 TPC of the broccoli co-products used in the current study was calculated as  $312 \pm 41$   
170 mg/100 g DW. Results compared well in terms of TPC and antioxidant bioactivity to  
171 those recently obtained by Lafarga *et al.*, (2018b) who assessed the TPC and antioxidant  
172 capacity of the stems of different broccoli varieties.

173 **Physical quality**

174 The inclusion of broccoli co-products into the cracker formulations significantly affected  
175 the colour parameters of the baked crackers listed in Table 1. The  $L^*$  parameter which  
176 denotes lightness and varies from 0 (black) to 100 (white) was significantly lower in both  
177 broccoli-containing crackers, F12.5% and F15.0%, when compared to the control  
178 ( $p < 0.05$ ). This denotes a lighter appearance of the control samples. A negative correlation  
179 was observed between  $L^*$  values and broccoli content ( $r^2 = -0.837$ ). Similar results were  
180 obtained previously after inclusion of coloured ingredients into baked products such as  
181 seaweed (Fitzgerald *et al.*, 2014) or blackcurrant pomace (Schmidt *et al.*, 2018). As  
182 expected, inclusion of broccoli co-products into crackers increased the green hue of the  
183 final product (data not shown) and the  $C^*_{ab}$  value, a quantitative indicator of colourfulness  
184 ( $p < 0.05$ ). This indicates that the broccoli-containing crackers had a higher colour  
185 intensity. A positive correlation was observed between  $L^*$  values and broccoli content  
186 ( $r^2 = 0.947$ ). Similar increases in  $C^*_{ab}$  were observed in baked crackers after substitution  
187 of flour with pulses (Millar *et al.*, 2017). The  $\delta E$  combines the change in  $L^*$ ,  $a^*$ , and  $b^*$   
188 values to quantify the colour deviation from a standard reference sample, in this case,  
189 wheat flour crackers. Those samples with  $\delta E > 3$  display a visible colour deviation

190 (Wibowo *et al.*, 2015). As expected, broccoli-containing crackers had a  $\delta E > 3$ , exhibiting  
191 a visible colour deviation when compared to the control.

192 Broccoli-containing crackers showed a lower pH at day 1 post-baking when compared to  
193 the control crackers ( $p < 0.05$ ). Overall, physical characteristics of the crackers were  
194 significantly affected by the inclusion of broccoli at 12.5 and 15.0% (w/w;  $p < 0.05$ ). Both  
195 broccoli-containing formulations presented higher specific volume and spread ration and  
196 lower weight and specific volume than control crackers ( $p < 0.05$ ). A positive correlation  
197 was observed between the spread ratio and broccoli content ( $r^2 = 0.966$ ). Higher spread  
198 ratios are considered more desirable at industrial level (Tiwari *et al.*, 2011). In addition,  
199 the moisture content of the crackers was comparable to that of previous formulations and  
200 was higher when compared to other snacks such as legume-containing crackers (Colla  
201 and Gamlath 2015). Both broccoli-containing crackers had a lower moisture content than  
202 the control ( $p < 0.05$ ) and a negative correlation was observed between the broccoli content  
203 and water content ( $r^2 = -0.975$ ). The moisture content of the three cracker formulations was  
204 significantly lower at day 7 when compared to day 1 ( $p < 0.05$ ) and no differences were  
205 observed between the moisture content at day 7 and day 14 suggesting a stable product.  
206 Inclusion of broccoli at a concentration of 15.0% (w/w) resulted in increased hardness  
207 ( $p < 0.05$ ). Although an increase in hardness was also appreciated for samples containing  
208 broccoli at a concentration of 12.5% (w/w), results were not significantly different.  
209 Previous studies suggested a direct relationship between hardness of crackers and total  
210 fibre content and a negatively correlation between hardness and moisture content (Millar  
211 *et al.*, 2017). Therefore, the observed increase in hardness observed after broccoli  
212 inclusion in the current study could be caused by a reduced moisture and an increased  
213 fibre content when compared to control samples.

214 **Nutritional characteristics of baked crackers**

215 Previous studies obtained increased TDF content in crackers and other baked goods after  
216 substitution of wheat flour with other vegetable-derived sources (Millar *et al.*, 2017). In  
217 the current study, the TDF and IDF of broccoli-containing crackers was higher when  
218 compared to the control. However, the observed increase in fibre content was not  
219 statistically significant.

220 The TPC of the baked crackers was higher in both broccoli-containing crackers when  
221 compared to the control ( $p < 0.05$ ; Figure 1). Similar results were observed previously after  
222 inclusion of broccoli into bread at a concentration of 2% (w/w) (Gawlik-Dziki *et al.*,  
223 2014). TPC at day 1 was positively correlated with broccoli content ( $r^2 = 0.919$ ).  
224 Moreover, the TPC of all cracker formulations was significantly lower at day 7 when  
225 compared to day 1 ( $p < 0.05$ ). Previous studies observed a decrease in the TPC of foods  
226 during storage (Patras *et al.*, 2011, Howard *et al.*, 2010). In the current study, no  
227 differences were observed between the TPC measured at days 7 and 14 for all cracker  
228 formulations suggesting stable products.

229 Due to the high TPC measured in both broccoli-containing formulations, an increased  
230 antioxidant activity was expected. The expected increase in antioxidant activity was  
231 achieved in both broccoli-containing crackers ( $p < 0.05$ ). Gawlik-Dziki *et al.*, (2014)  
232 obtained increased antioxidant capacity after inclusion of broccoli sprouts into wheat  
233 bread formulations at concentrations ranging from 1 to 5% (w/w). Similar results were  
234 obtained by Lee (2015). In the current study, a positive correlation was observed between  
235 the antioxidant potential at day 1 and broccoli content when assessed using the DPPH ( $r^2$   
236  $= 0.760$ ) and FRAP ( $r^2 = 0.911$ ) methods. Other food products such as soups have shown  
237 increased antioxidant capacity after incorporation of broccoli co-products into their recipe  
238 (Alvarez-Jubete *et al.*, 2014). Antioxidant activity assessed using the DPPH $\cdot$  method was  
239 lower at day 7 and 14 when compared to day 1. However, the observed decrease was only

240 statistically significant for cF12.5 ( $p < 0.05$ ). When assessed using the FRAP method, the  
241 antioxidant capacity of F00.0 and F12.5 was significantly lower at days 7 and 14 when  
242 compared to day 1 ( $p < 0.05$ ). A positive correlation was observed between the antioxidant  
243 capacity as assessed using the FRAP method and the TPC at day 1 ( $r^2 = 0.985$ ). Similar  
244 results were observed after inclusion of vegetable co-products such as mango peel into  
245 baked goods (Ajila *et al.*, 2010). The TGC of F12.5 and F15.0 is shown in Figure 1. No  
246 differences were observed between the TGC of F12.5 and F15.0. In addition, the TGC of  
247 both F12.5 and F15.0 was affected by storage and decreased at days 7 and 14 ( $p < 0.05$ ).  
248 A decrease in the TGC of formulation F12.5 was observed from day 7 to day 14 but it  
249 was not statistically significant.

## 250 **Sensory analysis**

251 Scores obtained after sensory analysis for overall appearance, overall acceptability,  
252 firmness, and crunchiness are shown in Figure S1. Inclusion of broccoli into the crackers  
253 formulation resulted in increased overall acceptability ( $p < 0.05$ ). Previous studies which  
254 assessed the effect of the incorporation of fruit and vegetable co-products into baked  
255 goods obtained acceptability scores comparable to the control (Schmidt *et al.*, 2018,  
256 Chareonthaikij *et al.*, 2016). Results are comparable to previous studies which obtained  
257 high acceptability scores after inclusion of broccoli powder into bread (Gawlik-Dziki *et*  
258 *al.*, 2014). The overall visual appearance score obtained for F12.5 was significantly high  
259 when compared to F00.0 and F15.0 ( $p < 0.05$ ). Although a decrease in crunchiness and  
260 firmness was perceived after inclusion of broccoli, results were not statistically different.

## 261 **Simulated gastrointestinal digestion**

262 Bioaccessibility, which has is defined as the release of compounds from their natural food  
263 matrix to be available for intestinal absorption, is one of the main limiting factors for  
264 bioavailability (Stahl *et al.*, 2002). The *in vitro* gastrointestinal digestion strategy suggests

265 which compounds survive the gastrointestinal tract conditions and are likely to reach the  
266 colon where they can act or be absorbed into the blood stream (McDougall *et al.*, 2007).  
267 Overall, the results shown in Table 2 were comparable in magnitude for each cracker  
268 formulation. Both the TPC and antioxidant potential increased after the gastric stage,  
269 when compared to the initial stage, for all cracker formulations ( $p<0.05$ ). An increase in  
270 the TPC was also observed for all cracker formulations after the intestinal stage ( $p<0.05$ ).  
271 Strong pH variations and pepsin may affect the integrity of cell walls, facilitating the  
272 liberation of phenolic and antioxidant compounds not detected in initial phases, and  
273 hydrolysed wheat- and broccoli-derived proteins resulting in peptides with antioxidant  
274 properties (Niu *et al.*, 2013, Cian *et al.*, 2015). The longer extraction process, if compared  
275 to values prior to digestion (those obtained after the methanol:water extraction), may  
276 partially explain these findings. Results obtained for TPC and antioxidant activity were  
277 not comparable as the antioxidant capacity assessed using both the FRAP and DPPH-  
278 assays was significantly lower after the intestinal phase when compared to the digestive  
279 stage ( $p<0.05$ ). Different pH values can affect racemization of molecules creating two  
280 different chiral enantiomer. This could alter their biological activities and may render  
281 antioxidant more reactive early in the digestive process, particularly at acidic pH values  
282 (Jamali *et al.*, 2008). Similar results were published by Gawlik-Dziki *et al.*, (2009)  
283 observed a significant increase in the TPC of the breads containing either 2.5 or 5.0%  
284 (w/w) buckwheat flavones during the different stages of digestion. The TPC of these  
285 breads varied from 0.50 and 0.72 mg/mL at the initial stage to 0.78 and 0.79 mg/mL, and  
286 0.72 and 0.90 mg/mL after the gastric and intestinal phases, respectively. Pérez-Jiménez  
287 and Saura-Calixto (2005) also reported that TPC and antioxidant activity of the digestive  
288 enzymatic extracts was significantly higher when compared to that of the water-organic  
289 extracts.

290 **Conclusions**

291 Broccoli co-products could be incorporated into baked products such as crackers at  
292 relatively high concentrations in order to increase their nutritional and physicochemical  
293 quality without affecting their overall acceptance. Incorporation of broccoli into cracker  
294 formulations increased the content of dietary fibre, total phenolics, and glucosinolates as  
295 well as the antioxidant capacity when compared to the flour-only crackers. Overall, a  
296 decrease in the TPC and the antioxidant activity of all cracker formulations was observed  
297 during storage, especially during the first week. Results obtained herein suggest that the  
298 amount of phenolic and antioxidant compounds released during digestion may be higher  
299 than what could be expected from common water-organic extracts.

300 **Acknowledgements**

301 This work was supported by the CERCA Programme of *Generalitat de Catalunya*. T.  
302 Lafarga is in receipt of *Juan de la Cierva* contract awarded by the Spanish Ministry of  
303 Economy and Competitiveness (FJCI-2016-29541). I. Aguiló-Aguayo thanks the Spanish  
304 Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness and to the European Social Fund for the  
305 Postdoctoral Senior Grant *Ramon y Cajal* (RYC-2016-19949). Authors thank Congelados  
306 de Navarra S.A.U (Navarra, Spain) for providing broccoli processing co-products and  
307 Silvia Villaró for her technical assistance.



308 **Conflict Statements**

309 The authors declare no conflict of interests.

310 **References**

- 311 Ajila, C. M., Aalami, M., Leelavathi, K. & Rao, U. J. S. P. (2010). Mango peel powder:  
312 A potential source of antioxidant and dietary fiber in macaroni preparations.  
313 *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies*, **11**, 219-224.
- 314 Chareonthaikij, P., Uan-On, T. & Prinyawiwatkul, W. (2016). Effects of pineapple  
315 pomace fibre on physicochemical properties of composite flour and dough, and  
316 consumer acceptance of fibre-enriched wheat bread. *International Journal of*  
317 *Food Science & Technology*, **51**, 1120-1129.
- 318 Cian, R. E., Vioque, J. & Drago, S. R. (2015). Structure–mechanism relationship of  
319 antioxidant and ACE I inhibitory peptides from wheat gluten hydrolysate  
320 fractionated by pH. *Food Research International*, **69**, 216-223.
- 321 Colla, K. & Gamlath, S. (2015). Inulin and maltodextrin can replace fat in baked savoury  
322 legume snacks. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, **50**, 2297-  
323 2305.
- 324 Fitzgerald, C., Gallagher, E., Doran, L., Auty, M., Prieto, J. & Hayes, M. (2014).  
325 Increasing the health benefits of bread: Assessment of the physical and sensory  
326 qualities of bread formulated using a renin inhibitory *Palmaria palmata* protein  
327 hydrolysate. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, **56**, 398-405.
- 328 Gawlik-Dziki, U., Dziki, D., Baraniak, B. & Lin, R. (2009). The effect of simulated  
329 digestion in vitro on bioactivity of wheat bread with Tartary buckwheat flavones  
330 addition. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, **42**, 137-143.
- 331 Gawlik-Dziki, U., Świeca, M., Dziki, D., Sęczyk, Ł., Złotek, U., Różyło, R., Kaszuba,  
332 K., Ryszawy, D. & Czyż, J. (2014). Anticancer and antioxidant activity of bread  
333 enriched with broccoli sprouts. *BioMed research international*, **2014**.
- 334 Howard, L. R., Castrodale, C., Brownmiller, C. & Mauromoustakos, A. (2010). Jam  
335 processing and storage effects on blueberry polyphenolics and antioxidant  
336 capacity. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*, **58**, 4022-4029.
- 337 INC., G. I. A. (2015). *Snack Foods: A Global Strategic Business Report*. Pp. 1-1073.
- 338 Jamali, B., Bjørnsdottir, I., Nordfang, O. & Hansen, S. H. (2008). Investigation of  
339 racemisation of the enantiomers of glitazone drug compounds at different pH  
340 using chiral HPLC and chiral CE. *Journal of pharmaceutical and biomedical*  
341 *analysis*, **46**, 82-87.
- 342 Lafarga, T., Bobo, G., Viñas, I., Collazo, C. & Aguiló-Aguayo, I. (2018a). Effects of  
343 thermal and non-thermal processing of cruciferous vegetables on glucosinolates  
344 and its derived forms. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*.
- 345 Lafarga, T., Gallagher, E., Aluko, R. E., Auty, M. A. & Hayes, M. (2016). Addition of an  
346 enzymatic hydrolysate of bovine globulins to bread and determination of  
347 hypotensive effects in spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Journal of agricultural*  
348 *and food chemistry*, **64**, 1741-1750.
- 349 Lafarga, T., Viñas, I., Bobo, G., Simó, J. & Aguiló-Aguayo, I. (2018b). Effect of steaming  
350 and sous vide processing on the total phenolic content, vitamin C and antioxidant  
351 potential of the genus *Brassica*. *Innovative Food Science & Emerging*  
352 *Technologies*, **47**, 412-420.

- 353 Lee, S.-H. (2015). Quality and antioxidant properties of white breads enhanced with  
354 broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L.) powder. *Korean journal of food and cookery*  
355 *science*, **31**, 614-622.
- 356 Mawlong, I., Sujith Kumar, M., Gurung, B., Singh, K. & Singh, D. (2017). A simple  
357 spectrophotometric method for estimating total glucosinolates in mustard de-oiled  
358 cake. *International Journal of Food Properties*, 1-8.
- 359 McDougall, G. J., Fyffe, S., Dobson, P. & Stewart, D. (2007). Anthocyanins from red  
360 cabbage – stability to simulated gastrointestinal digestion. *Phytochemistry*, **68**,  
361 1285-1294.
- 362 Millar, K. A., Barry-Ryan, C., Burke, R., Hussey, K., McCarthy, S. & Gallagher, E.  
363 (2017). Effect of pulse flours on the physicochemical characteristics and sensory  
364 acceptance of baked crackers. *International Journal of Food Science &*  
365 *Technology*, **52**, 1155-1163.
- 366 Niu, L.-Y., Jiang, S.-T. & Pan, L.-J. (2013). Preparation and evaluation of antioxidant  
367 activities of peptides obtained from defatted wheat germ by fermentation. *Journal*  
368 *of Food Science and Technology*, **50**, 53-61.
- 369 O'Shea, N., Kilcawley, K. N. & Gallagher, E. (2017). Aromatic Composition and  
370 Physicochemical Characteristics of Crackers Containing Barley Fractions. *Cereal*  
371 *Chemistry*, **94**, 611-618.
- 372 Patras, A., Brunton, N. P., Tiwari, B. & Butler, F. (2011). Stability and degradation  
373 kinetics of bioactive compounds and colour in strawberry jam during storage.  
374 *Food and bioprocess technology*, **4**, 1245-1252.
- 375 Pérez-Jiménez, J. & Saura-Calixto, F. (2005). Literature data may underestimate the  
376 actual antioxidant capacity of cereals. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*,  
377 **53**, 5036-5040.
- 378 Sarvan, I., Verkerk R., & Dekker, M. (2012). Modelling the fate of glucosinolates during  
379 thermal processing of *Brassica* vegetables. *LWT – Food Science and Technology*,  
380 **49**, 178-183.
- 381 Schmidt, C., Geweke, I., Struck, S., Zahn, S. & Rohm, H. (2018). Blackcurrant pomace  
382 from juice processing as partial flour substitute in savoury crackers: dough  
383 characteristics and product properties. *International Journal of Food Science &*  
384 *Technology*, **53**, 237-245.
- 385 Stahl, W., van den Berg, H., Arthur, J., Bast, A., Dainty, J., Faulks, R. M., Gärtner, C.,  
386 Haenen, G., Hollman, P., & Holst, B. (2002). Bioavailability and metabolism.  
387 *Molecular aspects of medicine*, **23**, 39-100.
- 388 Tiwari, B. K., Brennan, C. S., Jaganmohan, R., Surabi, A. & Alagusundaram, K. (2011).  
389 Utilisation of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L) byproducts in biscuit manufacture.  
390 *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, **44**, 1533-1537.
- 391 Wang, T., He, F., & Chen, G. (2014). Improving bioaccessibility and bioavailability of  
392 phenolic compounds in cereal grains through processing technologies: A concise  
393 review. *Journal of Functional Foods*, **7**, 101-111.
- 394 Wibowo, S., Vervoort, L., Tomic, J., Santiago, J. S., Lemmens, L., Panozzo, A., Grauwet,  
395 T., Hendrickx, M. & Van Loey, A. (2015). Colour and carotenoid changes of  
396 pasteurised orange juice during storage. *Food chemistry*, **171**, 330-340.

397 Zudaire, L., Viñas, I., Abadias, M., Simó, J., Echeverria, G., Plaza, L. & Aguiló-Aguayo,  
398 I. (2017). Quality and bioaccessibility of total phenols and antioxidant activity of  
399 calçots (*Allium cepa* L.) stored under controlled atmosphere conditions.  
400 *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, **129**, 118-128.

401 **Legends to figure**

402 **Figure 1. (A) TPC, antioxidant activity measured using the (B) FRAP and (C) DPPH**  
403 **methods, and (D) TGC**

404 Values represent the mean of three independent experiments  $\pm$  S.D. Capital letters  
405 indicate significant differences between different formulations at the same sampling day.  
406 Lower case letters indicate significant differences between sampling days for the same  
407 cracker formulation.

408

409 **Supplementary items**

410 **Table S1. Cracker formulations**

411 **Supplementary File S1: Baking procedure**

412 **Figure S1. Sensory evaluation of broccoli-containing crackers assessed at day 1 post**  
413 **baking**